

Video Games as a Form of Mass Media

Posted on July 10, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/video-games-as-a-form-of-mass-media/>

Introduction

Video games are a form of mass media because they distribute symbolic content, stories, images, rules, commercial messages, and cultural values to large and geographically dispersed audiences through industrial and digital communication systems. Their interactive nature distinguishes them from older media such as print, radio, film, and television, but interactivity does not remove them from the category of media. Instead, it changes the relationship between producer and audience. Players do not simply receive a fixed sequence of messages; they make choices within systems designed by developers, publishers, platforms, and communities. Contemporary games also circulate through advertising, streaming, esports, social networks, fan communities, and user-generated content, linking them to a broader media ecosystem. A small independent game and a global blockbuster differ greatly in audience size, yet both use reproducible technologies capable of mass distribution. The cultural importance of [video games](#) therefore lies not only in entertainment but also in their ability to organize narratives, identities, commercial relationships, political meanings, social interaction, and participation at scale (Jenkins, 2006; Mäyrä, 2008).

Interactivity, Narrative, and the Active Audience

Games are distinctive mass media because the audience acts inside a designed system. A novel controls the order of printed pages and a film controls the sequence of shots, while many games allow players to choose routes, strategies, dialogue options, or forms of participation. These choices can alter pacing, perspective, difficulty, relationships, or endings, yet the player's freedom remains bounded by the rules and possibilities coded into the game. This combination makes game audiences active without making developers irrelevant. Narrative games can communicate through characters, settings, dialogue, music, cinematography, and branching stories, while non-narrative games can still express meaning through competition, reward structures, simulation, and repetition. Bogost (2007) describes "procedural rhetoric" as the way rule-based systems can make arguments by showing how processes operate. A game about managing a city, war, household, or ecological system can therefore communicate assumptions through what it rewards, restricts, or makes possible. Interactivity turns reception into performance, but the architecture of that performance remains a form of media authorship.

Advertising, Industry, and Media Convergence

Video games operate within commercial media industries that rely on advertising, branding, licensing, subscriptions, digital storefronts, downloadable content, and platform ecosystems. Products can appear inside games through branded vehicles, clothing, sports sponsorships, billboards, or virtual objects, while games themselves are marketed through trailers, social media, television, online video, influencers, conventions, and cross-promotional partnerships. Media convergence also allows a fictional world to move between film, television, comics, toys, streaming, and games, creating transmedia franchises in which audiences follow characters across formats (Jenkins, 2006). These commercial relationships demonstrate that games are not isolated software products. They participate in the same attention economy as other mass media while adding distinctive monetization practices such as microtransactions, season passes, cosmetic items, and virtual economies. Such systems can shape the design of play because revenue models may reward long engagement, repeated purchases, or social comparison. Critical analysis should therefore examine not only game content but also ownership, platform control, advertising, data collection, and the economic incentives that influence how games are produced and distributed.

Representation, Political Meaning, and Procedural Messages

Games communicate ideas about race, gender, nationality, war, crime, labor, history, and social order through both representation and rules. Character design, dialogue, visual style, and narrative framing can reproduce familiar stereotypes or challenge them. Procedural systems add another layer because they define what actions succeed, what resources matter, and which groups or institutions appear as obstacles or allies. A military game, for example, may present conflict through the perspective of a powerful state and reward tactical dominance without exploring civilian experience, while a strategy game may represent land primarily as a resource to acquire and exploit. These design choices do not force every player to adopt the same interpretation, but they shape the field of possible experience. Games can also be used intentionally for political advocacy, public education, historical interpretation, or documentary work. Bogost (2007) argues that rules themselves can function rhetorically, making games especially useful for modeling systems. Media literacy should therefore ask how a game's mechanics support or complicate the explicit story it tells.

Violence, Learning, and Social Effects

Public discussion frequently treats video games as though one medium produces one uniform behavioral effect, but the evidence and the medium are more complex. Games vary in genre, realism, social context, duration, difficulty, audience, and purpose. A violent competitive shooter, cooperative adventure, educational simulation, puzzle game, and sports title should not be analyzed as equivalent

experiences. Research on media effects has examined aggression, attention, learning, mood, and social behavior, but causal conclusions depend heavily on measurement and design. Games can teach spatial reasoning, strategic planning, collaboration, historical concepts, or technical procedures when the design aligns with learning goals, while poorly designed “educational” games can be ineffective despite their label. Violence also needs contextual analysis: a game may reward aggression, criticize it, use it symbolically, or make the consequences of violence central to the narrative. The appropriate conclusion is not that games have no effects or always create harm. Media effects are shaped by content, player characteristics, social setting, repetition, and the broader environment in which play occurs.

Streaming, Esports, Communities, and User Production

Modern gaming increasingly extends beyond the moment of play. Streaming platforms allow millions of viewers to watch other people play, turning gameplay into performance and commentary. Esports transform selected games into organized spectator competition with teams, sponsorship, broadcasting, and professional audiences. Online multiplayer systems also create social spaces where people build friendships, rivalries, guilds, fandoms, and shared identities across national boundaries. Players may produce modifications, custom maps, machinima, fan art, guides, reviews, and videos, blurring the distinction between audience and producer. Jenkins (2006) describes participatory culture as an environment in which consumers also circulate and create media, and games provide a particularly strong example. These communities can produce creativity, cooperation, and belonging, but they can also reproduce harassment, exclusion, misinformation, or exploitative labor. Platform moderation, community standards, recommendation systems, and access to creation tools therefore influence the culture surrounding games. Mass-media analysis must include these participatory networks because much of a game’s social meaning now develops through communities that exist around, rather than only inside, the software.

Conclusion

Video games qualify as mass media because they distribute reproducible cultural content to large audiences and participate in industrial systems of communication, advertising, ownership, and audience measurement. Their defining feature is not separation from older media but a distinctive form of interactivity in which players act within designed rules. Games communicate through narrative, images, sound, character representation, simulation, reward structures, and procedural systems, while their cultural reach expands through streaming, esports, fan production, and social networks. They can entertain, educate, advertise, persuade, reproduce stereotypes, model political systems, or create communities, sometimes doing several of these things at once. The effects of games cannot be reduced to a single claim about violence or entertainment because genres, players,

contexts, and mechanics differ substantially. Critical game literacy therefore requires attention to both content and structure: who designed the system, which actions it rewards, how it represents people and institutions, how it is financed, and how audiences participate. As interactive communication becomes increasingly central to digital culture, games should be studied alongside film, television, print, and social media as influential components of the mass-media environment.

Works Cited

Bogost, Ian. *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. MIT Press, 2007.

Jenkins, Henry. *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York University Press, 2006.

Mäyrä, Frans. *An Introduction to Game Studies: Games in Culture*. SAGE, 2008.