# Supply Chain Issues Of Boeing 787 Dreamliner And 737 Max

Posted on May 24, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/supply-chain-issues-of-boeing-787-dreamliner-and-737-max/

## Introduction

Boeing operates a commercial-aircraft supply network in which millions of parts, specialized materials, software, engineering decisions, and regulated manufacturing processes must converge into one certifiable product. The 787 Dreamliner demonstrated the opportunities and risks of unusually extensive global outsourcing, while the 737 MAX program exposed broader weaknesses involving engineering integration, certification, production quality, and organizational communication. These issues should not be collapsed into one explanation because a delayed supplier shipment, a manufacturing quality escape, and a design or certification failure have different immediate causes even when all reflect weaknesses in system governance. The 787 strategy assigned major partners responsibility for large structural modules and portions of design and lower-tier coordination, creating dependencies that Boeing sometimes lacked sufficient visibility to manage. More recent 737 production problems likewise showed that supplier quality and final assembly cannot be treated as separate worlds. Boeing's December 2025 acquisition of Spirit AeroSystems brought major fuselage operations back inside the company, but ownership alone cannot solve process capability, training, configuration control, or safety-culture problems. Resilience therefore depends on integration rather than on outsourcing or vertical integration by itself.

## The 787 Outsourcing Model and Integration Risk

The 787 program relied on global risk-sharing partners that supplied major fuselage sections, wings, systems, and other large modules intended to arrive substantially complete for rapid final assembly. The model promised access to specialist expertise, lower development cash requirements, international market participation, and shorter assembly time, but it also transferred complex engineering and coordination tasks to suppliers whose capabilities varied. Incomplete assemblies, missing documentation, design-interface problems, tooling constraints, and sub-tier delays forced Boeing to perform traveled work and send technical teams to suppliers. The central lesson is not that outsourcing is inherently unsafe. Outsourcing works best when interfaces are mature, suppliers have demonstrated design and manufacturing capability, configuration data are shared accurately, and the integrator can see developing problems before parts reach final assembly. Risk-sharing contracts do not transfer ultimate product responsibility. As type-certificate holder and final integrator, Boeing

remains responsible for the airworthiness of the complete aircraft even when individual structures or systems are designed and produced elsewhere. The 787 experience therefore shows that procurement strategy must be matched by systems engineering, supplier development, and continuous technical visibility (Tang & Zimmerman, 2009).

## 737 Quality Events and Supplier Accountability

Manufacturing quality became especially visible after the January 2024 Alaska Airlines Flight 1282 door-plug separation on a 737-9. The NTSB's 2025 final findings identified missing bolts intended to prevent upward movement of the plug and described deficiencies involving Boeing's procedures, training, quality assurance, and FAA oversight. The fuselage originated at Spirit AeroSystems, but the relevant door-plug removal and reinstallation activity occurred during Boeing production, illustrating why responsibility cannot be assigned simply according to which company first manufactured a structure. Quality must be controlled across supplier, transportation, receiving, assembly, rework, inspection, documentation, and release. Other production problems, including nonconforming holes and structural defects, further demonstrated how supplier capability and factory execution can constrain delivery rates. A strong response requires containment, root-cause analysis, verified corrective action, traceability, and employee authority to stop work when conformity is uncertain. Incoming inspection cannot replace a capable supplier process, yet Boeing also cannot rely on supplier approval as final assurance. Safety-critical manufacturing requires overlapping controls so that one missed step does not pass unnoticed through the entire production system (NTSB, 2025).

## Spirit Reintegration and Current FAA Oversight

Boeing completed its acquisition of Spirit AeroSystems on December 8, 2025, reversing part of the earlier separation of major Wichita-based manufacturing operations. Reintegration can simplify engineering communication, capital allocation, quality accountability, and decisions about fuselage production, but the benefit depends on how effectively systems, workforce practices, supplier relationships, tooling, and quality controls are combined. The regulatory environment also changed during 2026. In July 2026, the FAA said Boeing could resume issuing airworthiness certificates for all new 737 MAX and 787 aircraft after the agency had retained direct certificate issuance for an extended period; the FAA emphasized that inspections, audits, production monitoring, safety-culture work, and oversight would continue. This shift should not be interpreted as an end to scrutiny or proof that every production concern has been resolved. Delegated authority functions safely only when the manufacturer demonstrates reliable processes and the regulator remains able to challenge findings independently. The strategic test of Spirit reintegration is therefore measurable performance: fewer escapes, stable first-pass quality, stronger employee reporting, accurate configuration records, and production rates that rise only after process capability has been demonstrated (Boeing, 2025; FAA, 2026).

# Building Resilience across Suppliers, Inventory, and Production

A resilient aerospace supply chain maps critical suppliers and sub-tiers according to safety importance, recovery time, financial health, geographic concentration, sole-source exposure, lead time, and workforce capability. A low-cost fastener or specialized forging can stop production as effectively as an expensive system if no qualified alternative exists. Selective safety stock, capacity reservations, alternate sources, spare tooling, and documented transfer plans may therefore be justified when qualification takes years. Supplier scorecards should combine delivery with first-pass yield, rework, training, corrective-action effectiveness, and financial resilience rather than rewarding shipment at any cost. Production-rate increases should follow demonstrated system health instead of optimistic financial targets because hiring, tooling, inspection, certification workload, and supplier capacity must expand together. Forecasting should also use ranges and decision triggers rather than a single schedule that suppliers may treat as certainty. Long-term aircraft programs amplify the consequences of weak forecasts because suppliers invest in specialized equipment and labor years in advance. Resilience does not require duplicating every resource; it requires identifying where a disruption would be unacceptable and investing proportionately before the disruption occurs.

# Digital Integration, Workforce Knowledge, and Safety Culture

Digital systems can connect engineering requirements, drawing revisions, serial numbers, manufacturing instructions, inspection results, software versions, and supplier milestones into a traceable configuration history. That digital thread reduces ambiguity only when data are current, controlled, and understood by the people doing the work. Aerospace production also depends on tacit knowledge held by machinists, mechanics, inspectors, engineers, planners, and supplier specialists, so retirements, rapid hiring, layoffs, and turnover can weaken process capability even when formal procedures remain unchanged. Training should combine instruction with supervised practice and competency verification, while stable teams and mentoring support transfer of experience. Most importantly, workers must be able to report defects, incomplete tasks, schedule concerns, or unsafe instructions without retaliation. Metrics can distort behavior when acknowledging a delay immediately damages a score more than hiding it until the last moment. Boeing and its suppliers therefore need incentives that reward accurate forecasts, early escalation, and verified corrective action. A mature safety culture is demonstrated when production stops for unresolved conformity questions and management treats that interruption as successful risk detection rather than as employee failure.

# Conclusion

Boeing's 787 and 737 experiences show that aerospace supply-chain performance cannot be separated from engineering integration, manufacturing discipline, workforce capability, and regulatory oversight. The 787's global outsourcing strategy created access to specialized expertise and large-module logistics but also exposed weaknesses when suppliers lacked readiness or Boeing lacked visibility into design and sub-tier execution. The 737 MAX accidents involved design and certification failures rather than simple procurement problems, while the 2024 door-plug event demonstrated how supplier structures, Boeing rework, documentation, training, and oversight can intersect in one manufacturing escape. Boeing's completed acquisition of Spirit AeroSystems in December 2025 creates an opportunity for tighter coordination, but vertical integration is a means rather than a safety outcome. Current FAA delegation changes likewise do not eliminate continuing oversight. A more resilient system requires traceable configuration, selective redundancy, financially and technically capable suppliers, realistic production rates, effective employee voice, and corrective actions verified by later performance. Efficiency and safety are not competing objectives when problems are detected early; instability, rework, and crisis recovery are ultimately more expensive than disciplined production.

# References


Tang, C. S., & Zimmerman, J. D. (2009). Managing new product development and supply chain risks: The Boeing 787 case. *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal, 10*(2), 74–86.

National Transportation Safety Board. (2025). *Alaska Airlines Flight 1282 Boeing 737-9 Door Plug Accident Investigation*.

The Boeing Company. (2025). *Boeing Completes Acquisition of Spirit AeroSystems*.

Federal Aviation Administration. (2026). *FAA Oversight and Airworthiness Certification Updates for Boeing 737 MAX and 787 Aircraft*.