

The Danimal Project and Base of the Pyramid Management

Posted on September 20, 2019

Introduction

The Danimal project is an important example of how companies can approach the base of the pyramid market with innovation, flexibility, and social awareness. The base of the pyramid refers to the large population of low-income consumers who often have limited purchasing power but still have real needs for affordable, useful, and nutritious products. Many companies assume that selling to this group simply means reducing prices, but the Danimal project shows that this approach is not enough. To succeed in such a market, a company must understand the daily realities of customers, the weaknesses in infrastructure, the limitations of distribution systems, and the need for local participation. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The Danimal project faced many challenges from the beginning. One of the major challenges was related to the nature of the product itself. Since Danimal was a yoghurt product, it needed to be kept fresh at a specific temperature. The company had no clear idea whether the target market, small shops, schools, and local selling points in the area had refrigerators. This was a serious issue because yoghurt can spoil quickly if it is not stored properly. In higher-income markets, refrigeration may be taken for granted, but at the base of the pyramid, such facilities are not always available. This challenged the normal business assumption that a company can use the same distribution and storage model in every market. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

To deal with this problem, the company used a GPS system to track locations and understand the market more accurately. This helped the company identify where the product could be sold and how distribution could be managed. The use of GPS also shows that serving the base of the pyramid requires detailed knowledge of the local environment. A company cannot depend only on general market reports or assumptions. It must collect practical information about customers, shops, schools, transport routes, and storage conditions. In this way, the Danimal project supports the principle that companies must deeply understand the market before introducing a product. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Operational Challenges in Low-Income Markets

Another challenge was related to product freshness. The company had to formulate a strategy to find out how long yoghurt could stay fresh outside the refrigerator. This was important because the product might have to travel through areas where cold storage was not available. If the yoghurt

spoiled before reaching customers, the project would fail. Therefore, Danimal had to consider not only the taste and price of the product but also its shelf life, packaging, and delivery conditions. This shows that innovation at the base of the pyramid is not only about marketing. It also includes product design, supply chain management, and technical adaptation. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The company also had to decide the package size of the product. This decision required careful thinking about what a proper serving might be for a family. In low-income markets, customers may not be able to buy large packages, even if the product is useful. They often prefer smaller and more affordable units because they purchase according to daily income and immediate needs. Therefore, the company had to balance nutrition, affordability, and convenience. This supports the base-of-the-pyramid principle that products should be designed according to the lifestyle and purchasing capacity of the target population. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The biggest challenge faced by the Danimal project was the delivery system. Distribution is one of the most difficult parts of operating in low-income markets. Many areas may have weak transportation networks, informal shops, and limited business infrastructure. In the Danimal project, most of the distributors were unqualified or not fully reliable according to the needs of the company. There was also a risk of product theft from the trucks and the possibility of drivers taking extra money. These problems show that the base-of-the-pyramid market cannot be managed successfully through traditional distribution methods alone. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

To address this challenge, Danimal changed its reporting structure. The company removed cash from the delivery process by adding an electronic system. This helped reduce the risk of corruption, theft, and informal payments. It also made the process more transparent. The company also made the Danimamas owners of the products, which shifted responsibility and reduced the risk faced by the company. This was an important innovation because it included local women in the business process. Instead of treating the poor only as consumers, the company involved them as sellers and participants in the value chain. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Community Participation and Distribution

This approach sheds light on an important principle of managing the base of the pyramid: poor communities should not be viewed only as buyers. They can also become partners, distributors, entrepreneurs, and contributors to business success. The Danimamas played a key role because they had local knowledge, personal relationships, and motivation to sell the product. Their involvement helped the company reach customers more effectively. At the same time, it created income opportunities for them. This made the project both a business activity and a social development effort. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The Danimal project also challenges some traditional principles of base-of-the-pyramid management. Many companies think that success in poor markets depends mainly on low prices. However, Danimal

showed that affordability is important but not sufficient. A product must also be accessible, trustworthy, nutritious, and suitable for the local environment. If the product cannot reach customers, if it spoils before being sold, or if customers do not understand its value, then a low price alone will not guarantee success. Therefore, companies must innovate in product design, logistics, local partnerships, and payment systems. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The project also challenges the idea that business models should be fixed before entering the market. Danimal learned that success in the base-of-the-pyramid market requires flexibility. The company had to adjust its plans according to real problems faced during the project. It had to rethink refrigeration, packaging, delivery, reporting, and local ownership. This shows that companies working in such markets must be willing to learn continuously. They should not expect that one predefined structure will solve all problems. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Measuring the Success of the Danimal Project

The success of the Danimal project can be measured in several ways. The first measure of success is the improved financial status of the Danimamas. Since the project gave local women the opportunity to sell the product, it helped them earn income and become more involved in economic activity. This is an important measure because a base-of-the-pyramid project should not only generate profit for the company but also create value for the community. If the Danimamas were able to improve their income, support their families, and gain confidence through the project, then this indicates a strong social success. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Another way to measure success is through the passion and dedication of the Daniladies and Danimamas. Their commitment was a major reason for the success of the project. In such markets, personal relationships and community trust are very important. Customers may be more willing to buy a product when it is sold by someone they know or trust. The dedication of these local sellers helped Danimal reach customers more effectively than a traditional corporate sales system could have done. Therefore, human involvement and local commitment are important indicators of success. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Leadership and Adaptability

The passion of Pretorius can also be considered a factor in the project's success. Leadership matters in innovative projects because leaders must be willing to take risks, learn from mistakes, and adapt the strategy when needed. Pretorius's learning from the project shows that innovation is not always planned perfectly in advance. Sometimes, managers discover the right strategy through experimentation. In the case of Danimal, learning and adjustment became central parts of the project's development. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The success of the Danimal project can also be measured by the level of innovation achieved. The

company learned new ways to manage distribution, reduce cash-related risks, use technology, involve local sellers, and adapt the product for low-income customers. These innovations are valuable because they can guide future projects in similar markets. Even if some parts of the project were difficult, the knowledge gained from the experience was a major success for the company. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Sales and Market Acceptance

Product sales are another important measure. If the product sold in high numbers, it means that customers accepted it and found it useful. A high level of sales shows that the company was able to deliver a product that met the needs of the target market. Since the product was intended for poor communities, strong sales would also show that the price, packaging, taste, and distribution strategy were suitable for the customers. Revenue generation is also an important indicator because a project must be financially sustainable to continue in the long run.

However, success should not be measured only by revenue. Since the product was a tasty and nutritious yoghurt, its value also depended on its contribution to nutrition. If the product reached malnourished or undernourished populations and helped provide them with a healthier food option, then the project had a social impact. This is especially important in the base-of-the-pyramid context because many customers may not have regular access to nutritious products. Therefore, increased sales combined with nutritional benefit can be considered a strong sign of success.

Organizational Learning and Long-Term Impact

Another measure of success is the company's learning. Danone learned that the success of a product in a low-income market does not come from following a predefined structure. Companies must use innovation and may need to change their plans several times. The Danimal project showed that business models must be adjusted according to market realities. This learning can help the company improve future projects and become more effective in serving similar communities. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

The success of the project can also be evaluated by its ability to solve distribution problems. If the company reduced theft, improved delivery, removed cash risks, and created a more reliable reporting system, then the project succeeded in building a better operational model. Distribution is often one of the biggest barriers in the base-of-the-pyramid market, so solving this problem is a major achievement. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

In conclusion, the Danimal project sheds light on the principles of managing the base of the pyramid by showing the importance of affordability, local knowledge, innovation, distribution, technology, and community participation. It also challenges these principles by proving that low price alone is not enough. A company must understand the local environment, adapt its product, involve local people,

and remain flexible. The success of the Danimal project can be measured through improved income for Danimamas, strong product sales, better nutrition for customers, innovation in distribution, and the valuable learning achieved by the company. Overall, the project shows that business at the base of the pyramid can succeed when profit and social value are developed together. (Harvard Business Review, 2018)

Works Cited

“Danimal in South Africa: Management Innovation at the Bottom of the Pyramid.” *Harvard Business Review*, 2018.