The Communication Hindrance In Administration

Posted on September 19, 2019

Introduction

Communication hindrance in administration occurs when information is delayed, distorted, misunderstood, withheld, or prevented from reaching the people who need it. During an interview with the director of a work-at-home office, I observed that remote administration intensifies ordinary communication problems because managers cannot rely on shared physical context. Tone, language, technology, status, incomplete records, and assumptions about performance can all interfere with understanding. The original interview raised five important questions but left them unanswered. This expanded analysis preserves those questions and connects them to decision rules, staffing, planning, training, and conflicts of interest. (Conrad & Poole, 2005)

The Administrative Context

Remote employees often communicate through telephone, messaging systems, email, ticketing software, and video meetings. Each channel removes some cues and adds others. A telephone call carries tone but not facial expression. Text creates a record but may flatten emotion or encourage abrupt replies. Video supplies visual cues but can be affected by bandwidth, privacy, fatigue, and unequal participation. Administrators should select channels according to the task: urgent ambiguity may require a call, complex policy may require a written document, and sensitive performance feedback may require a private synchronous conversation followed by a summary.

Language differences are only one barrier. Specialized jargon, accents, varying communication styles, disability access, time zones, and assumptions about what “everyone knows” can also prevent understanding. The remedy is not to demand one personality or accent. It is to create shared terminology, confirm meaning, provide accessible formats, and evaluate employees on job-related communication outcomes. (Richmond, McCroskey, & McCroskey, 2009)

Interview Questions and Analytical Responses

1. How do you settle on choice principles to enable the

administrator to chance inside your area while contacting other personnel?

The wording can be clarified as: How are decision rules established so administrators can act within their area while coordinating with other personnel? Decision authority should be defined by risk, reversibility, expertise, and scope. Routine, low-risk, reversible decisions can be delegated to the employee closest to the customer. Decisions involving legal exposure, safety, privacy, large financial commitments, or cross-department consequences should be escalated. A decision-rights matrix can identify who recommends, approves, performs, and must be consulted or informed.

Communication supports delegation when boundaries are explicit. Employees should know the purpose of the rule, the circumstances that require escalation, the expected response time, and where to document the decision. Managers should review exceptions rather than forcing staff to seek permission for every ordinary action. This reduces bottlenecks while preserving control.

2. How do you decide how people are chosen for specific domains and ensure organizational objectives are met through communication?

Assignment should be based on competencies, workload, access requirements, development goals, and the needs of the customer or project. Administrators should avoid relying on familiarity, stereotypes, or who speaks most confidently. A skills inventory can match language ability, technical knowledge, judgment, and availability to defined roles. Selection criteria should be visible enough that employees understand why assignments are made.

Organizational objectives are translated into communication through measurable outcomes, service standards, and feedback loops. Employees need to know not only the target but the reason it matters and how their role connects to it. Team dashboards can show response time, resolution quality, repeat contacts, customer effort, compliance, and learning needs. Metrics must be interpreted together: reducing call time may appear efficient while increasing unresolved problems.

3. What key planning instruments do you use to guarantee the greatest value from organizational engagements?

Useful instruments include stakeholder maps, risk registers, communication plans, standard operating procedures, project schedules, capacity forecasts, issue logs, and after-action reviews. A communication plan identifies the audience, information needed, sender, channel, timing, and confirmation method. A risk register records what could interrupt service, the likelihood and impact, preventive action, and responsible owner. These tools should be brief enough to use; excessive documentation can become another barrier.

Planning should include contingencies for power failure, internet interruption, cyber incidents, sudden demand, staff absence, and vendor outage. Remote work depends on technology, so administrators need alternate channels and recovery priorities. Engagement value is maximized when plans connect activity to outcomes and when lessons are incorporated rather than filed away.

4. How do you train your people?

Effective training combines explanation, demonstration, guided practice, independent performance, feedback, and spaced reinforcement. New remote employees need technical onboarding, communication standards, privacy and security instruction, product knowledge, escalation rules, and opportunities to observe realistic calls or cases. Role-playing can help employees practice de-escalation, active listening, plain-language explanation, and verification of understanding.

Coaching should use specific evidence rather than broad labels such as “bad attitude.” A supervisor might identify an interruption, unclear explanation, missing confirmation, or failure to document. Employees should also review successful interactions to understand what to repeat. Accessibility matters: captions, transcripts, flexible pacing, and alternative practice formats improve learning. Training effectiveness should be measured through performance transfer, not attendance alone.

5. How would you deal with conflicts of interest when communication barriers decline?

The original phrase appears to ask how conflicts of interest should be managed when closer communication exposes competing loyalties or interests. Greater transparency may reveal that a manager supervises a relative, an employee recommends a vendor with whom they have a relationship, or a sales incentive conflicts with customer welfare. The solution is disclosure, independent review, recusal where appropriate, documented criteria, and protection against retaliation for raising concerns.

Communication alone does not resolve a conflict of interest. It makes the conflict visible so governance can address it. Organizations should define reportable interests, maintain confidential channels, separate incompatible duties, and audit high-risk decisions. A culture that praises openness but punishes messengers will drive conflicts underground.

Common Communication Hindrances

Perceptual filtering causes people to accept information that fits existing beliefs and reject information that does not. Status differences discourage junior employees from correcting managers. Information overload leads important messages to disappear among low-priority notifications. Emotional arousal narrows attention, particularly during customer conflict. Technology failures interrupt interaction, while monitoring tools can undermine trust if employees do not understand what is collected and why. (Richmond, McCroskey, & McCroskey, 2009)

Administrative communication can also fail through silence. Employees may withhold bad news because earlier messengers were blamed. Managers may avoid difficult feedback until problems become severe. Remote workers can become isolated from informal information available to office-based colleagues. Regular one-to-one meetings, open question periods, searchable documentation, and inclusive meeting practices reduce these gaps.

Leadership, Voice, and Emotional Signals

Callers often infer willingness to help from tone, pacing, and clarity. Smiling may affect vocal warmth, but employees should not be required to perform constant cheerfulness in situations that demand seriousness. The deeper skill is regulated empathy: listening without defensiveness, acknowledging the concern, clarifying facts, and explaining the next step. Managers need the same discipline when coaching staff.

Leadership is not an inborn trait possessed by a few. Communication practices can be learned, observed, rehearsed, and improved. Administrators lead when they make purpose clear, distribute accurate information, invite challenge, and take responsibility for system failures. They should distinguish inability, unclear instruction, inadequate resources, and unwillingness before deciding how to respond.

Recommendations

- Define decision and escalation rights according to risk and scope.
- Match communication channels to urgency, complexity, sensitivity, and accessibility.
- Use plain language, shared terminology, written summaries, and confirmation of understanding.
- Create searchable, current procedures with named owners and revision dates.
- Train through realistic practice and evidence-based coaching.
- Measure quality, resolution, compliance, and employee learning—not speed alone.
- Protect employee voice and establish confidential conflict-of-interest reporting.
- Review communication failures as system evidence rather than automatically blaming individuals.

Communication Metrics and Continuous Improvement

Organizations often measure volume and speed because they are easy to count. Those measures should be balanced with first-contact resolution, accuracy, customer understanding, compliance, employee escalation, and avoidable repeat work. Qualitative review of a small sample of cases can reveal patterns that aggregate dashboards miss. Employees should understand how monitoring is used and have a way to challenge inaccurate interpretations.

A communication failure review should reconstruct the path of information: what was known, by whom, at what time, through which channel, and with what competing demands. The purpose is to identify weak handoffs, ambiguous language, inaccessible systems, or incentives that encouraged silence. Corrective action can then target the system rather than merely reminding staff to “communicate better.”

Equity in Remote Communication

Remote administration can disadvantage employees with limited bandwidth, caregiving responsibilities, disabilities, or time-zone differences. Requiring constant camera use or immediate responses may not improve work and may intrude into private homes. Clear core hours, asynchronous alternatives, captioning, readable documents, and advance agendas make participation more equitable.

Managers should also watch for proximity bias and unequal airtime. Rotating facilitation, inviting written input before meetings, and documenting decisions help ensure that influence does not depend on interruption, accent, or physical presence.

Crisis Communication

During a crisis, administrators should communicate what is known, what remains uncertain, who is responsible, and when the next update will occur. Silence encourages rumor, while premature certainty damages credibility. A single source of current information and a documented incident channel reduce conflicting instructions.

After the event, leaders should compare the formal plan with actual communication behavior. The review should include frontline employees and customers where appropriate because senior records may not show workarounds or delays experienced at the edge of the system.

Conclusion

Communication hindrances in administration are not limited to language or tone. They arise from unclear authority, poor channel selection, status, assumptions, overload, inaccessible systems, weak training, and conflicts of interest. Remote work magnifies these barriers because context must be communicated deliberately. The five interview questions point toward a coherent administrative system: define decisions, assign people fairly, plan communication, train through practice, and govern conflicts transparently. When these elements work together, communication becomes more than information transfer; it becomes the infrastructure through which an organization coordinates action and learns. (Conrad & Poole, 2005)

References

Conrad, C., & Poole, M. S. (2005). *Strategic Organizational Communication in a Global Economy* (6th ed.). Wadsworth.

Edmondson, A. C. (2019). *The Fearless Organization*. Wiley.

Richmond, V. P., McCroskey, J. C., & McCroskey, L. L. (2009). *Organizational Communication for Survival* (4th ed.). Pearson.