

Virtue Is The Behavior That Shows The Highest Moral Standards

Posted on May 13, 2020

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/virtue-is-the-behavior-that-shows-the-highest-moral-standards/>

Introduction

Virtue refers to a stable quality of character that disposes a person to recognize what is good and act accordingly. Common examples include honesty, courage, justice, compassion, humility, patience, and integrity, although cultures and philosophical traditions may describe or prioritize these qualities differently. Virtue is therefore more than isolated behavior. A person who tells the truth once under favorable conditions has performed an honest act, but honesty as a virtue implies a settled tendency to value truth across changing circumstances. Classical virtue ethics, especially in Aristotle, treats moral development as a process in which repeated action, judgment, emotion, and practical wisdom gradually shape character. This view avoids two extremes: the idea that virtue is entirely innate and the idea that morality is simply whatever a society happens to approve. Human beings develop dispositions through family, education, institutions, experience, and deliberate practice, yet those dispositions must still be evaluated critically. Virtue ethics asks not only “What rule should I follow?” but also “What kind of person should I become, and what habits make good action more reliable?” (Aristotle, 2019).

Virtue as Habit and Practical Wisdom

Aristotle argues that moral virtues are formed through habituation. People become just by practicing just actions, courageous by acting courageously, and temperate by repeatedly learning how to regulate desire. Habit, however, does not mean mechanical repetition. A morally mature person must understand the situation, choose the action knowingly, and act for the right reasons. This is why practical wisdom is essential. Rules such as “always tell the truth” or “always be brave” can conflict with context, consequences, and competing obligations. Telling a dangerous person where a victim is hiding would not ordinarily count as virtuous honesty, and reckless risk-taking is not courage simply because it looks bold. Practical wisdom helps a person determine what a virtue requires in a particular circumstance. Hursthouse and Pettigrove (2018) explain that virtue ethics connects character with perception and judgment, because good action depends on seeing morally relevant features of a situation. Virtue is therefore not a fixed personality trait detached from reasoning; it is an educated disposition guided by experience, reflection, and sensitivity to context.

The Doctrine of the Mean and Moral Balance

Aristotle's doctrine of the mean is often misunderstood as a recommendation to choose the mathematical middle between two extremes. Instead, it describes virtue as an appropriate response relative to the person and situation. Courage, for example, lies between cowardice and rashness, but the correct action depends on the danger, the purpose, the person's responsibilities, and what is realistically possible. Generosity similarly involves giving in the right way, to the right people, for the right reasons, rather than simply giving an average amount. Some actions, such as cruelty or deliberate injustice, are not made virtuous by moderation. The doctrine therefore requires judgment rather than arithmetic. It also explains why virtues can come into tension. Compassion may support mercy, while justice may require accountability; loyalty may support a friend, while honesty may require challenging that friend's wrongdoing. Moral maturity consists partly in learning how to interpret and balance these considerations without reducing them to one rigid formula. Virtue ethics is demanding because it requires continuous judgment about character, motive, proportion, and consequence.

Family, Education, and Social Institutions

Character develops within social environments. Children learn through instruction, imitation, praise, correction, stories, routines, and observation of how adults treat other people. Families can cultivate honesty, patience, responsibility, and compassion, but schools and wider institutions also shape moral development by rewarding certain behaviors and normalizing others. A school that teaches respect while tolerating humiliation communicates a contradictory lesson. A workplace that celebrates integrity but rewards deception under sales pressure does the same. MacIntyre (2007) emphasizes that virtues develop within social practices and traditions that define standards of excellence and relationships of responsibility. This means moral education cannot be reduced to memorizing lists of desirable traits. Institutions must create conditions in which ethical behavior is practiced and supported. At the same time, social norms require critical evaluation because societies have historically defended slavery, discrimination, conquest, and other injustices. A person is not virtuous merely for conforming. Moral education should therefore combine inherited traditions with reflection, evidence, dialogue, and the capacity to challenge norms that conflict with justice and human dignity.

Virtue in a Diverse and Changing Society

Moral diversity creates a difficult question: if communities disagree about values, can virtue have any stable meaning? Complete moral relativism would imply that whatever a society accepts becomes virtuous within that society, but this makes criticism of oppression nearly impossible. A stronger approach recognizes cultural variation while asking whether practices support human flourishing, fairness, trust, and freedom from serious harm. Honesty, courage, compassion, justice, humility, and

integrity can be expressed differently across contexts without becoming meaningless. For example, cultures may disagree about how directly criticism should be expressed while still valuing truthfulness and respect. Modern pluralistic societies also require tolerance, but tolerance is not passive acceptance of every action. It involves living peacefully with difference while maintaining principled limits where others' rights or safety are threatened. Virtue ethics therefore benefits from dialogue across traditions rather than claiming that one cultural form exhausts morality. Foot (2001) argues that virtues are connected to forms of human life and practical necessities, offering a way to discuss moral standards without treating them as arbitrary preferences.

Virtue in Digital and Organizational Life

Contemporary moral character is increasingly tested in digital environments and institutions where individual choices can affect people who are distant or unseen. Online anonymity can encourage cruelty, misinformation, impulsive judgment, and public shaming, while social platforms reward attention and rapid reaction. Virtues such as patience, honesty, humility, and justice therefore require deliberate practice in communication, sharing, and disagreement. Organizations face a related challenge because institutional incentives can strengthen or weaken personal virtue. An employee may value honesty but work in a culture that punishes disclosure of mistakes; a manager may value fairness while relying on biased evaluation systems. Ethical organizations support virtue through transparent rules, accountable leadership, protected reporting channels, fair procedures, and incentives aligned with responsible behavior. Individual character still matters, but institutional design affects which behaviors are easy, rewarded, or dangerous. Virtue ethics is therefore relevant beyond private morality. It asks how communities and organizations can cultivate habits that make trustworthy action more likely while reducing environments in which people must choose between moral integrity and social or economic survival.

Conclusion

Virtue is best understood as a stable but developing disposition to perceive, value, and act on what is morally important. It is not simply obedience to social norms, nor is it an inborn quality that appears fully formed. Classical virtue ethics emphasizes habituation, but good character also requires practical wisdom because virtues must be interpreted within complex situations. Courage can become recklessness, loyalty can conflict with justice, and honesty can require judgment about context and harm. Families, schools, communities, and workplaces all contribute to character formation, yet social approval is not sufficient evidence that a practice is morally good. Virtue therefore combines personal habit with critical reflection. In a diverse and digital world, qualities such as honesty, courage, compassion, justice, humility, patience, and integrity remain meaningful precisely because they help people navigate disagreement and power responsibly. The central ethical question is not whether a person can become perfectly virtuous. It is whether repeated choices and institutions are shaping people toward wiser, fairer, and more trustworthy action over time (Aristotle, 2019; Hursthouse &

Pettigrove, 2018).

Bibliography

Aristotle. (2019). *Nicomachean ethics* (T. Irwin, Trans.; 3rd ed.). Hackett.

Foot, P. (2001). *Natural goodness*. Oxford University Press.

Hursthouse, R., & Pettigrove, G. (2018). Virtue ethics. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.

MacIntyre, A. (2007). *After virtue* (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press.