

To what extent does precision change with the spread of knowledge?

Posted on June 12, 2018

There is an essential experience to justify information because it is not impossible to keep the person's needs before they last. My question is: to what extent does precision change with the spread of knowledge? My knowledge areas are the natural sciences, especially physics, and art, precisely – the performing arts. With these areas of knowledge, it will argue that we have more confidence, with a little bit of knowledge, about doing this with more information. The first area of information I consider is physics. I am sure we know a lot about physics when we know the basic laws of science and motion. However, as technological progress has increased, our evidence on physical laws has been expanded, and we have expanded our knowledge areas on astronomy. An examination of the scale of this purpose shows that the laws of physics on our planet have completely changed the astronomical level (International Baccalaureate Organization, 2006).

The physics laws of science have increased doubts about the increased knowledge available. The relationship definition gets physical equations. For example, the basic offer of the equation $d = 1 / 2at^2$ shows you how long you are from the bottom if you know the momentum and moment. However, each piece of data has its own ambiguity (because there is no accurate lack of accurate evidence collected by measurement), so there is so much variability that you cannot be as confident with yourself. The uncertainty of a basic equation offer is 5%, which is very high when you reach astronomy. Here is an example of how knowledge of the quantity of certainty and uncertainty. Not a very useful word, no. It is a simple type of ancestor that does not have enough light and confuses such confusion.

This illustrates the problem of abstract thinking accuracy – if you are working with a drawing, an “unintentional” type is just from your experience, and there are a variety of ways that abstractions may be misleading and inaccurate results. Example: “money for money”. This famous proposal wants to express the idea that the time you spend on something is the link between the economic effect of your time and the opportunity you missed, right? If I could make money instead of doing something other than the car park, the money in the park would save money from my pocket.

However, the “time money” is quite detailed and the story requires a lot. Very abstract – general and “schematic”: the railway system is similar to one of the maps on large square lines with accurate cross-linking connecting the intersections. Anyone who sees a real railway station knows that there is nothing like a point that connects two lines; The railway station is a tow to show the link between the railway lines and the stations. The map is schematic. Very simplified. Similarly, “temporary money” is scandalous – it is really, in some cases, invalid to others, and it is very simplified. You can express a limited truth, and you can not say, looking back, how long you have to do this idea. Therefore, there is

a lot of uncertainty about this information.

This does not mean that it is not completely used; This means that the abstraction must be sensitive to “value”: there is a force that collects small pieces that are easy to read, remember, and reuse. and other abstractions and in many cases. However, there is a risk of abuse, and we will begin to focus on customization and let you know what you want to explain – we will start to see the train station as a point between the two lines, and we will provide the data (International Baccalaureate Organization, 2006).

It is likely that you do not pay attention only if you “know little,” is it? This is the real moment, made by the aforementioned ancestor. However, if you think “this is the answer,” you will forget your power to know. Yes – not the answer; some of the problems the information is trying to solve: it must have a valuable resource carefully. If you need to pay attention to every detail of each case, the entrance will be addressed to you. Summarize and summarize general information (and drawing); for this reason, we can use our attention when necessary. The trick is that you know what your knowledge is when you are trusted and can judge when it reaches you, and when you need to keep track of the data. Putting it into a motto with a permanent label of judges is not impossible.

The history of modern philosophy and science derives their foundations in the mind of René Descartes: I think, therefore, “I think that is the reason.” Descartes’ philosophy is based on what we have known as the distinction of objects/facilities, beginning with suspicion/belly, which we see as our “simple facts” in our Director’s comments on how things can be seen. Descartes showed that all physical things can be suspected of the fact that “what is it” and “how” was They did not succeed in thinking about human nature as “devil” and “submission.” Descartes’s thought, guided by the “confidence” of his desire, is keen to fulfill the rationale in calculating the mathematical relations of the subject human (language, known as the WOK).

Through Descartes, the focus or paradigm changed, ensuring that people were at the center of what was happening and what they were doing. People begin to determine if there is something in which they are correlated and what they see in AOK, not “ideal” or “some” quality as a whole.

The ancient Greeks and Latins were suspicious as a necessity in the beginning of thought, but questioned whether there is a lot more confidence, and the purpose of thought is that “kindness”, as the world shows Gods kindness / Gods? What is a good life, and how do you manage it? In a modern thought, “friendship” is something determined according to its use, and this utility determines the “value”. The “Values” are human beings. Nothing is only a relationship with us and what it means “measure.” This question of “how long” is the question that something is something against a great standard that something is. The Greeks and Spanish did not “value.”

Many of the CAP prospects, as well as any “popular ideas,” show “the Relationship of Reality,” but the historical events that have been reinterpreted and the fact that information is discussed among Sophocrats and philosophers already throughout the history of thought. No “facts” are just the truth (s) of truth and knowledge – the society, all the truths, and lighting things are due to the choice of

writing, coherence, or pragmatic theories of reality. Each example is thought: Most of them are the correct language “alternative phenomenon,” of course, there are no “other facts” but alternative interpretations of events or phenomena, or the interpretation of the perspective of any person who does or does not R or in most cases, the “Non-competitive” ignorance on pharmaceuticals or emotions transmitted at other terminals. Socrates once said: “The information is not the opposite of ignorance, but insanity.” Here are the points of this statement regarding the seriousness of the results when deciding on what information to use.

The Greeks understood that we gave “personal information” as “phronesis,” and he found “knowledge” to be practice information. For the Greeks, young people did not have enough in terms of “personal information”, because their age experience did not have the right choice. The end of Fronesia is “happiness”: it makes a good choice that will lead to happiness. The role of doubt we play here depends on the authorities found in choosing whether the elections are right or are similar to those of parents, teachers, doctors, etc. In many cases, depending on “experts,” choosing is important because we do not. The patterns, in the Greeks of the Greeks, make the heroes the right choice and achieve the tragic goals they choose. In many cases, elections are based on the fact that they rely on “masters” who are not “reasonable”, whether doctors, boys or politicians.

The content of each academic discipline or area of default study is: for example, the definition of “life” or “biological” in itself is in the field of “learning”; to be determined. People need to have a predicted understanding of the things in the “knowledge areas” to stand among the creatures/assets or “approach” to things in these areas that must be in the field of work. This “stop” applies to personal and general information. The scheme of knowledge and approach to AOK is prescribed by the scheme that we choose to “work together” to the extent to which each KLA should be interviewed. When understanding personal information, we must first define it, understand it, and do it practically or practically. The decisive issue for each KLA was that the AOK thought “what” and what, “why” (International Baccalaureate Organization, 2006)

Work Cited

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. pp. 3-4.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. pp. 6-35.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. p. 3.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. p. 5.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. p. 41. February 2016

Core: Diploma requirements – 2 Theory of knowledge”. 2009 Handbook of procedures for the Diploma Program. ibo.org.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. p. 44.

“Help” with IB assessment tasks”. ibo.org. Archived from the original on April 20, 2009.

Assessment Details”. Theory of Knowledge guide. International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2013.

Theory of knowledge guide (first examinations 2008). International Baccalaureate Organization. March 2006. pp. 46–50, 57–60.

“Theory of knowledge presentation: Tips and Pitfalls”. presentationexamples.org. 2016.