Social Media Influence On The Society

Posted on November 2, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/social-media-influence-on-the-society/

Introduction

Social media now functions as infrastructure for communication, news, education, commerce, entertainment, identity, and public participation rather than as a single technology with one predictable social effect. Different platforms organize attention through distinct recommendation systems, moderation rules, privacy settings, and business models, so outcomes depend on what users do, whom they interact with, and how much control they have over the environment. Social media can help geographically separated families remain connected, give marginalized groups access to supportive communities, and allow small organizations to reach audiences without traditional gatekeepers. The same systems can also amplify harassment, misinformation, surveillance, and unhealthy comparison when design rewards engagement without sufficient regard for accuracy or well-being. A balanced sociological analysis therefore treats social media neither as an inherently liberating tool nor as an automatic cause of social decline. Its influence emerges from the interaction among platform design, commercial incentives, legal rules, user behavior, social inequality, and the institutions that shape digital participation (Sunstein, 2017; Tuten & Solomon, 2017).

Communication, Community, and Unequal Visibility

Social media lowers the cost of maintaining relationships across distance and enables communities to organize around shared interests, professions, health conditions, identities, and political concerns. Direct messaging, group discussion, video, and collaborative posting can strengthen existing relationships and create new weak ties that provide information or opportunity. Yet connection is not distributed evenly. Public metrics such as likes, shares, follower counts, and visible response times can turn communication into performance, while recommendation systems can make some speakers highly visible and leave others nearly invisible. Harassment also falls disproportionately on women, journalists, religious and racial minorities, and other groups that are frequently targeted online. Accessibility, language, bandwidth, and device quality further influence who can participate effectively. The social benefit of networked communication therefore depends on more than access to an account. It depends on whether people can participate safely, reach relevant audiences, and communicate without being pushed toward constant self-presentation or exposure to abuse. Digital inclusion must address visibility, safety, and meaningful participation together.

Education, News, and the Problem of Verification

Social platforms have become important channels for informal learning and rapid information exchange. Students can follow lectures, demonstrations, language communities, professional discussions, and peer study groups, while public agencies can distribute emergency instructions quickly during disasters. These advantages are especially valuable when physical institutions are disrupted or when specialized knowledge is difficult to obtain locally. Speed, however, also creates conditions for error. Old photographs can be reposted as current events, fabricated accounts can imitate institutions, and short-form explanations can present confidence as expertise. Recommendation systems often optimize for engagement rather than evidentiary quality, which means emotionally charged claims can spread before verification occurs. Effective use requires media literacy that goes beyond recognizing obvious falsehoods. Users should check authorship, evidence, date, original context, conflicts of interest, and independent corroboration. Schools should teach these habits explicitly, while platforms should provide provenance tools, transparent corrections, and friction before high-risk content is forwarded widely. Reliable knowledge is a social process, not simply a matter of individual caution.

Commerce, Influencers, and Data-Driven Persuasion

Social media has also reorganized commerce by combining advertising, customer service, entertainment, and direct sales within the same environments. Small businesses can reach local or niche audiences without buying traditional mass-media campaigns, and creators can earn income through sponsorships, subscriptions, affiliate links, merchandise, and platform revenue. These opportunities are real, but they are shaped by unstable algorithms and by data collection that allows advertisers to infer interests, habits, location, and likely purchasing behavior. Influencer marketing further blurs the boundary between personal communication and paid persuasion because commercial recommendations are embedded in ordinary lifestyle content. The Federal Trade Commission continues to require clear disclosure of material connections between endorsers and advertisers, reflecting the principle that audiences should know when a recommendation is commercially influenced. Ethical social media marketing therefore requires more than high engagement. Businesses and creators should evaluate disclosure, consumer privacy, truthful claims, audience vulnerability, and long-term trust, while platforms should make commercial relationships visible rather than rewarding hidden persuasion.

Youth Well-Being and Platform Responsibility

Concerns about young users illustrate why social media cannot be evaluated through total screen time alone. The U.S. Surgeon General’s advisory, last reviewed in February 2025, states that available

evidence does not allow society to conclude that social media is sufficiently safe for children and adolescents, while also recognizing benefits such as connection and identity-affirming communities. Up to 95 percent of teenagers aged thirteen to seventeen report using a social media platform, making the question one of safer participation rather than simple avoidance. Risks vary according to developmental stage, content, sleep disruption, cyberbullying, appearance comparison, unwanted contact, and compulsive patterns of use. Families can establish technology boundaries and model healthy behavior, but responsibility cannot be transferred entirely to parents or teenagers. Platforms determine defaults, recommendation patterns, privacy settings, and the ease with which harmful material spreads. Safer design therefore requires age-appropriate protections, independent research access, transparent moderation, meaningful reporting tools, and privacy practices that minimize unnecessary collection of young users' data.

Governance, Privacy, and Democratic Participation

Social media has widened opportunities for political expression and collective action while creating new problems for democratic institutions. Activists can document abuse, mobilize supporters, and challenge dominant narratives without relying on established broadcasters, but the same systems can distribute propaganda, coordinated harassment, manipulated media, and false claims at enormous speed. Microtargeted political advertising can deliver different messages to different groups with limited public scrutiny, while opaque recommendation systems influence which arguments become visible. Governance should therefore focus on transparent systems and unlawful conduct rather than granting authorities unlimited power to decide which opinions are acceptable. Privacy law, consumer protection, child-safety standards, competition policy, and due-process requirements for moderation can reduce predictable harms without treating dissent as a technical problem. Users also need strong authentication, careful location sharing, and realistic expectations about the permanence of posted material. A healthy digital public sphere depends on independent journalism, civic education, trustworthy institutions, and platforms that explain how content is ranked, removed, and monetized instead of hiding those decisions behind proprietary systems.

Conclusion

Social media has reshaped society because it redistributes attention, information, commercial opportunity, and social power. It can strengthen relationships, support education, improve emergency communication, create new markets, and give marginalized groups greater visibility. It can also intensify surveillance, harassment, misinformation, comparison, and dependence on systems whose incentives are not always aligned with public well-being. These outcomes are not produced by technology alone. They reflect the interaction of user choices with platform design, advertising models, regulation, social inequality, and institutional trust. The most effective response is therefore neither broad censorship nor the claim that individuals must simply exercise greater self-control. Digital literacy, privacy protection, transparent recommendation systems, responsible advertising,

independent research, age-appropriate design, and enforceable consumer safeguards are complementary forms of accountability. Social media should be judged by whether it supports informed participation and meaningful connection rather than by engagement totals alone. A society that treats attention as a public and personal resource can preserve the benefits of networked communication while reducing the harms created by opaque amplification and commercial surveillance.

References

Federal Trade Commission. (2023). *Guides concerning the use of endorsements and testimonials in advertising*.

Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.

Tuten, T. L., & Solomon, M. R. (2017). *Social media marketing*. SAGE.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Surgeon General. (2023; page reviewed 2025). *Social media and youth mental health*.