Sex Addiction as a Mental Disorder or Excuse for Misconduct

Posted on September 13, 2018

Introduction

The phrase “sex addiction” is widely used in popular culture, treatment marketing, and discussions of celebrity misconduct, but it is not a single, universally accepted clinical diagnosis. The American Psychiatric Association’s *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision* does not list sex addiction or compulsive sexual behaviour disorder as a distinct DSM-5-TR diagnosis (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). The World Health Organization’s ICD-11, however, includes compulsive sexual behaviour disorder (CSBD) under impulse-control disorders, not under disorders due to addictive behaviours (World Health Organization [WHO], 2026).

This distinction matters. Some people experience persistent failure to control repetitive sexual impulses or behaviours that cause significant impairment. They deserve careful assessment and evidence-informed treatment. At the same time, a clinical label does not excuse deception, infidelity, harassment, exploitation, abuse, or non-consensual conduct. Diagnosis, moral responsibility, workplace accountability, and criminal liability are separate questions.

Why the Term “Sex Addiction” Is Controversial

The addiction model proposes that certain sexual behaviours function similarly to substance-use or gambling disorders. Supporters point to preoccupation, repeated unsuccessful attempts to stop, continuation despite harm, and use of sexual activity to regulate emotion. Critics argue that frequent sexual behaviour is not necessarily an addiction, that evidence for tolerance and withdrawal is inconsistent, and that moral or religious conflict can be mistaken for mental disorder.

The American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors and Therapists states that people can experience serious consequences related to sexual urges, thoughts, or behaviours, but it does not find sufficient evidence to classify sex addiction or pornography addiction as a mental-health disorder. It recommends approaches that do not unnecessarily pathologise consensual sexual expression (American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors and Therapists [AASECT], 2016).

The controversy is therefore not about whether people can lose control of sexual behaviour. The major questions are how such problems should be classified, which diagnostic criteria avoid overdiagnosis, how moral distress should be distinguished from clinical impairment, and which treatments are supported by evidence.

ICD-11 Compulsive Sexual Behaviour Disorder

ICD-11 describes CSBD as a persistent pattern of failure to control intense, repetitive sexual impulses or urges that results in repetitive sexual behaviour over an extended period, ordinarily six months or more. The pattern produces marked distress or significant impairment in personal, family, social, educational, occupational, or other important areas.

Possible features include:

- sexual activity becoming a central focus while health, responsibilities, interests, or self-care are neglected;
- repeated unsuccessful efforts to reduce or control the behaviour;
- continuing despite adverse consequences; and
- continuing even when little or no satisfaction is obtained.

A high level of sexual interest is not enough. Frequent masturbation, pornography use, multiple partners, consensual non-monogamy, or unconventional sexual interests do not constitute CSBD merely because another person disapproves. Distress based entirely on moral judgments or social condemnation is insufficient for diagnosis. The clinician must establish impaired control and meaningful functional harm (WHO, 2026; Briken et al., 2024).

DSM-5-TR and Diagnostic Status

DSM-5-TR includes substance-related and addictive disorders, impulse-control disorders, sexual dysfunctions, and paraphilic disorders, but it does not include sex addiction or hypersexual disorder as an official diagnosis. A proposed hypersexual-disorder category was considered during DSM-5 development but was not adopted.

Absence from DSM-5-TR does not prove that every report of uncontrolled sexual behaviour is fabricated. It means that clinicians using the DSM should not present “sex addiction” as an established DSM diagnosis. They may instead document symptoms, impairment, relevant contextual factors, and other applicable diagnoses. In countries or systems using ICD-11, CSBD may be diagnosed when its criteria are fully met (APA, 2022).

High Sexual Desire Is Not a Disorder

Sexual desire varies greatly among individuals and across life stages. Some people have frequent fantasies or sexual activity without loss of control or impairment. Others may experience relationship conflict because partners have different desires or agreements. Neither situation automatically indicates a mental disorder.

Assessment should ask whether the behaviour is voluntary, consensual, and compatible with the person's values and responsibilities. The clinician should examine unsuccessful efforts to control behaviour, consequences, functional impairment, duration, and whether distress remains after separating moral shame from actual loss of control. Briken et al. (2024) warn that careless diagnosis may pathologise normal, non-heteronormative, or culturally disapproved sexual behaviour.

Moral Incongruence and Shame

A person may believe that masturbation, pornography, premarital sex, same-sex behaviour, or particular consensual fantasies are morally unacceptable. This conflict can cause intense shame and a self-description of addiction even when objective loss of control is limited.

Moral incongruence should be taken seriously because distress is real, but treatment should not automatically reinforce the belief that sexual desire itself is pathological. Clinicians should explore personal values, culture, religion, relationship agreements, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, and sexual education. Diagnosis should be based on impaired control and functional consequences rather than the therapist's or patient's moral disapproval alone (AASECT, 2016).

Consent, Harm, and Accountability

CSBD concerns control over repetitive behaviour; it does not remove the requirement for consent. Non-consensual touching, coercion, sexual harassment, exploitation, possession or distribution of illegal material, and sexual violence are not converted into symptoms that excuse accountability by calling them an addiction.

A person can have a mental disorder and still be responsible for respecting boundaries and laws. Employers, courts, professional regulators, and affected partners should evaluate the conduct, harm, knowledge, intent, power imbalance, and applicable rules. Clinical treatment may reduce future risk, but it is not a substitute for consequences, safeguarding, victim support, or legal action.

Similarly, infidelity may breach a relationship agreement even when the person reports compulsive behaviour. A diagnosis may help explain vulnerability or impaired control, but explanation is not exoneration. Repair requires honesty, respect for the harmed partner's choices, sexual-health testing where appropriate, and sustained behavioural change.

Potential Consequences of Uncontrolled Sexual Behaviour

When sexual behaviour becomes genuinely compulsive, consequences may include:

- relationship breakdown and loss of trust;
- neglect of work, education, sleep, health, or finances;
- repeated use of sexual behaviour despite regret or little satisfaction;
- sexually transmitted infections or unintended pregnancy;
- exposure to exploitation, fraud, privacy breaches, or blackmail;
- legal or employment consequences;
- depression, anxiety, shame, and social withdrawal; and
- increased risk when combined with substance use, mania, or other conditions.

The severity of consequences does not itself establish CSBD. A single serious act may require accountability without showing a persistent impulse-control disorder, while a long pattern of impairment may meet clinical criteria even when no crime has occurred.

Internet Pornography and Digital Sexual Behaviour

Digital technology provides private, immediate, and highly varied sexual content. Some people use pornography without impairment, while others report escalating time, secrecy, relationship conflict, or inability to reduce use. The availability of content can facilitate repetitive patterns, but internet access alone does not cause a disorder.

Assessment should examine the role of novelty, loneliness, anxiety, depression, avoidance, relationship dissatisfaction, boredom, sleep deprivation, and easy access. It should also consider privacy and financial risks. The label “porn addiction” should not be applied solely because use is frequent or morally disapproved; clinicians should evaluate the broader pattern of control and impairment (AASECT, 2016; Briken et al., 2024).

Differential Diagnosis

A thorough assessment is essential because apparently compulsive sexual behaviour may occur in several different contexts:

- Bipolar disorder or medication-induced mania: increased sexual activity may occur during elevated or irritable mood.
- Substance use: intoxication may increase disinhibition and risky behaviour.
- Obsessive-compulsive disorder: unwanted sexual obsessions can be confused with desire or intention.
- Trauma-related symptoms: sexual behaviour may function as avoidance, self-soothing, reenactment, or dissociation.
- Depression and anxiety: sexual behaviour may be used temporarily to regulate distress.
- Paraphilic disorders: these require separate assessment and should not be merged with CSBD.
- Neurological illness or medication effects: some conditions and dopamine-related medicines can

alter impulse control.
- Relationship conflict or moral incongruence: distress may arise without clinical loss of control.

Clinicians should also assess suicide risk, violence, safeguarding, sexually transmitted infections, financial harm, and co-occurring psychiatric conditions. An affirming assessment should avoid treating sexual orientation, gender identity, consensual kink, or consensual non-monogamy as symptoms (Briken et al., 2024).

Prevalence and the Problem of Inflated Estimates

Older claims that tens of millions of Americans have sex addiction were often derived from broad definitions, convenience samples, or treatment-industry estimates. Prevalence cannot be measured reliably when studies use different labels and criteria.

CSBD appears to affect a minority of adults, but estimates vary according to the population, instrument, threshold, and whether moral distress is separated from impairment. Men are more frequently represented in treatment samples, but women and gender-diverse people can also experience the disorder. Stigma and diagnostic bias may affect who seeks help and how symptoms are recognised.

Treatment Principles

Treatment should help the person gain control, reduce harm, improve functioning, and develop a satisfying and consensual sexual life. The goal is not necessarily permanent abstinence from all sexual activity. Plans should be individualised and based on the person's behaviours, risks, values, relationships, and co-occurring conditions.

Possible components include:

- psychoeducation about sexuality, consent, and impulse control;
- cognitive-behavioural strategies;
- identification of triggers and high-risk situations;
- emotion-regulation and stress-management skills;
- mindfulness or acceptance-based approaches;
- treatment for depression, anxiety, trauma, bipolar disorder, OCD, or substance use;
- couples or relationship therapy when safe and mutually desired;
- digital boundaries and financial safeguards;
- sexual-health assessment and testing; and
- peer support when it is non-coercive and evidence-informed.

The therapeutic relationship should be non-shaming while maintaining clear accountability. Treatment

must not pressure a harmed partner to remain in a relationship or forgive misconduct.

Medication

No medication is specifically approved as a universal treatment for CSBD. Clinicians sometimes use selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, naltrexone, or other medicines off label, particularly when co-occurring depression, anxiety, obsessive symptoms, or impulse-control problems are present. Evidence remains limited and heterogeneous.

A 2024 systematic review found no “magic pill” and concluded that pharmacological evidence was insufficient for strong general recommendations. Medication should follow careful psychiatric and medical assessment, informed consent, monitoring of adverse effects, and attention to the condition being targeted (Borgogna et al., 2024).

Residential Treatment and Support Groups

Some people may need intensive treatment because of severe impairment, acute psychiatric risk, unsafe behaviour, or failure of outpatient care. However, expensive residential programmes should not be assumed to be superior. Consumers should examine staff qualifications, diagnostic methods, treatment evidence, safeguarding, outcome reporting, and whether the programme uses shame-based or coercive practices.

Peer-support groups can reduce isolation and provide structure, but they vary widely. A group’s addiction language may help some participants and feel inaccurate or stigmatising to others. Support should not replace professional assessment when there are psychiatric, legal, medical, or safeguarding concerns.

When Someone Uses “Sex Addiction” as an Excuse

The timing and purpose of a claim matter. A person who first invokes sex addiction after exposure of harassment, infidelity, or abuse may be seeking help, managing reputation, avoiding consequences, or some combination. Outsiders cannot diagnose from a public statement.

A credible response involves more than entering rehabilitation. It includes:

- stopping harmful conduct;
- accepting independent investigation and appropriate consequences;
- avoiding retaliation or pressure against affected people;
- obtaining qualified assessment rather than self-diagnosis;
- following a long-term treatment and risk-management plan; and

- demonstrating sustained respect for consent and boundaries.

Clinical language should never be used to minimise the experience of victims or transform misconduct into an illness narrative centred only on the person who caused harm.

Conclusion

The most accurate answer is more nuanced than declaring sex addiction entirely real or entirely an excuse. The DSM-5-TR does not recognise sex addiction as a distinct disorder. ICD-11 recognises compulsive sexual behaviour disorder as an impulse-control disorder when strict criteria of persistent failed control and significant impairment are met. Professional organisations continue to debate addiction terminology and warn against pathologising consensual sexuality (APA, 2022; WHO, 2026; AASECT, 2016).

People with genuine compulsive sexual behaviour deserve respectful assessment and treatment. High libido, unconventional consensual behaviour, infidelity, moral guilt, or public scandal alone do not establish a diagnosis. Most importantly, no diagnosis cancels consent, responsibility, workplace standards, relationship agreements, or law. Treatment and accountability can—and often should—occur together.

References

American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors and Therapists. (2016). *AASECT position on sex addiction*. https://www.aasect.org/position-sex-addiction

American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.). https://psychiatryonline.org/doi/book/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787

Borgogna, N. C., Owen, T., Johnson, D., & Kraus, S. W. (2024). No magic pill: A systematic review of the pharmacological treatments for compulsive sexual behavior disorder. *The Journal of Sex Research, 61*(9), 1328–1341. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38047874/

Briken, P., Bőthe, B., Carvalho, J., Coleman, E., Giraldi, A., Kraus, S. W., Lew-Starowicz, M., & Pfaus, J. G. (2024). Assessment and treatment of compulsive sexual behavior disorder: A sexual medicine perspective. *Sexual Medicine Reviews, 12*(3), 355–370. https://academic.oup.com/smr/article/12/3/355/7634799

World Health Organization. (2026). *ICD-11 for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics*: Compulsive sexual behaviour disorder (6C72). https://icd.who.int/browse/2026-01/mms/en