Strategic Goals for the Healthcare Department

Posted on September 21, 2018

Introduction

Strategic goals translate a healthcare organization's mission into measurable priorities. They help leaders decide how to allocate staff, technology, time, and money while maintaining patient safety, legal compliance, and equitable access. The original essay identifies quality improvement, accountability, staff incentives, and the nine-box talent matrix. It also proposes an incentive based on referral volume, which is ethically dangerous and may violate U.S. fraud-and-abuse law when compensation induces or rewards referrals for federally reimbursed services. Healthcare incentives should reward quality, teamwork, access, efficiency, and patient outcomes rather than the number or value of referrals (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, n.d.).

A useful strategic plan does not label employees permanently as high, medium, or low performers. It defines organizational outcomes, establishes valid measures, supports improvement, and protects patients from unintended consequences. This essay proposes an integrated set of goals and explains how the nine-box matrix may be used cautiously within a broader workforce-development system.

Characteristics of a Strong Strategic Goal

A strategic goal should be specific enough to guide action, measurable enough to monitor, achievable with available or planned resources, relevant to the organization's mission, and time-bound. It should also name the population and baseline. "Improve patient safety" is a direction; "reduce preventable medication-administration harm in inpatient units by a defined amount within two years while monitoring reporting rates" is closer to an operational goal.

Metrics need balancing measures. Reducing length of stay may appear efficient but can increase readmission or caregiver burden. Increasing appointment volume may reduce time available for complex patients. Every target should therefore include indicators of quality, equity, workforce effect, and unintended harm.

Goal One: Improve Patient Safety and Clinical

Quality

The department should identify a limited number of high-priority harms using incident reports, claims, patient complaints, chart review, and staff input. Examples include medication errors, falls, healthcare-associated infection, delayed diagnosis, pressure injury, or unsafe handoffs. The selection should reflect local evidence rather than a generic national list (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, n.d.).

Improvement requires reliable processes: standardized medication reconciliation, closed-loop communication, infection-prevention practices, escalation criteria, and learning from near misses. Leaders should create psychological safety so staff can report problems without fear of automatic punishment. Deliberate reckless behavior still requires accountability, but most errors arise from interactions among workload, design, communication, and human limitation (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, n.d.).

Goal Two: Improve Access and Equity

Quality has little value to people who cannot obtain care. A strategic access goal may address appointment delay, transportation, language services, disability access, digital exclusion, or continuity after discharge. Data should be stratified by relevant demographic and social factors to reveal whether averages hide disparities.

Interventions could include extended hours, referral navigation, telehealth when clinically appropriate, interpreter availability, accessible scheduling, community partnerships, and follow-up for high-risk patients. Equity work should avoid treating race or income as biological risk. The focus is on unequal exposure, barriers, treatment, and resources.

Goal Three: Strengthen Workforce Wellbeing and Capability

Healthcare quality depends on a stable workforce. Burnout, fatigue, moral distress, violence, and inadequate staffing can damage both employees and patients. A strategic goal should measure turnover, vacancy, injury, overtime, engagement, and access to development while distinguishing individual resilience from organizational responsibility.

Actions may include workload redesign, staffing plans, leadership training, protected learning time, peer support, violence prevention, and transparent career pathways. Wellness applications or occasional celebrations cannot compensate for chronic understaffing and disrespect.

Goal Four: Improve Care Coordination

Patients often move among emergency departments, inpatient units, primary care, specialists, pharmacies, rehabilitation, and home services. Every transition creates risk. A coordination goal can focus on accurate discharge medication lists, timely transfer of information, follow-up appointments, and patient understanding.

Measures should include successful contact and continuity, not merely whether a document was generated. Patients and caregivers should help define what information they need. Technology can support coordination, but ownership of the handoff must remain clear.

Goal Five: Use Resources Responsibly

Financial stewardship is necessary for mission sustainability. The department should reduce waste that does not improve outcomes, such as duplicated tests, avoidable supply expiration, inefficient scheduling, and preventable complications. Cost goals should never encourage denial of necessary care.

Leaders can use clinical pathways, procurement review, inventory controls, and utilization analysis. Savings should be evaluated alongside patient outcomes and access. A cheaper process that increases downstream harm is not efficient.

Why Referral-Volume Incentives Are Unsafe

The original plan proposes staff rewards based on referral volume. In ordinary sales, referral incentives may be common. In healthcare, they can distort clinical judgment and may violate the federal Anti-Kickback Statute when remuneration is offered or received to induce referrals involving federal healthcare programs. The HHS Office of Inspector General emphasizes that paying for referrals can be a crime (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, n.d.).

Even where a particular arrangement is not legally prohibited, volume-based incentives can encourage unnecessary services, steer patients toward financially connected providers, and undermine trust. Compensation design requires legal review and should use fair-market value for legitimate work without varying with the volume or value of referrals.

Safer Incentive Design

Incentives can support strategy when they reward a balanced set of outcomes. Examples include

completion of evidence-based care processes, patient-safety improvement, access for underserved patients, teamwork, documentation quality, and participation in learning. Measures should be within the employee's influence and risk-adjusted when necessary.

Individual bonuses can weaken collaboration if people compete for credit. Team-based recognition may be more appropriate for outcomes produced collectively. Nonfinancial rewards—development opportunities, schedule input, professional recognition, and improved working conditions—can also motivate without encouraging gaming.

The Nine-Box Matrix

The nine-box matrix places employees along two dimensions, usually current performance and future potential. It is commonly used for succession planning and development. The tool can prompt discussion about who is ready for greater responsibility and who needs support (Clutterbuck, 2012).

Its apparent simplicity is also a weakness. "Potential" is difficult to measure and may reflect similarity to current leaders, visibility, sponsorship, or bias. A person performing well in a clinical role may not want management, and leadership ability may emerge only when opportunities are provided. The matrix should not become a secret ranking that determines careers without evidence or appeal.

Defining Performance

Performance should be based on role-specific expectations, quality, reliability, teamwork, patient respect, and professional conduct. Raw volume is insufficient because cases differ in complexity and resources. Data should be reviewed for measurement error and contextual constraints.

Managers need multiple sources: objective indicators, direct observation, peer input, patient feedback where appropriate, and the employee's own account. One difficult quarter should not erase a longer record, while popularity should not substitute for performance.

Defining Potential

Potential can refer to capacity to handle greater complexity, learn rapidly, influence others, or succeed in a different role. It should not mean willingness to work unlimited hours or imitate the manager. Evidence can include learning agility, judgment, values, collaboration, and performance in stretch assignments.

Employees should be asked about career interests. Assuming that everyone wants promotion can produce poor succession decisions and devalue expert clinical careers.

Supporting High Performers

High performers need challenge, recognition, and protection from overload. Organizations often reward competence by giving the person every difficult task, which leads to burnout. Development may include mentoring, research, teaching, project leadership, or specialized clinical pathways.

They should not be treated as automatically ready to manage others. Leadership requires coaching, conflict management, ethical judgment, and delegation in addition to technical excellence.

Developing Solid and Emerging Performers

Employees meeting expectations are the foundation of reliable care. Labeling them “medium” can imply mediocrity when their work may be valuable and stable. Development plans can build a chosen skill, broaden experience, or prepare for a future role without suggesting that everyone must move upward.

Emerging employees need clear feedback, access to learning, and assignments with support. Opportunity should be distributed fairly rather than offered only to people already visible to senior leaders.

Responding to Performance Problems

Low performance should trigger diagnosis, not immediate reassignment or dismissal. Causes may include unclear expectations, inadequate orientation, disability, workload, poor supervision, interpersonal conflict, or a role mismatch. The manager should identify specific behaviors and patient or operational effects.

A fair improvement plan includes expectations, resources, milestones, feedback dates, and consequences. Serious misconduct or imminent safety threats require prompt action, but coaching should not be used to delay necessary protection. Documentation and human-resources review support consistency.

Governance and Accountability

Each strategic goal needs an executive sponsor, operational owner, frontline team, timeline, and reporting schedule. A dashboard should contain a small number of meaningful measures rather than dozens of indicators no one uses. Leaders should review variation over time and investigate causes rather than rewarding one favorable month.

Patients and families can contribute to priorities, design, and evaluation. Their participation should be

compensated and accessible rather than symbolic.

Implementation Cycle

Implementation can follow a continuous improvement cycle: define the problem, understand the process, test a change on a small scale, measure results, adapt, and spread only when evidence supports it. Training should occur close to implementation and include workflow redesign (Institute for Healthcare Improvement, n.d.).

Communication must explain why a goal matters and how staff can influence it. Mandates without resources create cynicism. Leaders should remove barriers and respond visibly to concerns.

Ethics and Compliance

Strategic planning must comply with privacy, billing, labor, discrimination, and fraud-and-abuse requirements. Legal review should occur before incentives, referral relationships, data sharing, or vendor arrangements are finalized. Ethical review asks an additional question: could the plan pressure staff to act against patient interests even if the arrangement is technically legal (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, n.d.)?

Transparency about measures and conflicts of interest protects trust. No target should make a clinician feel that appropriate care threatens personal compensation.

Conclusion

A healthcare department’s strategy should unite patient safety, access, equity, workforce capability, coordination, and financial stewardship. Goals need baselines, owners, deadlines, and balancing measures. Incentives should reward quality and teamwork, never the volume or value of referrals. The nine-box matrix can support succession discussion, but only when performance and potential are defined carefully, bias is reviewed, career interests are respected, and rankings lead to development rather than permanent labels.

Strong strategy is not a document produced once every several years. It is a disciplined system of learning and accountability. Leaders must use evidence, listen to patients and staff, test changes, disclose conflicts, and revise goals when measures create unintended harm. The department succeeds when organizational performance improves without sacrificing professional integrity or patient trust.

References

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. *Patient Safety and Quality Improvement Resources*.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General. *Fraud and Abuse Laws*.

Clutterbuck, D. (2012). *The Talent Wave*. Kogan Page.

Institute for Healthcare Improvement. *Model for Improvement*.