Type 2 Diabetes And Its Association With Lifestyle

Posted on October 23, 2019

Introduction: A Changing Disease Pattern

Type 2 diabetes was once discussed in many African countries as a disease mainly associated with wealth or older age. That picture is no longer adequate. Urbanization, longer life expectancy, reduced physical activity in some occupations, changing food environments, obesity, hypertension, and unequal access to preventive care have increased the burden of diabetes across sub-Saharan Africa. Cameroon illustrates this transition. Traditional foods remain important, but daily life in Yaoundé, Douala, Bamenda, Garoua, and other cities increasingly includes motorized transport, sedentary employment, highly processed products, restaurant meals, and economic conditions that make healthy choices easier for some households than for others.

The original paper summarizes a cross-sectional study of adults in urban Cameroon and reports relationships among type 2 diabetes, age, body mass index, blood pressure, sex, diet, and other lifestyle factors. Its general conclusion—that diabetes and obesity require stronger prevention—is defensible. Several statements, however, need closer examination. A cross-sectional survey can identify associations but cannot establish that one behavior caused diabetes. A finding that people reporting more fruit and vegetable servings had higher diabetes prevalence does not mean fruit and vegetables increase risk. People who already know they have diabetes may change their diet, questionnaire categories may be interpreted differently, and other variables may explain the association.

This essay reviews the study as a research appraisal rather than treating every reported number as unquestionable. It considers the design, measurement, results, limitations, and public-health significance of examining lifestyle and type 2 diabetes in Cameroon.

The Research Question

The central question is whether demographic, anthropometric, cardiovascular, and lifestyle factors are associated with impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes among adults living in an urban Cameroonian setting. This is an important question because prevention programs developed in Europe or North America cannot simply be transferred without considering local diets, patterns of work, cultural meanings of body size, healthcare access, and the accuracy of survey tools.

Risk is produced through several levels. At the individual level, age, family history, body composition,

activity, diet, sleep, and tobacco or alcohol use may matter. At the household level, income and food availability shape choices. At the city level, transport, markets, safety, employment, and access to clinics influence behavior. At the national level, health financing and surveillance determine whether risk is identified early. A study that asks only whether a person “exercises” may overlook these wider conditions.

Study Design and Population

The paper describes a cross-sectional design using elements of the World Health Organization STEPwise approach to noncommunicable-disease surveillance. (World Health Organization, n.d.) Cross-sectional studies collect exposure and outcome information during the same general period. They are useful for estimating prevalence and identifying groups that may need attention. They are usually faster and less expensive than long-term cohort studies.

The design also has an important limitation: timing is unclear. If obesity and diabetes are measured at the same visit, the study can show that they occur together, but it cannot prove which came first in every participant. Diabetes treatment may lead to weight change. A diagnosis may cause a person to alter diet or activity. This problem is called reverse causation.

Cameroon’s Burden of Diabetes project used standardized surveys in urban populations. One published analysis from Biyem-Assi, Yaoundé, included 1,623 adults aged 25 years or older with fasting-glucose data. It found a type 2 diabetes prevalence of 3.3% and a combined impaired-fasting-glucose/type 2 diabetes prevalence of 5.7%. Age and abdominal obesity were significant independent correlates. Earlier work had found relatively low diabetes prevalence but warned that impaired glucose tolerance and urban obesity suggested an emerging epidemic. (Mbanya et al., 1997) Other urban screening studies have produced higher estimates, partly because of different recruitment and diagnostic methods.

These differences show why the exact population matters. A household probability sample, a volunteer screening campaign, and a clinic population do not represent the same community. Volunteers attending a diabetes event may already believe they are at risk. Clinic patients are not a random sample of the city. Prevalence estimates should therefore be linked to the sampling method rather than presented as one fixed national rate.

Measurement of Diabetes

Diabetes may be identified through fasting plasma or capillary glucose, an oral glucose-tolerance test, glycated hemoglobin, medication history, or previous diagnosis. Each method has advantages and limitations. A single fasting measurement can be influenced by preparation, illness, equipment, and biological variation. Clinical diagnosis normally requires confirmation unless symptoms and glucose are unequivocal.

The WHO STEPS approach provides standardized procedures that improve comparison across populations. Participants should receive clear fasting instructions, trained staff should use calibrated equipment, and cutoffs should be stated. The study should distinguish known diabetes from newly detected high glucose because the groups may have different behavior. A participant already receiving treatment may have a normal fasting result while still having diabetes.

Impaired fasting glucose is not identical to diabetes. (Kufe et al., 2015) It identifies a higher-risk state in which glucose is above normal but below the diabetes threshold. Combining the two outcomes can increase statistical power but may obscure differences. A prevention program for people with early dysglycemia may differ from clinical management for established disease.

How Lifestyle Was Measured

Lifestyle is a convenient word, but it can conceal measurement difficulties. Diet questionnaires often ask how many servings of fruits and vegetables a person consumes, how often alcohol is used, or whether particular foods are eaten. Participants may interpret “serving” differently. Seasonal availability changes intake. A questionnaire may not capture cooking oil, portion size, sugary beverages, street foods, or the combination of foods in a meal.

Physical activity is equally complex. Walking to transport, farming, domestic labor, carrying goods, paid manual work, sport, and planned exercise all expend energy. Imported questionnaires may emphasize leisure exercise and underestimate activity that occurs through work or transport. In the published Yaoundé analysis, researchers themselves noted methodological challenges in applying the WHO STEPS physical-activity questions in that population.

Alcohol measurements need information on beverage type, amount, frequency, and pattern. A person who drinks a small amount regularly differs from someone who consumes a large quantity on occasional social occasions. Tobacco exposure should distinguish current, former, and never use and ideally estimate intensity and duration.

Self-report is not useless. It is often the only feasible way to collect population data. Its limitations should be acknowledged, and where possible, survey data should be combined with objective measures such as weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, glucose, and activity monitoring.

Body Size and Abdominal Obesity

Body mass index is calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. It is inexpensive and useful for population surveillance, but it does not directly measure body fat or fat distribution. Waist circumference may provide additional information because abdominal fat is closely related to insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk.

Cameroonian urban surveys have found substantial levels of overweight and obesity, especially among women. In the 2003 four-city CamBoD survey, more than one-quarter of men and almost half of women were overweight or obese by BMI, while obesity affected 6.5% of men and 19.5% of women. Those figures should not be used to blame women. Pregnancy history, work, income, cultural attitudes, food access, menopause, and structural conditions all influence body size.

The relationship between obesity and type 2 diabetes is strong at a population level, particularly for visceral fat. It is not absolute. Some people with obesity never develop diabetes, and some people in lower weight categories do. Age, genetics, pancreatic function, muscle and liver fat, sleep, medication, and other factors contribute. Prevention should avoid reducing every patient to weight alone.

Age and Blood Pressure

Increasing age is consistently associated with type 2 diabetes because insulin sensitivity and pancreatic beta-cell function change over time, while exposure to other risks accumulates. The original paper reports much higher risk among adults in older age groups. That pattern is plausible, but age categories should be accompanied by confidence intervals because small groups can produce unstable estimates.

Hypertension commonly occurs with diabetes. Shared drivers include age, obesity, diet, physical inactivity, kidney function, and vascular changes. A cross-sectional association does not show that high blood pressure caused diabetes, but it identifies a group requiring integrated care. Screening a patient for one condition provides an opportunity to assess the other.

Health systems in Cameroon and similar settings benefit from combining diabetes and hypertension services rather than maintaining separate programs that require repeated visits and costs. Primary care can measure blood pressure, glucose risk, waist, tobacco use, and treatment adherence during the same encounter.

Interpreting the Reported Sex Difference

The original summary states that diabetes prevalence was higher among women. Some Cameroonian studies have reported sex differences, while others have found little difference after age adjustment. Results may depend on the setting, sample, obesity patterns, and method of detection.

Women may face particular risks through gestational diabetes, limited time for exercise, economic dependence, food allocation within households, or reduced access to preventive services. Men may have higher exposure to tobacco, alcohol, or delayed healthcare in some communities. A useful analysis avoids assuming that biological sex alone explains the pattern. It asks which measurable social and metabolic pathways differ.

The Fruit-and-Vegetable Finding

A statement that higher fruit and vegetable consumption was associated with diabetes is easy to misunderstand. It should not be converted into advice to avoid these foods. Several explanations are possible.

First, people diagnosed with diabetes may have increased fruit and vegetable intake after receiving advice. In a cross-sectional study, the healthier behavior is recorded after the diagnosis, creating reverse causation. Second, “fruit” may include large portions or juices, and preparation methods may vary. Third, the association may disappear after adjustment for age, body size, income, or other variables. Fourth, the questionnaire may not measure the broader dietary pattern accurately.

The correct conclusion is that the survey did not demonstrate the expected protective association under its measurement conditions. It is not evidence that vegetables cause diabetes. Researchers should report whether the finding came from a univariable or multivariable model, the size of the estimate, and its uncertainty.

Urbanization Without Blaming Culture

The language of “Western lifestyle” is common in older diabetes research, but it can be imprecise. Urban change does not mean that Cameroonians simply abandon a healthy tradition and choose an unhealthy foreign culture. Cities reorganize time, work, transport, housing, and food prices. A person may purchase a fried snack because it is affordable and available near work, not because of ignorance. Long commutes may reduce time for cooking or activity. Safe public space may be limited.

Traditional Cameroonian staples such as cassava, plantain, maize, cocoyam, yams, beans, vegetables, and groundnuts can contribute to varied diets. Health effects depend on portion, preparation, total energy intake, and what accompanies them. Palm oil is culturally and economically important; its use should be discussed through quantity and overall dietary pattern rather than treated as a uniquely harmful national habit.

Public health becomes more effective when it changes environments as well as educating individuals. Food labeling, school meals, urban planning, primary care, maternal health, and affordable access to minimally processed foods all matter.

Strengths of the Research

The use of standardized WHO STEPS procedures is a major strength. Structured sampling and objective measurements can provide baseline information where routine health records are incomplete. Including blood pressure, anthropometry, glucose, and behavior allows researchers to

examine clusters of risk rather than one variable in isolation.

Population surveys can also identify undiagnosed disease. In many settings, people seek care only after symptoms or complications appear. Finding elevated glucose during a study creates an ethical obligation to provide results and referral, as the CamBoD investigators did.

Local evidence is more useful for planning than assuming that prevalence and risk patterns from another continent are identical. The study helped establish diabetes as a growing issue requiring primary-care and prevention capacity.

Limitations

Cross-sectional design prevents firm causal conclusions. Self-reported diet and physical activity are subject to recall and interpretation. One glucose measurement may misclassify some participants. Urban samples do not represent all of Cameroon, particularly rural communities with different occupations, access, and food environments.

Nonresponse can introduce bias if participants differ from people who do not attend. Women were often more highly represented in Cameroonian surveys, and older or employed adults may be harder to reach at home. Statistical weighting can reduce but not eliminate this problem.

The original essay also appears to combine figures from different studies. A sample of 1,279 and a 9% age-standardized prevalence do not align clearly with the best-documented CamBoD publications cited here. Academic writing should identify the exact source of every sample size and estimate. When a figure cannot be verified, it should not be repeated as fact.

Implications for Prevention and Care

Prevention should focus on earlier detection of elevated glucose and blood pressure, support for healthy weight and activity, and reduction of barriers to care. Community screening can be useful when linked to confirmation and treatment; screening without affordable follow-up offers little benefit.

Interventions should be designed with local communities. Dietary education can use familiar foods and realistic budgets. Activity programs should account for age, safety, disability, and daily work. Women with previous gestational diabetes need follow-up, while people with obesity, hypertension, family history, or older age may require more frequent assessment.

Primary care needs reliable supplies, trained personnel, medicines, laboratory capacity, and records. Diabetes management involves more than glucose. It includes kidney, eye, foot, cardiovascular, and mental-health care. Policies should also address urban food and transport environments so that prevention does not become an instruction individuals cannot follow.

Conclusion

Research from urban Cameroon supports concern about a growing burden of type 2 diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, obesity, and hypertension. Age and abdominal obesity emerge repeatedly as important correlates. The evidence is strong enough to justify prevention and earlier detection, but not to support simplistic claims that one food, one sex, or “modern lifestyle” alone causes the disease.

The study’s greatest contribution is the demonstration that standardized local surveillance is possible and necessary. Its limitations—cross-sectional timing, self-reported behavior, urban focus, and possible measurement error—should guide the next generation of research. Longitudinal studies can clarify which exposures precede disease, while public-health programs can translate current evidence into accessible care. Type 2 diabetes is influenced by personal behavior, but behavior occurs inside economic and physical environments. Effective prevention must work at both levels.

References

Kamadjeu, R. M., Edwards, R., Atanga, J. S., Kiawi, E. C., Unwin, N., & Mbanya, J. C. (2006). Anthropometry measures and prevalence of obesity in the urban adult population of Cameroon: An update from the Cameroon Burden of Diabetes Baseline Survey. *BMC Public Health, 6*, 228. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-6-228

Kufe, C. N., Klipstein-Grobusch, K., Leopold, F., et al. (2015). Risk factors of impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes in Yaoundé, Cameroon: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health, 15*, 59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1413-2

Mbanya, J. C., Ngogang, J., Salah, J. N., Minkoulou, E., & Balkau, B. (1997). Prevalence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in a rural and an urban population in Cameroon. *Diabetologia, 40*, 824–829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001250050755

World Health Organization. (n.d.). *STEPwise approach to noncommunicable disease risk factor surveillance*.

