The True Exchange Rate Between Yuan And USD

Posted on September 11, 2019

Introduction

The phrase “true exchange rate” sounds as if the Chinese yuan and the U.S. dollar should have one objectively correct price. In practice, several exchange-rate concepts answer different questions. The nominal spot rate tells how many renminbi are exchanged for one dollar at a particular time. The real exchange rate adjusts for differences in prices. The real effective exchange rate compares the renminbi with a basket of trading-partner currencies rather than the dollar alone. Purchasing-power-parity estimates ask how much a similar set of goods and services costs in each country, while equilibrium models estimate the rate consistent with sustainable trade, capital flows, productivity, saving, investment, and policy. None of these measures is permanently “true,” because economic conditions and expectations change. (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2026)

The original essay was written around older figures and begins by saying one yuan equals $0.16. It then treats GDP size, stock-market volatility, offshore companies, saving, tax ratios, and rivalry with India as if they directly determine the bilateral rate. Some factors may influence the currency indirectly, but the analysis lacks a clear exchange-rate framework. It also predicts that China could “take over the USD,” confusing the value of one unit of currency with the dollar’s international role. A currency is not stronger simply because one unit buys more of another currency; Japan’s yen has long had a lower unit value than the dollar without that fact measuring Japan’s economic importance. A better analysis explains how the onshore renminbi market works, why the rate moves, and why valuation cannot be inferred from GDP alone.

The Current Rate and China’s Managed Float

China describes the renminbi as operating under a managed floating regime based on market supply and demand with reference to a basket of currencies. The People’s Bank of China announces a daily central parity rate, and the onshore currency trades within an allowed band. Authorities can influence liquidity, state-bank activity, expectations, capital-flow rules, and the daily fixing, but market forces also matter. The offshore renminbi, commonly labeled CNH, trades in markets outside mainland China and can differ from the onshore CNY rate when liquidity, regulation, or expectations diverge. (People’s Bank of China, n.d.)

Federal Reserve data reported through FRED showed an average of about 6.78 yuan per U.S. dollar in June 2026 and 6.776 yuan per dollar on July 17, 2026. Stated in the opposite direction, one yuan was

worth roughly $0.148 at that observation. Those figures are descriptive, not a forecast or an equilibrium judgment. A traveler, importer, bank, or derivatives trader may face a different retail or forward rate because of spreads, fees, timing, and contract terms. The correct quotation must also be stated clearly: when USD/CNY rises, more yuan are required to buy one dollar, so the yuan has depreciated against the dollar; when it falls, the yuan has appreciated.

The managed system reduces neither uncertainty nor all volatility. It changes the way volatility appears and the tools used by the authorities. A freely floating currency can move rapidly in response to news, while a managed currency may experience pressure through capital controls, reserve changes, offshore-onshore gaps, forward markets, or expectations about future policy. Stability can support trade and financial planning, but defending a level that is inconsistent with broader conditions can create costly distortions.

What Moves the Yuan–Dollar Rate?

Interest-rate differences matter because investors compare expected returns on dollar and renminbi assets after accounting for currency risk. If U.S. interest rates rise relative to Chinese rates, dollar assets may become more attractive, placing depreciation pressure on the renminbi. The effect is not automatic because investors also consider economic growth, credit risk, policy credibility, hedging cost, and expected intervention. Capital controls further weaken the simple textbook link by limiting how easily funds move across borders.

Trade and current-account flows also matter. Exporters receiving dollars may sell them for renminbi to pay domestic wages and suppliers, supporting the Chinese currency. Importers and residents purchasing foreign goods or assets create demand for foreign exchange. China’s large trade surplus can support the renminbi, while private capital outflows can push in the opposite direction. The IMF’s 2025 Article IV assessment noted exactly this tension: strong exports and an expanding current-account surplus coexisted with substantial capital outflows and earlier depreciation pressure.

Inflation differences affect the real exchange rate. If Chinese prices rise more slowly than prices abroad, China’s goods become relatively cheaper even when the nominal bilateral rate changes little. The IMF reported that low Chinese inflation contributed to real effective depreciation through 2025. Productivity, wages, demographic change, property-market conditions, fiscal policy, geopolitical risk, tariffs, and confidence in financial institutions can all alter expected returns and trade flows. No single statistic, including GDP, is sufficient. (International Monetary Fund, 2026)

Nominal Value, Purchasing Power, and

Competitiveness

A nominal rate is essential for transactions, but it does not show how much people can buy domestically. Purchasing-power parity compares prices across countries. A dollar converted into yuan may buy more local services in China than the same dollar buys in the United States because wages, rents, and non-traded service prices differ. PPP is useful for comparing living standards and the size of economies, but it is not a reliable short-term trading target. Many goods are not identical, services cannot be shipped, consumption baskets differ, taxes and quality differ, and capital flows can dominate goods-market arbitrage for long periods.

The real effective exchange rate is more relevant to broad competitiveness than USD/CNY alone. China trades with the European Union, ASEAN economies, Japan, emerging markets, and many other partners. If the dollar rises against most currencies, the renminbi may weaken against the dollar while strengthening against some other currencies. A bilateral chart can therefore give an incomplete impression. Competitiveness also depends on productivity, logistics, product quality, supply chains, subsidies, energy cost, and market access. Exchange-rate depreciation may help exporters, but it raises the domestic cost of imported energy, technology, food, and foreign-currency debt.

Calling a currency “overvalued” or “undervalued” requires a model and assumptions. The IMF’s preliminary assessment for 2025 judged China’s external position stronger than warranted by medium-term fundamentals and desirable policies and estimated a real-exchange-rate undervaluation range. Chinese authorities disputed aspects of that characterization and emphasized market forces, capital flows, and low inflation. This disagreement illustrates why equilibrium valuation is not a directly observed fact. Models depend on estimates of sustainable current accounts, fiscal settings, output gaps, demographic effects, and trade elasticities.

China’s Saving, Investment, and Domestic Demand

The original essay identifies China’s high saving rate but assumes that reducing saving or raising taxes would mechanically increase GDP and support the currency. National saving is the combined result of household, corporate, and government behavior. High saving can finance investment and reduce dependence on foreign capital, but it can also reflect weak social protection, income distribution, limited household consumption, corporate retention, and uncertainty about healthcare, education, housing, and retirement. When domestic saving persistently exceeds domestic investment, the difference is reflected in a current-account surplus.

Rebalancing toward household consumption could change the exchange-rate environment by increasing imports and reducing dependence on export demand. This does not mean consumption should be stimulated without regard to debt or productivity. Policies involving social insurance,

household income, public services, property adjustment, and private-sector confidence have different long-term effects. Tax policy also has distributional and incentive consequences. Raising a tax-to-GDP ratio is not automatically beneficial if revenue is collected inefficiently or spent poorly, nor is a lower ratio automatically evidence of weakness.

Financial stability matters because property, local-government, and corporate debt can affect confidence and capital flows. However, a stock-market decline is not the same as an exchange-rate crisis. Equity prices can fall while the currency strengthens, and a currency can weaken during a rising stock market. Analysts should identify the mechanism: Are investors selling domestic assets and converting proceeds abroad? Are authorities changing rates? Are trade expectations deteriorating? Vague references to volatility do not answer these questions.

The Dollar's International Role

The dollar's global position cannot be measured by whether one dollar buys six or seven yuan. International currency status depends on financial-market depth, legal and institutional confidence, convertibility, payment networks, trade invoicing, safe assets, central-bank reserves, and the ability to move capital at scale. The United States provides a large market for Treasury securities and widely used financial infrastructure. Network effects reinforce the dollar because people prefer a currency already used by others.

The renminbi has become more important in trade settlement, reserves, and cross-border finance, and China has developed payment arrangements and offshore markets. Yet capital-account management, market access, transparency, legal predictability, and the supply of globally trusted liquid assets affect how quickly its role can expand. Internationalization is not a winner-take-all contest. Firms may invoice in dollars, settle some trade in renminbi, borrow in euros, and hold reserves in several currencies. A more multipolar system can develop without the renminbi simply "replacing" the dollar.

Political decisions also influence currency use. Sanctions risk, trade disputes, payment-system resilience, and geopolitical alignment can encourage diversification. At the same time, fragmentation raises transaction costs and can reduce liquidity. The future depends on institutions and policy choices, not only China's GDP ranking.

How to Evaluate the Rate Responsibly

A responsible assessment begins by specifying the question. An importer may care about the next three months and use forward rates or hedging. A long-term investor may examine productivity, demographics, real interest rates, debt, and institutional risk. A policymaker may consider inflation, employment, the current account, reserves, and financial stability. A scholar comparing living standards may use PPP rather than the market rate.

Analysts should use current data and label dates. They should compare onshore and offshore rates, nominal and real indexes, bilateral and effective measures, and flows as well as stocks. Claims of manipulation or misalignment require evidence about intervention, reserves, policy signaling, capital restrictions, and model-based fundamentals. Forecasts should be scenarios rather than declarations: a stronger dollar, weaker Chinese demand, or capital outflow could weaken the yuan; stronger Chinese growth, easing U.S. rates, robust exports, or improved confidence could support it. Unexpected political and financial events can reverse these relationships.

Conclusion

There is no timeless "true" yuan–dollar exchange rate. The market rate is observable at a moment, while real, effective, PPP, and equilibrium rates answer different analytical questions. China's managed float allows market supply and demand to influence the renminbi within a policy framework that includes a daily fixing, trading band, capital-flow management, and official guidance. In mid-2026, market data placed the rate near 6.78 yuan per dollar, but that number should not be confused with fair value or long-term destiny.

The bilateral rate reflects interest-rate differences, trade, capital flows, inflation, productivity, domestic demand, policy, and expectations. GDP size alone cannot determine it, and the nominal value of one currency unit does not decide which currency dominates international finance. The most accurate conclusion is conditional: the yuan's value and global role will evolve with China's economic rebalancing, financial reforms, institutional credibility, and relations with trading partners. Analysis should define the measure, date the evidence, and acknowledge uncertainty rather than promise one correct price.

References

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. "Chinese Yuan Renminbi to U.S. Dollar Spot Exchange Rate." FRED, 2026.

International Monetary Fund. *People's Republic of China: 2025 Article IV Consultation*. 2026.

People's Bank of China. "Public Announcement on Reforming the RMB Exchange Rate Regime."

People's Bank of China. "Further Reform the RMB Exchange Rate Regime and Enhance RMB Exchange Rate Flexibility."