

The Culture Of Consumption

Posted on May 4, 2020

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/the-culture-of-consumption/>

Introduction

Consumer culture complicates the familiar distinction between needs and wants because modern goods rarely provide only practical utility. Food sustains life, clothing protects the body, and technology supports communication, yet each can also communicate status, taste, belonging, aspiration, and identity. My own household makes that tension visible. I own clothing for different settings, several digital devices, transportation options, and food choices that extend beyond bare necessity. None of these possessions is automatically wasteful, but their combined presence raises a more useful question than whether they are strictly “needed”: how much of my consumption reflects genuine function, and how much is shaped by advertising, social comparison, habit, or the temporary satisfaction of acquiring something new? Research on [consumer culture](#) shows that possessions can become extensions of the self, carrying memories and social meaning as well as economic value (Belk, 1988). A responsible reflection must therefore examine both personal choices and the cultural systems that make continuous acquisition appear normal.

Needs, Wants, and Social Participation

The boundary between a need and a want changes with social conditions. Internet access, for example, once appeared optional but is now necessary for many forms of education, employment, banking, communication, and government service. A car may be unnecessary where reliable public transport exists but essential in a region where work, healthcare, and shopping are widely separated. Clothing beyond physical protection may be required for employment, cultural expectations, climate, or formal occasions. This does not make every purchase necessary. It means that needs should be understood in relation to reasonable participation in society rather than biological survival alone. Wants also vary in significance. Books, travel, music, attractive clothing, and technology can enrich life, support creativity, and strengthen relationships. The problem arises when market culture converts every insecurity or aspiration into a purchasing opportunity. A product then promises not only usefulness but confidence, recognition, attractiveness, efficiency, or belonging. Because those emotional promises rarely produce permanent satisfaction, desire can renew itself even when the object already owned remains functional (Lury, 2011).

Advertising, Platforms, and the Production of Desire

Advertising influences consumption by doing more than informing people that a product exists. It frames a condition as a deficiency and then presents the commodity as the route to improvement. Clothing may be associated with confidence, a phone with creativity or status, and food with comfort or social connection. Digital platforms intensify this process because commercial messages are integrated into entertainment, search results, influencer content, recommendations, and personalized feeds. A person who searches for one product can continue seeing related promotions long after the immediate need has passed. This creates a feedback loop in which attention produces desire, a purchase generates behavioral data, and that data produces further commercial attention. My own purchases sometimes follow this pattern: a sale, a familiar brand, or repeated exposure can make an item feel unusually urgent even when I already own something that performs the same function. Individual self-control matters, but it operates within environments deliberately designed to reduce friction, encourage comparison, and make purchasing immediate. Recognizing that design helps me separate deliberate choice from manufactured urgency.

Clothing, Technology, and the Meaning of Enough

My clothing and technology provide the clearest examples of consumption that combines practical use with symbolic value. Multiple shirts, trousers, coats, and shoes serve different weather, work, exercise, cultural, and social situations, yet some remain underused because they were bought for a brand, a sale, or an imagined future occasion. Technology creates a similar problem. A smartphone, laptop, desktop computer, bicycle, and car can each perform a legitimate function, but duplication becomes difficult to justify when the older item still works and replacement is motivated mainly by novelty. Consumer culture encourages what Schor (1998) describes as competitive consumption, in which standards change as peers and reference groups acquire newer or more prestigious goods. The result is that “enough” has no fixed endpoint. A more deliberate approach asks whether I already own an equivalent item, how frequently the new one will be used, whether repair or resale is possible, and whether the expected benefit is proportionate to financial and environmental cost. These questions slow the movement from attraction to purchase without requiring rejection of comfort or style.

Food Waste and the Hidden Resources Behind Consumption

Food waste is especially revealing because unused clothing can remain available, whereas discarded food represents resources that can no longer fulfill their intended purpose. I have sometimes bought more food than the household could consume, influenced by large package sizes, discounts, variety,

hunger while shopping, or the reassuring appearance of a full refrigerator. The apparent bargain disappears when products spoil. The loss also extends beyond money because land, water, energy, transport, refrigeration, packaging, and labor were used to produce and distribute what is thrown away. A more responsible routine begins with checking existing supplies, planning realistic meals, understanding storage, buying quantities that match actual household behavior, and using leftovers deliberately. This practice does not require eliminating pleasure or abundance from eating. It simply connects consumption with use. The same principle applies more broadly: purchasing is only the beginning of a product's life in the household. Value depends on whether the item is maintained, consumed, repaired, shared, or used frequently enough to justify the resources invested in producing it.

Identity, Comparison, and Unequal Freedom to Consume

Belk (1988) explains that possessions can operate as part of the extended self, which helps clarify why brands and objects often feel personally meaningful. People use clothing, homes, devices, vehicles, and collections to communicate identity and preserve memories. This meaning is not inherently superficial, yet it can become unstable when self-worth depends on continuous acquisition or comparison with others. Social media makes comparison especially visible because people encounter carefully selected images of homes, travel, fashion, technology, and lifestyles that may be financially unrealistic or unrepresentative of everyday life. At the same time, criticism of consumerism should not assume that every person has equal freedom to “buy less.” Low-income households may lack access to durable products, public transport, storage, repair services, or bulk savings, and appearance can affect treatment in workplaces and institutions. Responsibility should therefore be proportional to resources and impact. Individuals can choose more deliberately, but product durability, truthful advertising, right-to-repair rules, waste systems, and accessible public services also shape whether responsible consumption is realistically available (Veresiu, 2023).

A More Deliberate Personal Practice

The most useful outcome of my household audit is not guilt but a clearer purchasing routine. Before buying a nonessential item, I can delay the decision, check whether I already possess something equivalent, estimate how often the item will be used, and consider repair, borrowing, resale, or second-hand alternatives. For clothing, cost per use is more informative than a temporary discount. For electronics, software support, battery replacement, security, and repairability should matter more than annual model changes. For food, quantity should reflect realistic consumption rather than the emotional comfort of abundance. I can also notice the circumstances that make buying more likely. Purchases made from boredom, stress, comparison, or fear of missing out often address an emotional condition that the product cannot resolve for long. These habits will not remove me from consumer

culture, nor should they. I still value technology, comfort, beauty, convenience, and occasional luxury. The goal is to make possessions serve a meaningful life rather than use acquisition as the primary measure of progress or satisfaction.

Conclusion

The culture of consumption is powerful because goods carry practical, emotional, and social meanings at the same time. My own possessions show that the distinction between needs and wants remains useful but cannot be applied mechanically. Clothing, food, transportation, and technology can support genuine needs while also becoming channels for status, comparison, convenience, and identity. Advertising and digital platforms intensify desire, while peer standards make older possessions appear inadequate even when they remain useful. A better response is not to reduce life to bare necessity but to connect purchasing with purpose, use, durability, budget, and environmental impact. Consumerism is both personal and structural, so individual restraint should be accompanied by systems that support repair, fair labor, responsible production, and truthful marketing (Lury, 2011; Veresiu, 2023). My most important lesson is that acquisition and wellbeing are not the same thing. Contentment becomes more realistic when I value what I already have, use resources carefully, and judge new purchases by whether they genuinely improve life rather than merely refresh desire.

References

- Belk, R. W. (1988). Possessions and the extended self. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15(2), 139-168.
- Lury, C. (2011). *Consumer culture* (2nd ed.). Polity.
- Schor, J. B. (1998). *The overspent American: Why we want what we don't need*. Basic Books.
- Veresiu, E. (2023). Consumer culture. In C. Lamberton, D. D. Rucker, & S. A. Spiller (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of consumer psychology*. Cambridge University Press.