# The Difference Between Male And Female Athletes

Posted on October 10, 2019

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/the-difference-between-male-and-female-athletes/

## Introduction

Comparing male and female athletes requires a distinction between population averages and individual ability. Athletic performance is shaped by training history, age, nutrition, coaching, equipment, body size, health, psychology, opportunity, and the specific demands of an event. Biological sex-related traits can influence average performance, especially after puberty, but they do not determine the potential of every athlete or justify assumptions that women are less committed or physically “fragile.” The American College of Sports Medicine consensus on sex differences in athletic performance notes that the performance gap becomes more pronounced after puberty and is especially relevant in events that depend strongly on muscle mass, strength, power, hemoglobin concentration, and aerobic capacity (Hunter et al., 2023). At the same time, individual distributions overlap, and sex-related differences vary considerably by sport. A scientifically useful comparison therefore explains the physiological mechanisms that influence elite performance while also considering injury prevention, energy availability, access to training, media exposure, institutional support, and historical opportunity. Biological difference and equality of athletic worth are separate questions and should not be confused.

## Puberty, Hormones, and Body Composition

Many average performance differences increase after puberty because sex hormones influence muscle, bone, blood, and body composition. Higher average testosterone exposure in males contributes to greater lean muscle mass, larger cardiac dimensions, higher hemoglobin concentrations, and greater absolute strength and power after puberty. Females also produce testosterone and males produce estrogen; both hormones have important functions in all athletes, so performance cannot be reduced to one hormone. Adult women have a higher average percentage of essential and total body fat, while men generally have more total lean mass and a larger proportion of upper-body muscle (Hunter et al., 2023). These group differences help explain why elite male records are faster or more powerful in many events, but relative strength measures can narrow the gap. Women respond strongly to resistance training and can develop substantial muscle, strength, and power. Training programs should therefore be based on the athlete’s measured capacity, sport, recovery, and goals rather than on an assumption that female athletes require lighter or simplified

exercise. Hormones influence performance within a broader system that includes genetics, nutrition, sleep, stress, and training adaptation.

## Aerobic Capacity, Speed, and Endurance

Maximum oxygen uptake is influenced by cardiac output, hemoglobin concentration, blood volume, muscle oxygen extraction, body composition, and training status. Elite male endurance athletes generally demonstrate higher absolute and body-mass-adjusted VO2 max values than elite female athletes, contributing to performance differences in many running, cycling, rowing, and skiing events. Strength and power differences similarly affect sprinting, jumping, and throwing, where rapid force production is critical. However, endurance performance depends on more than maximal oxygen delivery. Movement economy, pacing, fuel use, fatigue resistance, thermoregulation, experience, and strategy all contribute. Research on world-record performance shows that sex gaps differ across events rather than following one universal percentage (Sandbakk et al., 2018). Some ultra-endurance contexts may reduce the relative importance of absolute speed and power, and female athletes have achieved exceptional results, although this should not be generalized into a claim that women universally outperform men at longer distances. Event design changes which physiological traits matter most. The correct comparison is therefore sport-specific and should use equivalent competitive levels, distances, rules, and environmental conditions rather than broad statements about overall athletic superiority.

## Injury Risk, Energy Availability, and Health

Female athletes are not generally more fragile, but some injuries and health concerns occur at different rates because anatomy, physiology, training exposure, equipment, and sport demands interact. Anterior cruciate ligament injury, for example, occurs more frequently among female athletes in several cutting and landing sports after puberty. Proposed mechanisms include neuromuscular control, joint geometry, strength, movement patterns, and hormonal influences, but no single factor explains every injury. Prevention programs using strength, landing mechanics, balance, agility, and progressive training can reduce risk. Energy availability is another important issue. The International Olympic Committee’s Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport framework recognizes that inadequate energy intake relative to training can impair bone health, endocrine function, immunity, cardiovascular health, mood, recovery, and performance in athletes of all sexes (Mountjoy et al., 2023). Menstrual disruption should not be treated as an inevitable sign of elite female training, and male athletes can also experience hormonal and bone consequences of insufficient energy. Good sports medicine therefore uses individualized screening and nutrition support rather than stereotypes about sex-specific weakness.

# Menstrual Cycles, Pregnancy, and Individual Variation

Female athletes may train and compete across menstrual cycles, hormonal contraception, pregnancy, postpartum recovery, and menopause, but responses vary widely between individuals. Current evidence does not support rigid universal training plans that assume every athlete will experience the same change in strength, endurance, or recovery during a particular menstrual phase. Some athletes have symptoms such as pain, heavy bleeding, migraine, or fatigue that affect training, while others experience little measurable disruption. The appropriate response is individualized monitoring and clinical evaluation when symptoms interfere with health or performance. Pregnancy similarly requires sport-specific risk assessment rather than automatic exclusion from exercise. Training can often continue with appropriate modification when medical circumstances permit, followed by a progressive postpartum return that considers pelvic-floor health, musculoskeletal recovery, sleep, feeding, and previous training status. These areas illustrate a larger principle: sex-informed sports medicine should recognize relevant physiology without treating all women as biologically identical. Individual history, symptoms, event demands, and medical context provide better guidance than a universal rule based solely on sex or reproductive stage (Hunter et al., 2023).

# Opportunity, Coaching, and the Performance Environment

Recorded athletic performance reflects social opportunity as well as biology. For much of modern sport history, women had less access to competition, coaching, facilities, sponsorship, professional leagues, medical support, and media coverage. As opportunities expanded, women’s records improved rapidly in many disciplines, demonstrating that participation depth and training environment influence the observed performance gap. This does not erase average physiological differences at elite levels, but it shows why records cannot be interpreted as pure biological measurements. Coaching should therefore combine sex-informed health knowledge with individualized assessment. Training load, strength, technique, nutrition, injury history, recovery, and mental health should be monitored for every athlete. Women may need particular attention to iron status, menstrual health, pregnancy, ACL prevention, and energy availability, while male athletes also require screening for low energy availability, overtraining, mental-health problems, and injury risk. A professional environment avoids praising harmful weight loss, normalizing pain, or using humiliation as motivation. Athletic development improves when athletes receive comparable access to evidence-based coaching and healthcare regardless of sex.

# Media, Pay, and Institutional Treatment

Physiological differences do not determine how much media coverage, travel quality, medical support, facilities, or institutional respect athletes should receive. Professional compensation depends on league revenue, collective bargaining, sponsorship, attendance, media rights, season structure, and historical investment, so comparing salary figures without market context is incomplete. Market outcomes are also shaped by promotion. If women's events receive less favorable scheduling, fewer broadcasts, weaker storytelling, or lower production investment, smaller audiences can become a self-reinforcing explanation for continued underinvestment. Equal treatment therefore does not require identical equipment or competition rules in every sport. Ball size, hurdle height, implement weight, or other specifications may differ across categories while still producing meaningful tests of skill and excellence. The central institutional question is whether athletes receive serious opportunities to train, compete, develop, and attract audiences. Media representation matters because it influences sponsorship and public familiarity as well as cultural perceptions of athletic competence. Women athletes should be presented primarily through their performance, strategy, and careers rather than as exceptions to an assumed male standard.

# Conclusion

Male and female athletes differ on average in several physiological characteristics that can affect elite performance, particularly after puberty in sports dependent on absolute strength, power, speed, and maximal aerobic capacity. These differences arise from interacting factors including hormones, body composition, hemoglobin, cardiac output, muscle mass, and skeletal dimensions, but they vary by event and do not predict every individual athlete (Hunter et al., 2023; Sandbakk et al., 2018). Female athletes also face some distinct health and injury considerations, including higher ACL injury rates in selected sports and reproductive-health issues, while Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport can affect athletes of all sexes (Mountjoy et al., 2023). Biology should therefore inform training and medical care without becoming a justification for stereotypes or unequal institutional seriousness. Athletic outcomes also reflect coaching, participation depth, equipment, healthcare, media coverage, and historical access. The most accurate comparison recognizes both average physiological differences and wide individual variation. Excellence should be evaluated within the demands of each sport, while every athlete receives evidence-based training, injury prevention, medical support, and meaningful opportunity to compete.

# References

Hunter, S. K., Angadi, S. S., Bhargava, A., et al. (2023). The biological basis of sex differences in athletic performance: Consensus statement for the American College of Sports Medicine. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000003300

Mountjoy, M., Ackerman, K. E., Bailey, D. M., et al. (2023). 2023 International Olympic Committee's consensus statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs). *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 57*(17), 1073–1097.

Sandbakk, Ø., Solli, G. S., & Holmberg, H.-C. (2018). Sex differences in world-record performance. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 13*(1), 2–8.