The Logic Behind The Colonization Of Mars

Posted on September 11, 2019

The question of whether human beings can survive permanently on a celestial body other than Earth has inspired science, engineering, philosophy, and public policy. The original essay begins with the argument that long-term threats to human civilization make space settlement worth considering and asks why Mars is often discussed more frequently than the Moon. That question remains useful, but colonization should not be presented as an immediate escape from climate change or as a simple alternative to protecting Earth. Even under severe environmental damage, Earth would remain far more habitable than Mars because it possesses breathable air, liquid oceans, a protective magnetic field, fertile ecosystems, and gravity to which the human body is adapted.

Mars nevertheless attracts interest because it combines several characteristics not found together on the Moon. It has a day lasting approximately 24 hours and 39 minutes, substantial deposits of water ice, a carbon-dioxide atmosphere, seasons, a varied geological record, and a surface area comparable to Earth's land area. Its gravity is about 38 percent of Earth's, stronger than the Moon's 16 percent. These qualities do not make Mars naturally habitable, but they may give a technologically supported settlement more local resources and a more Earth-like rhythm than a lunar base.

Why Consider Space Settlement?

Supporters of space settlement present several arguments. A self-sufficient settlement beyond Earth could eventually provide resilience against a rare planetary catastrophe. Exploration can also generate scientific knowledge, technological innovation, international cooperation, and a deeper understanding of life and planetary history. Establishing habitats would require progress in recycling, energy, agriculture, medicine, robotics, and closed environmental systems that could have uses on Earth.

The survival argument should be treated carefully. A small dependent outpost would not protect humanity if it required continuous supplies from Earth. Genuine redundancy would require a population capable of maintaining life support, industry, medicine, reproduction, governance, and ecological stability independently. No current settlement design has achieved that level. The first human missions to Mars would be exploration missions, and any early base would remain vulnerable.

Space investment also has an opportunity cost. Resources used for Mars cannot replace the obligation to address poverty, public health, environmental damage, and climate change on Earth. The most defensible approach treats planetary protection and space exploration as complementary scientific responsibilities rather than opposing choices.

Mars and the Moon as Settlement Candidates

The Moon has major advantages. It is much closer to Earth, generally requiring days rather than months to reach. Communication delay is only a few seconds, emergency return may be possible, and existing launch systems can carry more missions. The Moon is therefore a practical location for testing habitats, resource extraction, radiation protection, and long-duration operations. NASA's Artemis program uses lunar exploration partly to prepare technologies and experience for future Mars missions.

Mars offers advantages for a more distant and potentially larger settlement. Its day-night cycle is close to Earth's, reducing the extreme two-week daylight and two-week darkness cycle experienced across most of the lunar surface. Mars has a thin atmosphere that provides some protection from small meteoroids and contains carbon dioxide that could be used in fuel and life-support processes. The planet's higher gravity may be more favorable than lunar gravity, although the long-term effects of 0.38 g on human development remain unknown.

The comparison is therefore not simply "Mars is habitable and the Moon is not." The Moon is more accessible and may be the best near-term testing ground. Mars may offer a broader long-term resource environment, but reaching and supporting people there is much harder.

Water on Mars

The original essay correctly identifies water as a major reason for interest in Mars but overstates its abundance and repeats the nineteenth-century canal story without sufficient correction. Astronomer Percival Lowell interpreted surface markings as canals built by intelligent beings, but these observations were optical and interpretive errors. Modern spacecraft have found no civilization or artificial canal network.

They have found extensive evidence that ancient Mars had rivers, lakes, groundwater, and possibly large bodies of surface water. Today, most accessible Martian water is frozen in polar caps, shallow subsurface deposits, hydrated minerals, and deeper ice. Orbiters and landers have mapped ice in many regions, and some proposed landing sites are selected partly for potential access.

Water ice could support drinking, hygiene, plant growth, oxygen production, and rocket fuel. Electrolysis can separate water into hydrogen and oxygen, although the process requires substantial energy and equipment. Local resource use would reduce the mass launched from Earth, but mining ice in cold, dusty, remote terrain is not yet a routine technology.

The Moon also contains water ice, particularly in permanently shadowed regions near its poles. The original claim that the Moon has almost no water is outdated. Lunar ice may be valuable for exploration, though its quantity, distribution, accessibility, and contamination require further study.

Mars may have more widely distributed water resources, but both bodies present extraction challenges.

The Martian Atmosphere

Mars has an atmosphere composed mainly of carbon dioxide, with nitrogen, argon, and trace gases. Oxygen is only a tiny fraction. The atmosphere is also extremely thin: surface pressure averages less than one percent of Earth's sea-level pressure. A person exposed without a pressure suit would not survive for minutes in an ordinary sense; loss of consciousness and fatal injury would occur rapidly from low pressure and lack of oxygen.

The carbon dioxide atmosphere is nevertheless a resource. NASA's MOXIE experiment aboard the Perseverance rover demonstrated that oxygen can be produced from Martian carbon dioxide on a small scale. A future system could generate oxygen for breathing and oxidizer for rocket fuel. Scaling the process for a settlement would require reliable power, maintenance, storage, redundancy, and protection from dust.

The atmosphere provides less radiation protection than Earth's and cannot support liquid water stably across most of the surface. Habitats would need to be sealed and pressurized. Air recycling would have to control oxygen, carbon dioxide, humidity, microorganisms, and trace contaminants. A small leak could become life-threatening.

Temperature

The average surface temperature on Mars is approximately −63 degrees Celsius, though conditions vary by location, season, and time of day. Equatorial afternoons can become relatively mild under sunlight, while nights and polar winters are extremely cold. Temperature variation creates stress for materials, seals, batteries, and mechanical systems.

Habitats would require insulation and controlled heating. Waste heat from nuclear or electrical systems could contribute, but energy loss through thin air and the cold ground would remain important. Suits and vehicles must protect humans while allowing movement and avoiding overheating during exertion.

The original essay proposes that photosynthetic bacteria could increase oxygen and temperature. Microorganisms may eventually support life-support systems, food production, waste processing, or local materials. They cannot simply be released on the surface to transform Mars rapidly. Low pressure, radiation, cold, dryness, ultraviolet light, limited nutrients, and planetary-protection requirements would prevent easy survival. Producing an Earth-like atmosphere would require an enormous quantity of gas and energy, and current research indicates that accessible Martian carbon dioxide is insufficient for simple near-term terraforming.

Energy Resources

A settlement needs continuous and reliable energy for air, water, heat, communications, food production, scientific equipment, and transportation. Solar power is possible on Mars, as demonstrated by several missions, but sunlight is weaker than on Earth and dust can reduce output. Large arrays, energy storage, cleaning systems, and backup generation would be required.

Nuclear fission is a leading option for reliable base power because it can operate through night and dust storms. Small reactors could provide steady electricity and heat. Safety, transport, maintenance, fuel management, and governance would require careful planning.

The original essay claims that Mars offers abundant wind and geothermal energy that could reduce energy costs on Earth. Martian winds can move dust and reach high speeds, but the thin atmosphere has low density and carries much less force than an equally fast wind on Earth. Wind generation may be possible in specialized designs, but it is not currently considered an obvious abundant source. Geothermal potential is uncertain and would depend on accessible heat gradients and drilling capability.

Energy generated on Mars would not economically supply Earth because transmitting or transporting it across interplanetary distance would be extraordinarily inefficient. The value of Martian energy resources lies in supporting local operations, not lowering household electricity prices on Earth.

Radiation

Earth’s atmosphere and magnetic field shield the surface from much solar and cosmic radiation. Mars lacks a global magnetic field and has a thin atmosphere, leaving inhabitants exposed to galactic cosmic rays and solar energetic particles. Long exposure could increase cancer risk and damage the nervous, cardiovascular, and other biological systems. A major solar event could create an acute emergency. (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2021)

Habitats may need to be covered with several meters of regolith, built inside lava tubes, or protected with water and other materials. Storm shelters could provide additional shielding during solar events. Radiation exposure also occurs during the months-long journey, when shielding mass is limited. This is one of the largest unresolved risks for human Mars missions.

Low Gravity and Human Health

Long-duration microgravity causes bone loss, muscle atrophy, cardiovascular changes, fluid shifts, and other health effects. Mars has gravity, but no human has lived for years at 38 percent of Earth gravity, so scientists do not know whether it is sufficient to maintain adult health or support pregnancy and childhood development. Rotating spacecraft or habitats might create artificial gravity, but such systems add complexity.

A settlement intended to last across generations must answer questions far beyond keeping trained astronauts alive. Reproduction, development, surgery, disability care, aging, and mental health must be supported. A colony cannot depend indefinitely on selecting only unusually healthy adults.

Dust and Soil

Martian dust is fine, abrasive, electrostatic, and globally distributed. It can damage seals, machinery, solar panels, lungs, and electronic systems. Dust entering habitats would require filtration and cleaning. Mars soil also contains perchlorate salts that can be toxic and interfere with thyroid function at sufficient exposure. These materials may be useful for industrial chemistry but must be removed from water and food systems.

Growing plants directly in unprocessed Martian soil is therefore unrealistic. Agriculture would likely use controlled substrates, hydroponics, or carefully treated local materials. Crops need light, water, nutrients, carbon dioxide, suitable pressure, temperature, and protection from radiation. Closed agriculture must also manage pests, disease, pollination, and waste.

Food and Ecological Systems

Early crews would carry much of their food from Earth, but a settlement would need increasing local production. Plants could provide food, oxygen support, psychological benefit, and recycling of some waste. A stable food system would require crop diversity, stored reserves, seed banks, nutrient recovery, and protection against one failure destroying the harvest.

A self-sufficient ecology is more difficult than a greenhouse demonstration. Microorganisms, plants, humans, water, nutrients, and waste interact. Closed systems can accumulate unexpected chemicals or lose critical elements. Mars settlement is therefore an ecological experiment as much as an engineering project.

Transportation and Communication

Mars and Earth move continuously in their orbits. Efficient launch opportunities occur approximately every 26 months, and transit commonly takes six to nine months with current mission concepts. A crew cannot return immediately if equipment fails. Supply planning must account for long gaps, and the settlement must survive without rapid rescue.

Communication delay varies from several minutes to more than twenty minutes one way. Real-time conversation and remote control from Earth are impossible. Mars crews need autonomy in medicine, repair, science, and emergency decisions. This independence is an advantage for exploration but increases risk.

Psychological and Social Challenges

Isolation, confinement, danger, delayed communication, repetitive environments, and limited privacy can affect mental health and group relationships. Crew selection and training matter, but good habitat design, meaningful work, recreation, private space, and conflict-resolution systems are also necessary.

A permanent settlement requires governance. Questions include who owns resources, which laws apply, how labor is organized, how decisions are reviewed, and how residents can leave. A settlement controlled entirely by one company or mission commander could create dependency and abuse. Technical planning should therefore include rights and institutions from the beginning.

Planetary Protection

Mars may contain environments where microbial life exists or once existed. Human missions would carry Earth organisms that could contaminate scientific evidence and local ecosystems. Once contamination occurs, distinguishing Martian life from transported life could become difficult. Exploration must balance human activity with the responsibility to investigate and protect possible extraterrestrial biology.

Colonization language can also hide ethical assumptions. Mars does not contain known human societies to displace, but it remains a scientifically valuable world. Expansion should not repeat patterns of careless extraction and environmental destruction. International cooperation and transparent rules are necessary.

Why Mars May Be More Logical for Long-Term Settlement

Mars is attractive for long-term settlement because of its day length, water ice, carbon dioxide atmosphere, stronger gravity, land area, and diverse geology. These features could support local production more effectively than the Moon. The planet also offers extraordinary scientific opportunities concerning climate, geology, and the possibility of past life.

The Moon remains more logical for near-term bases because it is close, communications are rapid, and missions can test systems at lower logistical risk. The two destinations need not compete. Lunar experience may help develop habitats, resource processing, power, and operations that later support Mars.

Conclusion

Mars is not naturally habitable and cannot serve as an easy escape from environmental problems on Earth. It has a thin carbon-dioxide atmosphere, extremely low pressure, severe cold, radiation, dust, toxic salts, low gravity, and long communication and transport delays. Photosynthetic bacteria cannot quickly create a breathable atmosphere, and Martian wind or geothermal energy will not reduce energy prices on Earth.

The original argument that Mars may be more suitable than the Moon for a large long-term settlement remains reasonable when stated conditionally. Mars has a near-Earth-length day, significant water ice, atmospheric carbon dioxide, higher gravity, and a broad resource environment. The Moon is closer and better suited to early testing, and it also contains polar water ice. (Wordsworth, 2016)

Human settlement of Mars would require pressurized and shielded habitats, dependable nuclear and solar power, water extraction, closed-loop life support, protected agriculture, autonomous medicine, robust governance, and careful planetary protection. Exploration may eventually lead to settlement, but each stage should be evaluated honestly. The most logical objective is not to abandon Earth. It is to protect Earth while developing the knowledge required to explore other worlds responsibly. (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 2026; Zubrin, 2011)

References

National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (2026). *Mars exploration and human mission resources*.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2021). *Space radiation and astronaut health*.

Wordsworth, R. (2016). The climate of early Mars. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 44*, 381–408.

Zubrin, R. (2011). *The case for Mars*. Free Press.