

Type 2 Diabetes And Its Association With Lifestyle

Posted on October 23, 2019

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes develops through an interaction of genetic susceptibility, progressive beta-cell dysfunction, insulin resistance, body-fat distribution, age, physical activity, diet, sleep, medications, pregnancy history, and social and environmental conditions. It is therefore inaccurate to describe the disease as the result of one “modern lifestyle” choice. Urbanization can alter transport, work, food availability, housing, and opportunities for activity, while individual risk also varies substantially. Research from Cameroon is useful because it examines these relationships in a setting where urban lifestyles, traditional foods, economic constraints, and health-system access intersect. Earlier Cameroonian surveys identified diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, obesity, and hypertension as emerging public-health concerns, especially in urban populations (Kufe et al., 2015; Mbanya et al., 1997). The strongest interpretation is not that one food or behavior causes diabetes but that several metabolic and contextual factors cluster together. Current American Diabetes Association guidance similarly treats type 2 diabetes as heterogeneous and emphasizes individualized prevention and care rather than a single diet or weight target for everyone (American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee [ADA], 2026a).

What the Cameroonian Evidence Can Show

The Cameroonian research summarized in this assignment used cross-sectional methods, including elements of the World Health Organization STEPwise approach to noncommunicable-disease surveillance. Cross-sectional studies are valuable for estimating prevalence and identifying associations, but exposure and outcome are measured during the same general period, so temporal order can be uncertain. In the Yaoundé analysis by Kufe and colleagues, 1,623 adults aged 25 years or older had fasting-glucose data; type 2 diabetes prevalence was reported at 3.3 percent and combined impaired fasting glucose or diabetes at 5.7 percent, with age and abdominal obesity among the independent correlates (Kufe et al., 2015). Those estimates describe the sampled urban population at that time and should not be treated as a permanent national rate. A household probability sample, clinic population, and volunteer screening campaign can produce different prevalence estimates. The design also permits reverse causation: a person already diagnosed with diabetes may have changed diet, activity, or weight before answering the survey, so a healthier reported behavior can appear statistically associated with disease without causing it.

Diagnosis, Prediabetes, and Measurement

Diabetes can be diagnosed using standardized A1C or plasma-glucose criteria, including fasting plasma glucose, a two-hour glucose value during an oral glucose tolerance test, or random plasma glucose in the presence of classic hyperglycemic symptoms or crisis. Current ADA guidance states that, without unequivocal hyperglycemia, diagnosis requires confirmatory abnormal testing rather than reliance on one borderline result (ADA, 2026a). This principle is important when interpreting population surveys because a single field measurement may differ from a clinical diagnosis. Prediabetes and impaired fasting glucose are also not interchangeable with established type 2 diabetes; they identify elevated risk and may warrant prevention interventions, but their thresholds and prognostic meaning differ. The WHO STEPS approach strengthens population research by standardizing questionnaires and physical measurements, yet any survey still depends on staff training, fasting compliance, calibrated devices, and clearly stated diagnostic criteria (World Health Organization [WHO], n.d.). Studies should distinguish previously diagnosed disease, newly detected hyperglycemia, and people receiving treatment whose measured glucose may be controlled. Without those distinctions, prevalence and behavior patterns can be misinterpreted.

Obesity, Activity, Diet, and Urban Living

Abdominal obesity and excess body fat are important risk factors for type 2 diabetes, but body mass index is an imperfect proxy for body composition and does not explain risk by itself. Cameroonian urban surveys have documented substantial overweight and obesity, with sex differences that should be interpreted through social as well as biological pathways (Kamadjeu et al., 2006). Physical activity also extends beyond formal exercise to walking, transport, farming, domestic work, and manual employment, so questionnaires designed around leisure exercise can undercount activity in some populations. Diet should be assessed as an overall pattern rather than through one food group. A counterintuitive association between greater reported fruit and vegetable intake and diabetes in a cross-sectional survey may reflect dietary changes after diagnosis, serving definitions, confounding, or measurement error; it is not evidence that vegetables cause diabetes. Current ADA guidance recommends individualized eating patterns emphasizing nutrient-dense foods such as nonstarchy vegetables, whole fruits, legumes, whole grains, nuts, seeds, and lean proteins while reducing sugar-sweetened beverages and highly processed foods (ADA, 2026b).

Prevention Without Blaming Individuals

Effective prevention combines personal support with changes in the environments that shape behavior. For adults with overweight or obesity who are at high risk for type 2 diabetes, the 2026 ADA Standards recommend evidence-based prevention programs aimed at sustained weight reduction of roughly 5–7 percent of initial body weight together with at least 150 minutes per week of moderate-

intensity physical activity (ADA, 2026c). Those targets are population-level guidance, not moral judgments or universal prescriptions. In Cameroon, practical interventions need to fit local foods, household budgets, transport patterns, work schedules, safety, and access to healthcare. Familiar staples such as cassava, plantain, maize, cocoyam, yams, beans, vegetables, and groundnuts can form part of varied diets depending on portions, preparation, and the overall pattern. Public-health policy can also improve food labeling, school meals, urban design, primary-care access, maternal health, and affordable availability of minimally processed foods. Prevention fails when it tells individuals to exercise or eat differently without addressing whether safe spaces, time, food choice, and clinical follow-up are realistically available.

Implications for Screening and Integrated Care

Type 2 diabetes frequently coexists with hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia, kidney disease, and cardiovascular risk, making integrated primary care more efficient than treating each condition in isolation. Current ADA guidance recommends risk-based screening and states that, for adults without other indications, screening should begin at age 35, with earlier testing for people with overweight or obesity plus additional risk factors and regular follow-up for those with prediabetes or prior gestational diabetes (ADA, 2026a). Local programs in Cameroon should adapt screening to resources and population characteristics rather than copy U.S. thresholds mechanically, but the underlying principle remains useful: earlier detection has value only when abnormal results can be confirmed and linked to accessible treatment. Diabetes management extends beyond glucose to blood pressure, kidney health, eye care, foot care, cardiovascular risk, nutrition, medication access, and psychosocial support. Community screening that identifies high glucose without affordable follow-up has limited benefit. Health systems therefore need trained personnel, reliable supplies, laboratory capacity, referral pathways, medicines, patient records, and education that respects cultural practices while communicating risk accurately.

Conclusion

Research from urban Cameroon supports concern about type 2 diabetes and related metabolic risk, but the evidence should be interpreted with the strengths and limits of cross-sectional surveillance in mind. Age, abdominal obesity, hypertension, and other factors may cluster with abnormal glucose, yet such studies cannot prove that a single behavior caused disease. They are particularly vulnerable to reverse causation when people change diet or activity after diagnosis. Current clinical guidance reinforces a broader interpretation: type 2 diabetes is heterogeneous, diagnosis requires standardized testing and usually confirmation, and prevention should combine individualized nutrition, physical activity, weight management where appropriate, and attention to wider cardiovascular risk (ADA, 2026a; ADA, 2026b; ADA, 2026c). The public-health lesson is therefore neither that urban culture inevitably causes diabetes nor that individuals simply need more discipline. Risk develops within biological, household, economic, and physical environments. Stronger surveillance, culturally relevant

prevention, integrated primary care, and affordable long-term treatment can address those levels together while avoiding stigma and unsupported claims about particular foods, sexes, or communities.

References

American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. (2026a). Diagnosis and classification of diabetes: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2026. *Diabetes Care*, 49(Suppl. 1), S27–S49.

American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. (2026b). Facilitating positive health behaviors and well-being to improve health outcomes: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2026. *Diabetes Care*, 49(Suppl. 1).

American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. (2026c). Prevention or delay of diabetes and associated comorbidities: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2026. *Diabetes Care*, 49(Suppl. 1).

Kamadjeu, R. M., Edwards, R., Atanga, J. S., Kiawi, E. C., Unwin, N., & Mbanya, J. C. (2006). Anthropometry measures and prevalence of obesity in the urban adult population of Cameroon: An update from the Cameroon Burden of Diabetes Baseline Survey. *BMC Public Health*, 6, 228. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-6-228>

Kufe, C. N., Klipstein-Grobusch, K., Leopold, F., et al. (2015). Risk factors of impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes in Yaoundé, Cameroon: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 15, 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1413-2>

Mbanya, J. C., Ngogang, J., Salah, J. N., Minkoulou, E., & Balkau, B. (1997). Prevalence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in a rural and an urban population in Cameroon. *Diabetologia*, 40, 824–829. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001250050755>

World Health Organization. (n.d.). *STEPwise approach to noncommunicable disease risk factor surveillance*.