Should Violent Video Games Be Banned?

Posted on October 28, 2019

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/should-violent-video-games-be-banned/

Introduction

Violent video games include a wide range of content, from cartoon fighting and fantasy battles to realistic military or crime simulations. Because these games are popular among children, adolescents, and adults, debate often combines several different concerns: exposure to violent imagery, aggressive thoughts, serious real-world violence, excessive gaming, sleep disruption, and age-inappropriate content. These outcomes should be separated rather than treated as one phenomenon. The American Psychological Association's 2020 review reported a small association between violent game use and aggressive outcomes such as hostile thoughts or minor aggressive behavior, while explicitly warning that the evidence does not support blaming violent video games for lethal violence or mass shootings (American Psychological Association, 2020). Gaming disorder is also a separate issue. The World Health Organization defines it through impaired control, increasing priority given to gaming, and continuation despite significant harm, and emphasizes that only a small proportion of players develop the disorder (World Health Organization, 2020). The policy question is therefore whether a blanket ban would address demonstrated harms better than age ratings, parental controls, media literacy, and intervention for problematic use.

Aggression Is Not the Same as Criminal Violence

Research findings can sound more alarming than they are when the word "aggression" is left undefined. Experimental and survey studies may measure hostile thoughts, irritation, competitive behavior, questionnaire scores, or minor actions that are not equivalent to assault, homicide, or other serious crime. Some meta-analyses have reported small associations between violent game exposure and later aggression, while other preregistered or higher-quality longitudinal studies have found weak, inconsistent, or statistically negligible effects (Greitemeyer & Mügge, 2014; Przybylski & Weinstein, 2019; Drummond et al., 2020). These disagreements reflect differences in samples, game classification, time periods, statistical methods, and outcome measures. They do not justify claiming that violent games have no possible effect on any player, but they also do not support the conclusion that fictional violence generally causes criminal offending. Serious violence is influenced by interacting factors such as previous aggression, family conditions, peer networks, substance use, access to weapons, mental health, and social environment. Entertainment exposure should therefore be studied within that broader context rather than used as a convenient explanation after a violent event.

Gaming Disorder, Sleep, and Functional Impairment

Problems caused by excessive gaming can occur with violent or nonviolent games and should not be mistaken for an effect of violent content itself. WHO's ICD-11 description of gaming disorder focuses on impaired control, gaming taking priority over other activities, and continuation despite significant negative consequences, with impairment in personal, family, educational, occupational, or social functioning (World Health Organization, 2020). A student who repeatedly plays through the night, skips schoolwork, stops exercising, withdraws from relationships, or cannot reduce play despite serious consequences may require assessment regardless of whether the game involves combat, sports, strategy, or simulation. By contrast, frequent play does not automatically establish a clinical disorder when the person remains able to stop, meet responsibilities, sleep adequately, and maintain relationships. Families should therefore monitor both content and pattern of use. Bedtime limits, device-free periods, purchase controls, and attention to mood or school changes address functional problems more directly than blaming a genre. Clinical concern is strongest when gaming produces measurable impairment, not simply when an adult considers the game unproductive or dislikes its fictional themes.

Age Ratings and the Role of Parents

Age-rating systems provide a more precise tool than a broad category called "violent games" because they distinguish mild fantasy conflict from intense gore, sexual content, strong language, gambling-related features, and online interaction. Ratings cannot replace parental judgment, since two children of the same age may differ in emotional maturity, sensitivity, and understanding, but they give adults a starting point for informed decisions. Parents can review content descriptions, observe gameplay, use platform controls for purchases and communication, and discuss why fictional violence differs from real injury and suffering. Co-playing can reveal whether the child is attracted to teamwork, story, competition, exploration, or cruelty and can expose risks from voice chat, harassment, or in-game spending that are unrelated to violent imagery. Media literacy is especially valuable because games are interactive narratives rather than simple instructions. Players make choices within rule systems, and those systems can reward cooperation, restraint, rescue, competition, or destruction in different contexts. Evaluating the whole game therefore produces better guidance than assuming that every depiction of fighting has the same psychological meaning or developmental effect.

Legal and Practical Limits of a Ban

In the United States, a government ban on violent video games would also face constitutional limits. In *Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association* (2011), the Supreme Court held that video games qualify for First Amendment protection and invalidated a California law restricting the sale or rental of

violent games to minors. The Court treated games as expressive works capable of communicating ideas through story, characters, music, dialogue, and player interaction. This does not prevent parents, retailers, platforms, or schools from using age restrictions and content controls, but it makes broad government censorship difficult to justify. Enforcement would create practical problems as well because games are distributed through downloads, subscriptions, mobile devices, international stores, streaming, and private accounts. A law would also need to define violence precisely enough to distinguish realistic criminal simulations from historical warfare, superhero stories, sports collisions, fantasy battles, and educational material. Overbroad definitions could suppress protected expression without targeting the actual problems of excessive use, unsuitable content for young children, or abusive online behavior.

Conclusion

The evidence does not support treating violent video games as a single direct cause of serious real-world violence, nor does it justify ignoring all concerns about aggressive content or excessive gaming. Research has found small associations with some measures of aggression, while other studies report weak or inconsistent effects, and the APA specifically cautions against attributing lethal violence to game use. Gaming disorder is a distinct clinical problem defined by impaired control and significant functional harm rather than by violent content. These distinctions point toward a proportionate response: age ratings, parental controls, media literacy, healthy limits on play, attention to sleep and school functioning, and clinical support when gaming becomes compulsive. In the United States, a blanket government ban would also encounter substantial First Amendment problems under *Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association*. The more useful question is not whether all violent games should disappear, but whether children and families have enough information and control to match content and playing patterns to maturity, health, responsibilities, and the real behavior of the individual player.

References

American Psychological Association. (2020). *Resolution on Violent Video Games*.

Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association, 564 U.S. 786 (2011).

Drummond, A., Sauer, J. D., Ferguson, C. J., Hall, L. C., & others. (2020). Do longitudinal studies support long-term relationships between aggressive game play and youth aggressive behaviour? *Royal Society Open Science*.

Greitemeyer, T., & Mügge, D. O. (2014). Video games do affect social outcomes: A meta-analytic review. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 40*(5), 578–589.

Przybylski, A. K., & Weinstein, N. (2019). Violent video game engagement is not associated with

adolescents' aggressive behaviour. *Royal Society Open Science, 6*, 171474.

World Health Organization. (2020). *Addictive behaviours: Gaming disorder*.