# The Creation and Fall of the Berlin Wall

Posted on May 1, 2020

## Introduction

The Berlin Wall was one of the most recognizable symbols of the Cold War. For more than 28 years, it divided neighborhoods, separated families, restricted freedom of movement, and represented the political conflict between the Soviet-led communist bloc and the Western democratic states. Its construction on August 13, 1961, physically divided East Berlin from West Berlin, while its opening on November 9, 1989, became an internationally celebrated symbol of political transformation and the approaching end of the Cold War in Europe (Federal Foundation for the Study of the Communist Dictatorship in Germany, 2026).

The Wall did not cause the Cold War. Tensions between the Soviet Union and the Western Allies had developed long before its construction. These disagreements emerged from competing political systems, security concerns, economic interests, and conflicting plans for postwar Europe. Germany, and Berlin in particular, became a central location in this struggle because the defeated country was occupied by the United States, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom, and France after the Second World War.

Although Berlin was located inside the Soviet occupation zone, the city itself was also divided into four occupation sectors. The American, British, and French sectors eventually formed West Berlin, while the Soviet sector became East Berlin. This unusual arrangement placed a Western-controlled territory inside communist East Germany and created one of the most politically sensitive locations in the world.

The East German government described the Berlin Wall as an “anti-fascist protective barrier” intended to defend the German Democratic Republic against Western aggression. In practice, its principal purpose was to stop East German citizens from escaping to the West. At least 2.7 million people left East Germany for West Germany between 1949 and the Wall’s construction in 1961, many of them through Berlin. The loss of young, educated, and skilled workers threatened East Germany’s economy and weakened the legitimacy of its government.

The Wall’s fall resulted from several interconnected developments rather than one isolated event. Economic dissatisfaction, peaceful demonstrations, political reforms in the Soviet Union, changes elsewhere in Eastern Europe, growing emigration, and confusion within the East German government all contributed to the opening of the border. The fall of the Berlin Wall was therefore both a dramatic event and the result of sustained pressure from ordinary citizens demanding freedom and political change.

This essay examines the postwar division of Germany, the principal causes behind the creation of the Berlin Wall, its effects on German society, the circumstances that produced its fall, and its wider historical importance. It argues that the Wall was created primarily to preserve the East German state by preventing population loss, while its fall demonstrated that a political system dependent on force and restricted movement could not survive indefinitely without public legitimacy.

# Germany After the Second World War

Nazi Germany surrendered unconditionally in May 1945, bringing the Second World War in Europe to an end. The victorious Allied powers divided Germany into four occupation zones administered by the United States, the Soviet Union, Britain, and France. Berlin was similarly divided, even though the city lay geographically within the Soviet occupation zone.

The occupation was initially presented as a temporary arrangement through which the Allies would demilitarize, denazify, and administer Germany. However, cooperation quickly deteriorated. The Soviet Union sought political and economic arrangements that would protect it from another German invasion and strengthen communist influence in Europe. The Western powers increasingly favored economic reconstruction and representative government in their occupation zones.

These competing objectives became part of the broader Cold War conflict. The United States adopted policies intended to contain the expansion of Soviet influence, while the Soviet Union consolidated control over governments in Eastern and Central Europe. Germany became a frontline between the two emerging blocs.

The Western occupation zones were gradually integrated economically and politically. In 1949, they became the Federal Republic of Germany, commonly called West Germany. Its capital was established in Bonn, although West Germany maintained that Berlin remained connected to the German nation. The Soviet occupation zone became the German Democratic Republic, or East Germany, later in 1949.

West Germany developed a parliamentary democratic system and a market-oriented economy. East Germany became a socialist state governed by the Socialist Unity Party under Soviet influence. Although the country formally possessed governmental institutions, political power was concentrated in the ruling party. Opposition was restricted, elections were not genuinely competitive, and state security organizations monitored the population.

Berlin consequently became a divided city representing two opposing political and economic systems. West Berlin was politically associated with West Germany and supported by the Western powers, while East Berlin became the capital of East Germany.

# The Berlin Blockade and the Growth of Cold War Tensions

The first major Berlin crisis occurred before the construction of the Wall. In 1948, the Soviet Union blocked land and water access from the Western occupation zones to West Berlin. The blockade was partly a response to Western economic integration and currency reform.

The Western Allies responded with the Berlin Airlift, supplying West Berlin by air with food, fuel, medicine, and other necessities. The blockade ended in May 1949 after failing to force the Western powers out of the city. The crisis demonstrated Berlin's strategic importance and the determination of the Western Allies to maintain their presence there.

The blockade did not divide Berlin physically with a permanent wall, but it deepened political separation. It also reinforced West Berlin's position as a Western-controlled territory surrounded by East Germany. The continuing Western presence provided East Germans with a visible alternative to the political and economic system under which they lived.

Berlin remained a source of international tension throughout the 1950s. Soviet leaders repeatedly challenged the legal and political status of the Western sectors. The United States and its allies, however, refused to abandon West Berlin. The city became both a strategic liability and an important symbol of Western commitment.

The Berlin crisis intensified between 1958 and 1961. Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev demanded that the Western powers withdraw and proposed changing West Berlin into a demilitarized "free city." Western governments rejected proposals that might compromise their access or the security of West Berlin. The city therefore remained divided politically but relatively open physically until August 1961.

# The Refugee Crisis in East Germany

The most immediate reason for constructing the Berlin Wall was the large-scale departure of East German citizens. Although the boundary between East and West Germany became increasingly difficult to cross during the 1950s, movement within Berlin remained comparatively open. An East German citizen could travel to East Berlin, cross into West Berlin, and then continue to West Germany.

From 1949 until 1961, at least 2.7 million people emigrated from East Germany to West Germany. By comparison, approximately 500,000 moved in the opposite direction. The population loss included many younger and professionally trained people, creating shortages in essential occupations and weakening the East German economy.

The movement was not merely an economic problem. It was also a political embarrassment. Every

citizen who left appeared to reject the East German government and its socialist system. The continued existence of an open escape route contradicted the government's claim that East Germany represented a successful and legitimate workers' state.

People left for different reasons. Some were dissatisfied with political restrictions, surveillance, censorship, and the absence of competitive elections. Others sought higher wages, better consumer goods, improved housing, educational opportunities, or the ability to join relatives already living in the West. Young people sometimes feared that future restrictions would make departure impossible.

The East German government faced a difficult choice. Major political liberalization might have reduced public dissatisfaction, but it would also have weakened the ruling party's control. Economic reforms would have required time and resources and might not have closed the development gap with West Germany. Closing the border offered a more immediate method of stopping the population loss.

Walter Ulbricht, East Germany's leader, publicly denied in June 1961 that anyone intended to build a wall. Less than two months later, East German forces sealed the border. The contradiction between the denial and the subsequent operation demonstrated the secrecy with which the plan was prepared.

# The Construction of the Berlin Wall

During the night of August 12–13, 1961, East German police, soldiers, and workers began closing streets and railway connections between East and West Berlin. They stretched barbed wire across roads, disrupted transport routes, and prevented citizens from crossing the sector boundary.

The first barriers were relatively temporary. Over time, however, barbed wire was replaced with concrete walls, fences, guard towers, patrol roads, lighting systems, alarms, and other security installations. The United States condemned the measures as a violation of Berlin's existing four-power status.

The structure commonly called the Berlin Wall was actually an extensive border system. It eventually included an outer wall facing West Berlin, an inner barrier, watchtowers, vehicle obstacles, patrol paths, and a cleared area often called the "death strip." The border surrounding West Berlin extended approximately 155 kilometers.

The Wall did not divide Germany into East and West; that political division had already occurred. Instead, it closed the most accessible route through which East Germans could reach the West. It also divided streets, public transportation systems, workplaces, churches, cemeteries, and residential communities within Berlin.

Some buildings stood directly along the border. At Bernauer Strasse, for example, the fronts of apartment buildings faced West Berlin while their entrances were located in East Berlin. Residents initially escaped by jumping from windows or being lowered by ropes. East German authorities later

evacuated the buildings, blocked their windows, and eventually demolished them.

The East German government called the structure the *Antifaschistischer Schutzwall*, or Anti-Fascist Protective Wall. Its propaganda claimed that the barrier protected the East from fascists, spies, saboteurs, and Western aggression. However, the direction of the fortifications revealed its practical purpose: the Wall was designed mainly to prevent people inside East Germany from leaving.

# The Wall as a System of Border Control

The Berlin Wall was more than a concrete structure. It functioned as part of a larger system of surveillance and coercion (Major, 2010). East German border guards monitored the frontier, while the Ministry for State Security, commonly known as the Stasi, investigated suspected escape plans and maintained extensive files on citizens.

Travel to the West was heavily restricted. Some pensioners and carefully approved citizens could receive permission to travel, but many East Germans could not visit relatives or attend important family events across the border. Applications could be denied without meaningful appeal.

People nevertheless attempted to escape through tunnels, concealed compartments in vehicles, forged documents, balloons, waterways, and improvised devices. Some succeeded, while others were arrested, injured, or killed.

Research by the Berlin Wall Memorial and the Centre for Contemporary History has identified at least 140 people who were killed at the Wall or died in circumstances directly connected to the East German border regime between 1961 and 1989. The victims included people shot while escaping, individuals who died in accidents, and others caught in the border system (Hertle & Nooke, 2017).

One of the best-known victims was Peter Fechter. In August 1962, the 18-year-old was shot while attempting to cross into West Berlin. He fell inside the border area and remained seriously wounded for approximately 50 minutes before dying. His death became an international symbol of the human cost of the Wall.

Chris Gueffroy, who was shot in February 1989, became the last person killed by gunfire while attempting to escape across the Berlin Wall. His death occurred only months before the border opened.

# Social and Human Effects of the Wall

The construction of the Wall immediately separated families, friends, colleagues, and romantic partners. People who had crossed the city regularly could no longer travel freely. Some individuals went to work on one side of Berlin and returned to homes on the other before the closure. The new

restrictions disrupted these established patterns overnight.

Family separation became one of the most painful consequences. Grandparents could not easily see grandchildren, parents were separated from adult children, and people could not attend weddings, funerals, or birthdays. Limited visiting arrangements eventually developed, but travel remained controlled by political authorities.

The Wall also reshaped employment and education. East Berlin residents who worked in West Berlin lost their jobs or could no longer reach them. Transportation networks were divided, and stations on some Western railway lines passing beneath East Berlin were closed. Trains moved through these dimly lit locations without stopping, producing the famous “ghost stations.”

The physical division affected people psychologically as well. East German citizens understood that the government was prepared to restrict movement by force. The border transformed the desire to leave into a potential criminal offense and created uncertainty about whom citizens could trust when discussing escape or political dissatisfaction.

The Wall also affected West Berlin. Although its inhabitants retained political and personal freedoms, they lived in an enclave surrounded by East German territory. Western governments maintained military forces and access routes to protect the city. The possibility of confrontation remained present, particularly during periods of heightened tension.

# International Reactions to the Wall

Western governments condemned the construction of the Berlin Wall but did not attempt to destroy it militarily. A direct armed intervention could have led to conflict between nuclear powers. The Western Allies therefore concentrated on maintaining access to West Berlin rather than forcing East Germany to reopen its border.

The response disappointed some Berlin residents, who hoped for stronger action. Yet Western leaders distinguished between the freedom of West Berlin, which they were committed to protecting, and movement within territory controlled by East Germany and the Soviet Union.

Tensions became particularly visible at Checkpoint Charlie in October 1961, when American and Soviet tanks faced one another after a dispute concerning Western access to East Berlin. The confrontation ended without combat, but it demonstrated how a local border dispute could potentially produce a wider international crisis.

United States President John F. Kennedy visited West Berlin in June 1963 and expressed solidarity with its population. His statement “Ich bin ein Berliner” became one of the most famous political declarations of the Cold War. The visit reinforced the symbolic importance of West Berlin without changing the existence of the Wall.

Later Western leaders also used the Wall to criticize communist restrictions. In 1987, President Ronald Reagan stood near the Brandenburg Gate and called on Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev to open the gate and tear down the Wall. Although this speech became historically memorable, the Wall did not fall because of one foreign leader's demand. Its opening resulted from political changes throughout the communist bloc and mounting pressure from East German citizens.

## Political and Economic Pressures During the 1980s

By the 1980s, East Germany faced serious structural problems. Although it possessed one of the stronger economies in the Eastern Bloc, it struggled with debt, outdated industrial equipment, limited consumer choice, environmental damage, and lower living standards than West Germany.

The comparison with West Germany was unavoidable. East Germans could receive Western television broadcasts in much of the country, allowing them to observe different political debates, products, lifestyles, and employment opportunities. The government could restrict travel, but it could not entirely prevent citizens from comparing the two systems.

Political conditions also changed within the Soviet Union. Mikhail Gorbachev became Soviet leader in 1985 and introduced *glasnost*, meaning greater openness, and *perestroika*, meaning restructuring. These policies were intended to reform the Soviet system, but they also encouraged citizens throughout Eastern Europe to demand greater transparency and participation.

Gorbachev reduced the likelihood that the Soviet Union would use military force to preserve unpopular communist governments. This change was crucial. Earlier uprisings in East Germany, Hungary, and Czechoslovakia had been suppressed with Soviet support or intervention. By the late 1980s, East European leaders could no longer assume that Soviet troops would rescue them from domestic opposition.

East Germany's leaders resisted reform. Their refusal to respond to changing circumstances made the government increasingly isolated from its own population and from developments elsewhere in the communist world.

## Hungary, Czechoslovakia, and the New Escape Routes

Changes in neighboring countries weakened East Germany's border controls. In 1989, Hungary began dismantling its fortified border with Austria. East Germans could travel to Hungary more easily than directly to West Germany, and many used the new opening to reach Austria and then continue westward.

Thousands of East Germans also sought refuge in West German embassies in Prague and other

Eastern European capitals. The growing movement demonstrated that the government had failed to eliminate the desire to leave. The Wall had blocked one escape route, but political developments were creating others.

East German authorities faced increasing pressure. Preventing citizens from traveling to neighboring socialist countries would have caused further dissatisfaction, while permitting travel enabled more people to escape. Efforts to control the crisis therefore intensified demands for reform.

The migration crisis also damaged the East German government's international image. Large groups of citizens were publicly demonstrating that they preferred uncertainty abroad to continued life under the existing political system.

## Peaceful Demonstrations in East Germany

The Wall's opening cannot be explained only by international diplomacy. Civic activism within East Germany played a central role. Churches, peace organizations, environmental groups, intellectuals, and ordinary citizens created spaces for discussion despite government surveillance.

The Monday demonstrations in Leipzig became particularly important. Protesters demanded freedom of travel, political reform, and democratic rights. The phrase "Wir sind das Volk," meaning "We are the people," challenged the ruling party's claim to represent society.

The possibility of violent repression was real. The East German government possessed security forces and had previously used coercion against opposition. However, demonstrations continued to grow, and authorities ultimately refrained from launching a large-scale attack on protesters.

On October 9, 1989, approximately 70,000 people participated in a peaceful demonstration in Leipzig. The absence of a violent crackdown encouraged further protests across the country. The government's ability to govern through fear was rapidly weakening.

Erich Honecker, East Germany's long-serving leader, was removed from office in October 1989 and replaced by Egon Krenz. The leadership change did not restore public trust because citizens demanded structural reform rather than a replacement drawn from the same ruling establishment.

## The Announcement of November 9, 1989

Under growing pressure, East German officials prepared new travel regulations. The proposed rules were intended to permit citizens to apply for private travel outside the country. They were not originally designed as an immediate and uncontrolled opening of every Berlin crossing.

On the evening of November 9, 1989, Politburo member Günter Schabowski held a televised press conference. He had received information about the new regulations but was not fully briefed about

how or when they were to be implemented.

When a journalist asked when the rules would take effect, Schabowski consulted his notes and answered in a way suggesting that they applied immediately. The unclear announcement was broadcast through television and radio. East Germans interpreted it as confirmation that the border had opened.

Thousands of people began gathering at border crossings. Guards had received no clear instructions and faced increasingly large crowds demanding passage. The East German leadership did not authorize the use of large-scale violence, but it also failed to provide a workable response.

At the Bornholmer Strasse crossing, officials eventually allowed people to pass. Other crossings followed. East and West Berliners embraced, celebrated, climbed onto the Wall, and crossed a border that had controlled their lives for more than 28 years.

The border opening was therefore partly accidental in its immediate timing, but the broader collapse was not accidental. Schabowski's confused announcement became decisive only because the government had already lost authority, public protests had expanded, travel restrictions had become unsustainable, and border guards were unwilling to cause mass bloodshed.

# The Fall of the Wall and German Reunification

The events of November 9 did not remove the entire Wall in one night. Citizens began breaking pieces from it, new crossings were created, and the border gradually lost its controlling function. Formal demolition of major sections continued during 1990. At Bernauer Strasse, official demolition of the border installations began in June 1990.

The opening immediately raised the question of German reunification. West German Chancellor Helmut Kohl introduced a 10-point plan and promoted a process leading toward unity. However, reunification required more than agreement between East and West Germans. The four former occupying powers continued to possess legal rights and responsibilities concerning Germany and Berlin.

Negotiations involving East Germany, West Germany, the United States, the Soviet Union, Britain, and France produced the Two Plus Four Agreement. This settlement addressed Germany's borders, military status, sovereignty, and international relationships. The former occupying powers surrendered their remaining rights concerning Germany as a whole.

Germany was formally reunified on October 3, 1990. The German Democratic Republic ceased to exist, and its territories joined the Federal Republic of Germany. Berlin later became the capital of the reunited country ("German Federal Government", 2017).

The process was extraordinarily rapid. Less than 11 months separated the opening of the Wall from

formal reunification. This speed reflected popular pressure, West German political leadership, Soviet cooperation, and the rapid collapse of East German institutions.

## A Timeline of the Berlin Wall

| Date | Event | Historical importance |
|---|---|---|
| May 1945 | Nazi Germany surrenders | Germany and Berlin are divided into four Allied occupation zones. |
| June 1948–May 1949 | Berlin Blockade and Airlift | Berlin becomes a major location of Cold War confrontation. |
| May 1949 | Federal Republic of Germany established | The Western occupation zones become West Germany. |
| October 1949 | German Democratic Republic established | The Soviet occupation zone becomes East Germany. |
| June 1953 | East German uprising | Public opposition is suppressed with Soviet military support. |
| 1958–1961 | Berlin Crisis | The Soviet Union pressures Western powers over Berlin’s status. |
| August 13, 1961 | East Germany seals the Berlin border | Construction of the Berlin Wall begins |
| August 17, 1962 | Peter Fechter dies at the Wall | His death becomes a symbol of the border regime’s brutality. |
| June 1963 | John F. Kennedy visits West Berlin | The United States publicly reaffirms its support for the city. |
| June 1987 | Ronald Reagan speaks at the Brandenburg Gate. | The Wall remains an international symbol of division. |

| Date | Event | Historical importance |
|---|---|---|
| 1989 | Hungary opens its western border | East Germans gain an alternative route to the West. |
| October 9, 1989 | Major Leipzig demonstration | Peaceful opposition demonstrates the regime’s declining control. |
| November 9, 1989 | Berlin border crossings open | The Wall loses its function as a closed border. |
| September 12, 1990 | Two Plus Four Agreement signed | International legal conditions for German unity are settled. |
| October 3, 1990 | Germany is reunified | East Germany joins the Federal Republic of Germany. |

# The Historical Significance of the Wall’s Fall

The fall of the Berlin Wall was important first and foremost for the people whose lives had been restricted by it. It restored freedom of movement, enabled separated families to reunite, and ended one of the most visible instruments of East German state control.

The event also had wider European significance. Communist governments had already begun changing elsewhere in Eastern Europe, but the opening of the Berlin Wall showed dramatically that the political division of Europe was collapsing. It accelerated German reunification and contributed to the reorganization of relations between Eastern and Western Europe.

The Wall’s fall is frequently described as the victory of democracy over communism. This description captures part of its symbolic importance, particularly because East German citizens demanded political rights and rejected authoritarian rule. However, the history was more complex than a simple victory of one ideology over another.

The transformation resulted from economic difficulties, popular resistance, Soviet reforms, international negotiation, and the decisions of officials who chose not to use overwhelming violence. Moreover, reunification did not immediately eliminate every difference between eastern and western Germany. Economic inequality, employment disruption, differing political experiences, and debates over memory continued after 1990.

For some East Germans, the fall of the Wall brought freedom, opportunity, and family reunification. For others, the sudden disappearance of established institutions also produced uncertainty, unemployment, and a sense that their personal experiences were being dismissed. German reunification was therefore both a celebrated achievement and a difficult social transformation.

The end of the Wall also influenced European integration. The peaceful conclusion of Germany's division helped create conditions in which former Eastern Bloc countries later joined European and transatlantic institutions. The German government has identified the Wall's fall as an important foundation for the later expansion of the European Union into Eastern Europe.

## Why the Wall Ultimately Failed

The Berlin Wall achieved its immediate purpose. It sharply reduced the movement of East Germans through Berlin and allowed the East German state to survive for nearly three additional decades. In that limited sense, the barrier was effective.

However, it could not resolve the conditions that caused people to leave. It did not create political legitimacy, improve civil liberties, eliminate economic dissatisfaction, or persuade citizens that the ruling party genuinely represented them. Instead, the Wall demonstrated that the government required physical force to keep much of its population inside the country.

The Wall also created a permanent contradiction in East German political claims. A state that described itself as representing working people had to prevent those people from leaving. The border therefore became evidence of political weakness rather than strength.

As Soviet support changed and neighboring communist governments opened their borders, the East German state lost the external conditions that had sustained it. Peaceful demonstrations then revealed the scale of domestic opposition. By November 1989, the government lacked both a credible reform program and the political confidence to restore control through mass violence.

The Wall ultimately failed because coercion could regulate movement but could not create consent. It could delay political change, but it could not permanently suppress the desire for freedom, family contact, economic opportunity, and public participation.

## Conclusion

The Berlin Wall was created within the broader conflict between the Soviet Union and the Western powers, but its most direct purpose was to stop East German citizens from escaping through West Berlin. Postwar occupation divided Germany and Berlin into competing zones, while the creation of East and West Germany transformed that temporary division into two opposing political systems.

Between 1949 and 1961, millions of people left East Germany. This population loss damaged the economy, weakened the workforce, and exposed the government's lack of popular legitimacy. Rather than reforming the political system sufficiently to persuade citizens to remain, East German authorities sealed the Berlin border on August 13, 1961.

The resulting Wall separated families, disrupted communities, restricted movement, and became a fortified system of surveillance and control. At least 140 people died at the Wall or under circumstances directly connected with the border regime. Their experiences demonstrate that the Wall was not only an international symbol but also a source of individual suffering.

Its fall on November 9, 1989, resulted from economic pressure, political protest, Soviet reform, the opening of borders elsewhere in Eastern Europe, and the East German leadership's loss of control. Günter Schabowski's confused announcement triggered the immediate opening, but decades of dissatisfaction and months of public resistance made that moment possible.

The Wall's opening did not by itself end the Cold War, but it became one of the clearest signs that the established order in Europe was collapsing. It created the opportunity for German reunification, which occurred on October 3, 1990, and contributed to the transformation of Europe.

The history of the Berlin Wall demonstrates both the power and the limitations of authoritarian government. A state may use barriers, surveillance, and force to restrict its citizens for many years. Yet such measures cannot permanently replace political legitimacy or erase the human desire for freedom. The Wall's creation represented the institutionalization of division, while its fall represented the ability of peaceful public pressure and political change to overcome a system that once appeared permanent.

# References


Berlin Wall Foundation. (n.d.). *The Berlin Wall Memorial*. Berlin Wall Memorial.

Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung. (2017). *Historical and current development of migration to and from Germany*. Federal Agency for Civic Education.

Federal Foundation for the Study of the Communist Dictatorship in Germany. (2026). *The construction of the Wall on August 13, 1961: History, causes, and consequences*.

German Federal Government. (2017). *The chancellor who reunited Germany*.

German Federal Government. (2020). *Enabling people to live in freedom and democracy: The Two Plus Four Agreement*.

Harrison, H. M. (2003). *Driving the Soviets up the Wall: Soviet-East German relations, 1953–1961*. Princeton University Press.

Hertle, H.-H. (2007). *The Berlin Wall: Monument of the Cold War*. Ch. Links Verlag.

Hertle, H.-H., & Nooke, M. (2017). *The victims at the Berlin Wall, 1961–1989*. Berlin Wall Memorial and Centre for Contemporary History.

Major, P. (2010). *Behind the Berlin Wall: East Germany and the frontiers of power*. Oxford University Press.

McAdams, A. J. (1993). *Germany divided: From the Wall to reunification*. Princeton University Press.

Sarotte, M. E. (2014). *The collapse: The accidental opening of the Berlin Wall*. Basic Books.

Taylor, F. (2006). *The Berlin Wall: A world divided, 1961–1989*. HarperCollins.

United States Department of State, Office of the Historian. (n.d.). *The Berlin Crisis, 1958–1961*.

United States Diplomacy Center. (n.d.). *The rise and fall of the Berlin Wall*.