Are Workers Exploited in the Manufacturing of US Imports?

Posted on October 8, 2018

1. Overview of Globalization, Trade, and Labor

Most people participate in the global economy through clothing, electronics, food, vehicles, medicines, furniture, and other products made partly or entirely in another country. International trade allows specialization, larger markets, lower prices, new employment, technology transfer, and access to goods that would be costly or impossible to produce domestically. Global economic integration accelerated during the nineteenth century, was disrupted by war and depression, and expanded again after World War II through transportation, communication, investment, and trade agreements. Since the late twentieth century, multinational supply chains have divided design, raw materials, components, assembly, logistics, marketing, and retail among many countries.

This system creates opportunity, but it also creates unequal bargaining power. Brands and buyers may shift orders among suppliers, while workers often cannot move as easily or negotiate directly with the final retailer. Governments may compete for investment through low costs and weak enforcement. The key policy question is therefore not whether international trade is inherently good or bad. It is whether the rules, purchasing practices, and institutions governing trade allow workers to receive safe conditions, lawful pay, freedom of association, and a meaningful share of productivity gains.

2. Are Workers Exploited in the Manufacturing of U.S. Imports?

Some workers producing U.S. imports are exploited, while others hold jobs that improve their income relative to available alternatives. These two observations can be true at the same time. Exploitation occurs when employers or buyers take unfair advantage of limited choices, poverty, migration status, gender, weak law enforcement, debt, or suppressed worker organization. Indicators include forced labor, child labor, dangerous workplaces, excessive hours, withheld wages, recruitment fees, retaliation, discrimination, and pay below legal standards. A worker's decision to accept a job does not prove that every condition is fair, especially when the alternatives are unemployment, informal work, or extreme poverty.

At the same time, export manufacturing has created millions of jobs and has contributed to industrialization in many countries. Factory employment may provide more regular income, training, or independence than informal agriculture or domestic service. The correct comparison is not between a real factory job and an imaginary perfect job. It is between the worker's actual options and the possibility of improving the existing job without eliminating it. Saying that workers are "better off than before" should not excuse preventable injury or wage theft. Economic improvement and labor rights are compatible goals.

Defining Exploitation

Exploitation is not simply the existence of a wage difference between countries. Wages reflect productivity, prices, skills, exchange rates, labor supply, institutions, and living costs. A lower nominal wage abroad can still support a reasonable standard of living relative to local prices, while a wage above the legal minimum may still be inadequate for basic needs. A serious evaluation considers the local legal standard, hours, benefits, purchasing power, safety, worker voice, and the distribution of value along the supply chain. It also asks whether workers can leave, organize, complain, and receive wages without coercion.

Global Supply Chains and Buyer Power

A supplier factory may operate on thin margins while a global brand controls product design, order volume, delivery deadlines, and price. If the buyer changes specifications late, demands rapid delivery, or threatens to move the order, factory managers may respond with excessive overtime, subcontracting, or production pressure. This does not remove the supplier's responsibility, but it shows why labor conditions cannot be improved through factory audits alone. Brands must examine their own purchasing practices. The International Labour Organization has emphasized that decent work in supply chains requires public regulation, effective labor standards, worker representation, and responsible private governance. (International Labour Organization, 2016; International Labour Organization, 2023)

The Electronics Example

The original response refers to Apple, Foxconn, and Samsung but incorrectly suggests that competition between Apple and Samsung itself proves worker exploitation. Electronics supply chains involve many companies: brands, contract manufacturers, component makers, mineral processors, logistics providers, and labor agencies. Documented concerns in the sector have included long hours, dormitory conditions, repetitive work, occupational exposure, student labor, recruitment practices, and restrictions on organizing. Brands have introduced supplier codes, audits, and remediation programs, but critics question whether those measures change the purchasing and power structures creating risk. The relevant evidence is working conditions and governance, not the fact that two companies compete.

Are These Workers Better Off Than Their Alternatives?

The answer varies by worker, country, and moment. A young rural migrant may earn more in export manufacturing than in seasonal agriculture. A woman may gain income and independence through factory work. Another worker may incur recruitment debt, face harassment, or lose health in an unsafe plant. Alternatives themselves are affected by trade and policy. Governments can improve education, transport, social protection, rural investment, and labor inspection so workers are not forced to choose between dangerous work and no income. The ethical goal should be to expand options rather than use poor alternatives as a defense of bad conditions.

Wages and the Cost of Living

Minimum wages are legal floors, not complete measures of fairness. Enforcement may be weak, and overtime or deductions can reduce take-home pay. Living-wage estimates attempt to calculate what a worker and family need for food, housing, healthcare, transport, education, and a modest margin for emergencies. Such estimates require transparent methodology and local consultation. Raising wages too abruptly without productivity, buyer support, or transition planning can create job loss or informality, but that risk should not become an argument for permanent poverty wages. Brands, suppliers, governments, and workers should negotiate realistic paths toward adequate compensation.

Health and Safety

Workplace safety is a basic right rather than a luxury that must wait for national income to rise. Fire exits, building integrity, machine guards, protective equipment, chemical controls, ventilation, heat protection, clean water, and emergency plans can prevent deaths and disability. Major industrial disasters have shown that voluntary assurances may fail when inspection, worker voice, and legal enforcement are weak. Buyers should pay prices and provide lead times that permit safe production. Workers should be able to refuse imminent danger and report hazards without retaliation.

Forced Labor, Recruitment Fees, and Migrant Workers

Migrant workers may pay recruiters for jobs, surrender passports, live in employer-controlled housing, or depend on visas linked to one employer. Debt and document retention can make apparently voluntary employment coercive. Companies need visibility beyond the first-tier factory because forced-labor risk can occur in recruitment, raw materials, or subcontracting. Effective due diligence includes mapping, worker interviews, repayment of prohibited fees, contract transparency, grievance systems, and termination only when remediation cannot protect workers.

Freedom of Association

Audits cannot replace workers' ability to organize and bargain collectively. Employees often know about unpaid overtime, harassment, unsafe equipment, and falsified records before outsiders do. Independent unions and worker organizations can negotiate improvements and monitor implementation continuously. Where organizing is suppressed, hotlines controlled by the brand may not be trusted. International standards should therefore protect freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining alongside minimum pay and safety rules.

3. Should U.S. Consumers Boycott or Increase Purchases?

A universal boycott of imported goods is unlikely to protect workers. A sudden collapse in orders can produce layoffs, unpaid wages, factory closure, or movement of production to a less visible location. Increasing purchases without conditions can also reward exploitative suppliers and intensify production pressure. Consumer action should therefore be targeted and connected with worker demands. If workers or credible organizations call for a boycott, consumers may use it as leverage. In other cases, supporting a brand with transparent sourcing, enforceable agreements, and verified remediation may be more constructive.

Limits of Ethical Consumerism

Consumers rarely have complete information about a product's supply chain. Labels may be vague, certifications differ, and one product may contain materials from many countries. Higher-priced goods are not automatically made ethically, and low-income consumers cannot carry primary responsibility for reform. Governments and corporations have more information and power. Consumer pressure is useful when it supports disclosure and regulation rather than reducing structural problems to personal shopping virtue.

Targeted Boycotts and Worker-Led Campaigns

A targeted boycott can be effective when demands are specific, evidence is credible, workers support the strategy, and the brand can change the condition. Demands might include reinstatement after retaliation, payment of wages, factory repairs, or recognition of a union. A campaign should include an exit condition so a company knows what remedy ends the boycott. Indefinite rejection of all products from one country can reinforce stereotypes and harm workers who comply with better standards.

Buycotts and Responsible Purchasing

Consumers can deliberately support companies that publish supplier lists, disclose audit findings, enter binding safety agreements, and provide remedy. They can ask retailers about wages, forced-labor prevention, repair, durability, and waste. Yet purchasing more goods solely to support jobs can increase environmental harm and does not guarantee better labor conditions. Buying fewer durable products from accountable sources may be more responsible than increasing consumption without need.

Effects of Demand Changes on Workers

Demand growth can create jobs, overtime, and investment, but it can also produce unsafe speed and temporary hiring. Demand decline can reduce excessive pressure or prompt reform, but it may also destroy livelihoods. The effect depends on contracts, notice periods, severance, social protection, and whether buyers absorb or transfer costs. Responsible brands should provide stable forecasting, reasonable lead times, timely payment, and responsible exit procedures. They should not cancel completed orders or leave workers unpaid during a crisis.

4. Should International Work Standards Be Set?

International labor standards are necessary because production crosses borders while enforcement remains largely national. If labor rights are left entirely to political systems competing for investment, weak protection can become a commercial advantage. The International Labour Organization creates conventions, recommendations, and supervisory processes developed through representatives of governments, employers, and workers. Fundamental principles include freedom of association, collective bargaining, elimination of forced labor, abolition of child labor, nondiscrimination, and a safe and healthy working environment.

International standards should establish floors, not identical wages and rules in every country. A uniform dollar minimum wage would ignore productivity and living costs. A common standard can instead require lawful contracts, noncoercion, safe work, payment of wages, reasonable hours, worker voice, and nondiscrimination. Countries can implement these principles through institutions suited to their legal systems while remaining accountable for outcomes.

National Sovereignty and International Responsibility

Governments have legitimate authority over labor law, but sovereignty does not eliminate international obligations voluntarily accepted through treaties and participation in trade. Labor abuses can affect foreign consumers, multinational companies, migration, and competition. International standards are most legitimate when negotiated inclusively and when developing countries receive technical and financial support for enforcement. Standards should not become disguised protectionism used to exclude competitors while wealthy countries ignore abuses in their own markets.

Trade Agreements and Labor Provisions

Trade agreements increasingly include labor chapters. Effective provisions require clear obligations, public complaints, evidence, timelines, and remedies. Enforcement focused only on government-to-government disputes may be slow. Technical cooperation, inspections, and support for unions can be combined with penalties for persistent noncompliance. Trade sanctions should be designed carefully so that employers and governments face pressure without imposing the entire cost on vulnerable workers.

Corporate Human-Rights Due Diligence

Companies should identify, prevent, mitigate, and account for human-rights risks connected with their operations and business relationships. Due diligence should cover raw materials, recruitment, subcontracting, and purchasing practices, not only direct suppliers. When a company causes or contributes to harm, it should provide or support remedy. Cutting ties may be necessary in severe cases, but abrupt exit can worsen harm. The objective is improvement and accountability rather than removal of a supplier from a brand’s public list. (Organisation for Economic Co-operation & Development, 2018; United Nations, 2011)

5. Who Should Set and Enforce Work Rules?

The original response says the U.S. Congress should set work rules for other countries. Congress can regulate imports, government procurement, corporate disclosure, sanctions, customs enforcement, and the conduct of U.S. companies within constitutional authority. It cannot alone write ordinary workplace rules for every foreign factory. Labor standards should be created through several levels: national governments enact and enforce labor law; the ILO establishes international principles; trade agreements create mutual obligations; companies set contractual standards; and workers and unions negotiate workplace rules.

Role of National Governments

National governments remain responsible for labor inspection, courts, wage law, occupational safety, social insurance, and protection of organizing. Enforcement needs trained inspectors, accessible complaints, reliable data, and penalties strong enough to deter violations. Corruption and understaffing weaken even well-written laws. Governments should also regulate labor recruiters and provide remedy for migrant workers. Employers should not be able to avoid liability through layers of subcontracting.

Role of the International Labour Organization

The ILO develops standards through a tripartite structure involving governments, employers, and workers. It monitors ratified conventions, provides technical assistance, and supports decent-work programs. It does not operate as a global police force, so implementation depends on national institutions, public pressure, and international cooperation. Its standards offer legitimacy and accumulated expertise that individual brands cannot create alone.

Role of U.S. Policy

The United States can prohibit imports made with forced labor, require supply-chain disclosure in selected areas, use procurement standards, enforce anti-trafficking rules, and negotiate labor provisions. Customs action should use credible evidence and provide procedures for remediation. U.S. law should also protect workers domestically, because demanding rights abroad while tolerating wage theft or unsafe work at home undermines credibility. Import policy works best when paired with assistance helping producers meet standards.

Role of Companies

Brands and retailers should translate international principles into supplier contracts, purchasing practices, training, monitoring, worker grievance systems, and remedy. Codes of conduct are insufficient when commercial teams reward lower prices and faster delivery regardless of labor consequences. Boards should oversee supply-chain risk, and companies should disclose serious findings and progress. Independent worker interviews and union participation improve reliability.

Role of Workers and Civil Society

Workers should not be treated merely as beneficiaries of rules written by governments and corporations. They need representation in standard setting, monitoring, and remedy. Unions, worker centers, journalists, researchers, and nongovernmental organizations can reveal hidden conditions and support claims. Whistleblowers need protection. Standards imposed without worker voice may look impressive while failing in practice.

Enforcement Tools

Enforcement can include inspections, back-pay orders, fines, criminal penalties for severe coercion, trade remedies, procurement exclusion, customs detention, civil liability, contract consequences, public reporting, and collective bargaining. The tool should match the violation. Minor documentation errors should not receive the same response as forced labor or lethal safety violations. Remedy should prioritize workers through wage payment, medical care, reinstatement, recruitment-fee reimbursement, compensation, and safer conditions.

Conclusion

Workers producing U.S. imports cannot be classified universally as either exploited victims or fortunate beneficiaries of trade. Export manufacturing can raise income and create opportunity, while unequal power can produce long hours, unsafe conditions, forced labor, wage theft, and suppression of worker voice. The fact that a job is better than one alternative does not make its abuses acceptable. Broad consumer boycotts can harm workers, but targeted worker-led campaigns and responsible purchasing can support reform. International standards are necessary, though they should establish fundamental rights rather than identical pay everywhere. Enforcement must be shared by national governments, the ILO, trade institutions, companies, unions, civil society, and importing countries. The goal is not to end international trade but to make participation in it consistent with decent work.

Bibliography

International Labour Organization. (2016). *Resolution concerning decent work in global supply chains*.

International Labour Organization. (2023). *ILO strategy on decent work in supply chains*.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2018). *OECD due diligence guidance for responsible business conduct*.

United Nations. (2011). *Guiding principles on business and human rights*.