Why Birth Control Should Be Free & Accessible To Everyone

Posted on October 7, 2022

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/why-birth-control-should-be-free-accessible-to-everyone/

Introduction

Birth control should be affordable and readily accessible because the ability to prevent or plan pregnancy affects health, education, employment, family stability, and personal autonomy. The strongest policy is not that every method must literally be free and suitable for every person. It is that people should receive accurate counseling and obtain a medically appropriate method without cost becoming a decisive barrier. The original essay correctly emphasizes unintended pregnancy and unequal access but overstates some cancer benefits, contrasts contraception with abortion too simplistically, and implies that women generally need prescriptions while men do not. Contraceptive methods differ in safety, effectiveness, clinical requirements, and protection against sexually transmitted infections.

Access as a Health and Rights Issue

The World Health Organization states that access to contraception supports the right to decide freely and responsibly the number and spacing of children. Its 2025 fact sheet estimated that 164 million women of reproductive age worldwide had an unmet need for contraception based on 2021 data. Barriers include cost, distance, age or marital restrictions, limited method availability, misinformation, provider bias, and fear that privacy will not be respected. Access therefore means more than placing one product on a shelf. It includes informed choice, respectful care, reliable supply, follow-up, and the ability to change methods when side effects or life circumstances change (World Health Organization, n.d.).

Why Cost Matters

Even a modest recurring cost can reduce consistent use for students, low-wage workers, uninsured people, and households facing unstable income. Clinical visits, transport, time away from work, laboratory requirements, and pharmacy availability add indirect costs. When a highly effective method requires a substantial upfront payment, a person may select a less suitable method or use none despite preferring it. No-cost coverage can reduce this mismatch, but reimbursement should

support a full range rather than steer patients toward whichever method is cheapest for the institution. Cost removal is ethically strongest when it expands voluntary choice instead of becoming pressure to avoid pregnancy among marginalized populations.

Contraceptive Choice

Available methods include implants, intrauterine devices, pills, injections, patches, vaginal rings, condoms, fertility-awareness methods, emergency contraception, and permanent procedures. Effectiveness depends on method and use. Long-acting reversible methods require little ongoing action and are highly effective, while pills and condoms depend more on consistent use. Only condoms also reduce transmission of sexually transmitted infections, including HIV. The best method is the one that is medically appropriate and fits the person's preferences concerning bleeding, privacy, control, duration, reversibility, side effects, and pregnancy plans. A universal-access policy should make several options genuinely available rather than treating one method as best for everyone.

Medical Eligibility and Safety

Most people can use at least one contraceptive method safely, but individual conditions matter. The WHO's sixth edition of the Medical Eligibility Criteria, published in 2025, contains more than two thousand recommendations across twenty-five methods. Estrogen-containing contraception may be unsuitable for some people with particular clotting, cardiovascular, migraine, smoking, or postpartum risks, while other methods may remain appropriate. Medical screening should be evidence-based and should not create unnecessary barriers. Some methods can be started without pelvic examination or laboratory testing, while procedures such as IUD insertion require trained care. Accessibility must therefore combine convenience with competent assessment rather than assuming that all contraception is interchangeable.

Health Benefits beyond Pregnancy Prevention

Hormonal methods can provide benefits beyond contraception, including lighter or more predictable bleeding, reduced menstrual pain, and management of conditions such as endometriosis or heavy menstrual bleeding. Combined oral contraceptives are associated with reduced risk of endometrial and ovarian cancer, but the relationship with cancer is not uniformly protective. Current or recent use may be associated with small increases in some risks, and individual counseling should avoid broad claims that birth control prevents cervical cancer. Health benefits and harms vary by method and person. Public arguments are more credible when they present this nuance rather than describing contraceptives as either completely harmless or inherently dangerous.

Unintended Pregnancy and Maternal Health

Preventing unintended pregnancy allows people to address medical conditions, improve nutrition, review medications, space births, and prepare socially and financially. Pregnancy carries real health risks even when care is excellent, and those risks may be higher for adolescents or people with particular conditions. Contraception can reduce exposure to pregnancy-related complications by allowing timing and spacing to reflect health and preference. This argument should not stigmatize unplanned children or imply that low-income parents are incapable of love. The ethical focus is on preventing a pregnancy that the person does not want at that time and supporting all families after pregnancy occurs.

Education and Economic Opportunity

Control over pregnancy timing can support school completion, workforce participation, and family economic planning. These benefits are particularly important where caregiving falls unequally on women and where paid leave, childcare, and health coverage are limited. However, contraception should not be presented as the solution to poverty or inadequate social policy. People who choose children still need affordable housing, healthcare, childcare, and fair employment. Access expands options; it does not replace public responsibility. Programs should avoid suggesting that disadvantaged communities can solve structural inequality by having fewer children. Reproductive autonomy includes the ability to prevent pregnancy and the ability to parent with dignity.

Contraception and Abortion

Contraception can reduce the number of unintended pregnancies and therefore some demand for abortion, but the two forms of care should not be treated as moral or medical substitutes in every case. Contraceptive failure occurs, wanted pregnancies can develop serious complications, and personal circumstances can change. Some people also cannot use or access their preferred method. Describing contraception as universally “safer than abortion” oversimplifies methods and clinical contexts. Both contraception and abortion have evidence-based safety guidance. A policy supporting birth control can be justified independently through autonomy and preventive health without using abortion stigma. Accurate counseling should explain effectiveness, side effects, emergency options, and what to do when a method fails (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2024).

Over-the-Counter Access

Over-the-counter availability can reduce appointment, prescription, and scheduling barriers for appropriate methods. In the United States, some contraceptive pills have become available without a prescription, while condoms and emergency contraception also have nonprescription pathways. Other

methods require clinical insertion, injection, or permanent procedures. Over-the-counter access is not complete access if the product remains unaffordable, pharmacies do not stock it, instructions are inaccessible, or privacy is weak. People should still have access to pharmacists and clinicians for questions without being forced into unnecessary visits. A mixed system of self-care and professional support can increase convenience while preserving safety and informed choice.

Adolescents and Confidentiality

Adolescents face distinctive barriers involving parental involvement, transportation, stigma, abusive relationships, and fear that billing or records will reveal care. Confidential services can reduce unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infection risk, while safeguarding rules must still respond to abuse and exploitation. Education should include consent, healthy relationships, condoms, method effectiveness, and access to trusted care. Providing contraception does not remove the need for protection from coercion. Programs should avoid judgment based on marital status and should not pressure adolescents toward long-acting methods because adults view their pregnancies as socially undesirable. Respectful counseling supports developing autonomy and safety together. Confidentiality must be explained clearly rather than promised beyond legal limits.

Religious and Moral Pluralism

People hold sincere moral and religious views about contraception, sexuality, and family life. Public policy in a plural society should protect individual conscience while ensuring that one institution's belief does not make essential healthcare practically unavailable to others. Patients should receive transparent information about which services a provider offers and timely referral where permitted and necessary. Conscience protection should not justify misinformation, humiliation, or emergency abandonment. Likewise, advocates should avoid depicting religious people as simply uneducated. Many traditions contain diverse positions on family planning. Respectful access policy focuses on voluntary choice: no person should be forced to use contraception, and no person should be denied lawful care through preventable cost or hidden obstruction.

Public Financing and Cost Effectiveness

No-cost contraception requires funding through insurance, public programs, clinics, or direct purchasing. Policy makers should compare the cost of methods and service delivery with the healthcare and social costs associated with unintended pregnancy, while avoiding the idea that a child's life is merely an expense. Public financing can be cost-effective because prevention is often less expensive than emergency care, but economic savings are not the only justification. Programs need adequate provider payment, supply security, rural access, and quality monitoring. A benefit exists on paper only when patients can find a participating provider and receive the chosen method

without surprise charges or long delays (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, n.d.).

Preventing Coercion

The history of reproductive policy includes forced sterilization, racial discrimination, disability-based coercion, and pressure on poor people to limit childbearing. Expanding access must therefore include strong consent protections. Counseling should be non-directive, interpreters should be available, and incentives should not make one choice financially irresistible. Patients need understandable information about reversibility, side effects, alternatives, and removal of implants or IUDs. Quality measures should not reward clinics simply for increasing uptake of long-acting methods. The proper outcome is whether people obtained the care they wanted and could change their decision, not whether administrators achieved a demographic target. Programs should also report complaints and removal access, not only initiation rates.

Conclusion

Birth control should be accessible without financial barriers because it enables people to plan pregnancy, protect health, continue education, and exercise reproductive autonomy. WHO and CDC guidance recognizes a wide range of safe and effective methods, but eligibility and preference differ. Universal access should therefore mean no-cost, voluntary, person-centered choice supported by accurate counseling, confidentiality, competent providers, and reliable supply. Claims about cancer, abortion, or prescription rules should be stated carefully rather than used as slogans. The policy must also guard against coercion and respect those who choose pregnancy. Reproductive justice is not achieved when contraception is merely available; it is achieved when people can decide freely whether, when, and how to use it.

References

1. World Health Organization. “Family Planning/Contraception Methods.” 3 July 2025.
2. World Health Organization. *Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use*. 6th ed., 2025.
3. World Health Organization. *Selected Practice Recommendations for Contraceptive Use*. 4th ed., 2025.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2024*.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. “Avoiding Pregnancy.” 8 May 2025.
6. Guttmacher Institute. Research on contraceptive access and unintended pregnancy.