

Records Management Compliance In A Defense Agency

Posted on January 10, 2020

Purpose and Importance of Records Management

The following paper highlights an extensive literature review regarding the importance of records management, especially for a defense company. The records themselves and the procedures in which they are made lie at the center of authentic hypothesis and of chronicles and records administration. A specific normal for records is that their esteem is, to a great extent, potential: it is unrealistic to completely foresee the future estimation of records (Nilsson, 1983). Records are made for specific reasons, kept for different reasons, and utilized for different reasons. Definitive clients and their purposes behind utilization are frequently obscure (Cornwell et al. 2001; Walker et al. 2014).

Organizational and Societal Value of Records

That records have an incentive for people, associations and society also are obviously expressed, and possibly a specific accentuation is hung on the estimation of current records. The citation appears, in any case, a specific similarity to Schellenberg's above and appears not to go amiss recognizably from the customary life cycle display he supported. Perhaps at the same time enlivened by Schellenberg, Smedberg (1995), and Gross (2002) talked about the estimation of records regarding examination. He expressed that it is important to break down how records are utilized for various purposes to have the capacity to set up their esteem. Like Schellenberg, he gave a two-phase display, here and now and long haul esteem (regardless of the possibility that the two cover and here and now could be fairly long).

Here and now, esteem is principally the incentive for the records-making organizations themselves, which Smedberg guaranteed is a question outside authentic thought (Coronel et al. 2016). Other than the necessities of the organizations, here-and-now esteem is additionally about other offices' needs of data, the run of law, and residents' control of open undertakings (Hare 1997). To the facetious question of why records were saved over the long haul, the appropriate response was future research. Smedberg did, however, expound the announcement that the idea of research has an expansive definition in a chronicled setting (Langemo 1995).

Records Management in Defense Organizations

Jones (1993) explains that with the move in the national guard procedure brought on before the finish of the icy war in Europe, the Air Force is confronting significant decreases in HR. Diminishments in elected spending are additionally the request of the day, and all segments of government, including safeguard, must decrease spending. In this condition, the Air Force is continually looking for approaches to enhance profitability (Louise Lemieux et al. 2014; Lytle 2014). Enhanced profitability can prompt work to be accomplished all the more effectively, permitting many Air Force missions to be proficient with fewer individuals and at a lower cost. PCs are one of the devices that regularly took a gander at to accomplish this objective. In the previous decade, spending on PCs in the Department of Defense (DoD) has been on the ascent. Many feel that PCs are critical office robotization instruments that, if utilized accurately, can enhance an association's efficiency (Lim et al. 2014). Given the need to fulfill its central goal with fewer human and monetary assets, and given the potential for PCs to enhance an association's profitability, new and imaginative utilization of PCs ought to be investigated to conquer the impacts of today's changing condition reporting in real-time Force (Kennedy 1994).

Electronic Records and Technological Change

Sutcliffe (2003) explains that today, many reports kept as records are made electronically or can be conveyed to an association electronically. Nonetheless, a lot of this electronically made or conveyed documentation must be changed over to paper documentation for taking care of in the present manual records administration condition. Recording, recovering, and discarding records are all cases of manual undertakings requiring the change of electronic archives to paper reports. In the manual records administration condition, archives are document-coded, recorded, and kept up all by hand. Healy (2010) explains that manual records administration obliges the workforce to decide the record code and maintenance control for the archive, hand compose the code on the report, and then place the report in the document organizer related to the document code. Under this framework, directors commonly don't know where reports are documented and should depend on managerial faculty to find records (Abdelhak et al. 2014). As archives collect after some time, recovery can be troublesome notwithstanding for authoritative faculty and can happen. Occasionally, the staff is required to cleanse these documents and discard records as per the records' maintenance prerequisites. Contingent upon the quantity of records kept up by an association, this can be an extremely tedious undertaking.

Patterns of Records Use

Every one of the works referred to above examines the inspirations for utilizing, i.e., the real requirement for records, yet few works manage to use themselves. Pugh (1992) endeavored to peg

down genuine utilization, recognizing immediate and backhanded utilization. Coordinate utilization implies that somebody removes a portion of a record or gets data from it, including perusing an archive, accepting a duplicate, accepting data via mail, phone or face-to-face, or crediting a report (Seckman 2014). Aberrant utilize is the recipient of others' immediate use, for example by considering books or different distributions given records. This infers people can utilize records, without really managing them (Sittig et al. 2014).

Information Management Policy and Strategy

The review went for exploring the new open data management theory, and the business technique took after. It rose out of the review that reasonable articulating arrangements and systems to bolster data management projects are important to send the computerized interface that will give clients top-notch administrations (Nguyen et al. 2014). Two essential issues arose out of this review, to be specific: the requirement for approaches and methodologies to control data management programs and the selection of innovation as a steady part of data management programs (Yakel et al. 2014).

Evolution of Information Management Practices

The result of the review repeats the requirement for approaches and methodologies in data management to guarantee compelling execution. Tungare (2007) concentrated on the development of data management rehearses from clients' points of view. The survey set up that the significant worries of data management sharpen and incorporate data over-burden and data fracture. Data over-burden is characterized as a circumstance where data preparation and requests on people/associations surpass the limit and competency and the time required for such handling (Wallace 2015). Data discontinuity, as indicated by the audit, is a circumstance where information is in various configurations, put away and conveyed over numerous gadgets and areas utilizing distinctive applications for control. It was set up from the survey that despite the fact that the innovation has facilitated the preparing of recording, making, accepting, putting away and scattering, overseeing and utilizing them sensibly is troublesome (Cordray et al. 2014). The measure of data created by people/associations can just increment, yet hierarchical assets have stayed steady. The circumstance represents an extremely troublesome future for data specialists whose occupation obliges them to remain educated (Read 2015).

Definitions and Characteristics of Records

Remembering the true objective of describing records organization, the thought "record" ought to be totally explored. A record is described either to the extent of the unmistakable physical course of action in which it shows up or to the extent of the information it contains. It must be seen that records shift in setup or assessment and have particular substance, as shown by Yusof and Chell (1998); any

significance of records is a serious one. The definition changes with the movement of time and as the calling gets incorporated into all the more puzzling issues.

Cornwell Management Consultants (2001) portrays a record as a report made or got by a man or relationship over the traverse of business and held by that individual or affiliation. Langemo (1995) furthermore describes a record as the memory of the affiliation, the unrefined material for essential initiative and the purpose behind genuine perfection. A record is seen by Penn, Pennix, and Couson (1994) as any information in the reproducible casing that is required for coordinating business. Roberts (1998) states that records are information made, accumulated or got in the beginning, lead or satisfaction of a foundation or individual development. In the perspective of the above definitions, the thought record can be portrayed as the last aftereffect of the business activity through which the execution of agents can be measured and, like this, actualize obligation. It is made or gotten by laborers as affirmation over the traverse of their common operation in an affiliation.

The Records Life Cycle

All records experience a lifecycle. On a very basic level, the record is made, successfully used for a period, and a while later set away if there ought to be an event of the request or diverse referrals before it is exorbitantly old, making it difficult to be of any further use. By then, it should either be squashed or traded to a report as a component of the interminable record of the affiliation. As often as possible, the driver for the winnowing method is the nonappearance of space rather than any adherence to a solid system. Workforce records organization is basic in all affiliations. Every now and again, a specialist has left the relationship, with a particular true objective to secure advancing rights and interests of the delegate and the affiliation. Langemo (1994) describes records organization as the master organization of information in the physical casing of the time records are gotten or made through their taking care of, flow and position in a limit and recuperation structure until either unavoidable end or recognizing evidence for enduring support in the documents. Kennedy et al. (1994) describe records organization as a component of giving control of records from creation or receipt through their taking care of, scattering, affiliation, stockpiling and recuperation to their complete exchange (ABEL 2003).

Professional Definition of Records Management

The all-inclusive standard affiliation, on the other hand, observes records organization as a field of organization accountable for the profitable and deliberate control of the creation receipt, upkeep use, and demeanor of records organization focusing on systems and structures for the creation, stockpiling recuperation and exchange of records (Gilliland-Swetland et al. 2015). As shown by Sanderson and Ward (2003), the criticalness of records organization is continuously being seen in affiliations. It is like this the commitment of records executives to ensure that they get the thought of boss in their affiliations. Grabbing affirmation is about inducing an organization on the piece of Records

organization as an enabling unit in an affiliation (Beastall 1998; De Wet et al. 2000). Laborers require information with a particular ultimate objective to do their official commitments and obligations profitably and sufficiently clearly. Records address noteworthy wellsprings of information and are the principal strong and legally evident wellspring of data that can fill in as the affirmation of decisions, exercises and trades in an affiliation (Wamukoya, 2000). According to Northwest Territories (2002), the piece of records organization is to ensure that people from staff required in different operations have the information they require when essential (Conway et al. 2015).

Records as Evidence and Research Resources

Among their other reason, records, in like manner, go about as rough materials for research in various requests, including science exploration, which is a basic component of money-related change. Also, records can be used as an information resource for key organizing purposes. What the organization gave by records organization is henceforth of vital importance to both laborers (end customers) and definitive accomplishment. As laid out some time ago, the basic limit of records organization is to energize the free stream of records through the entire affiliation. Specifically, it remains the limit of records organization to ensure that an affiliation's records are okay for future reference (De Wet and Du Toit, 2000). As shown by Palmer (2000), the piece of records organization system is that it goes about as a control structure that reinforces other control systems, for instance, inside and outside analysis.

Fraud Detection and Documentary Trails

The record condition licenses opportunities to submit coercion, once deception, once distortion is perceived; records can give a trail to masters to track the base of pollution. In any case, for records to be significant at this farthest point, they ought to be open. As demonstrated by Hare and McLeod (1979), affiliation kept records for information recuperation, evidence of affiliation's activities and consistency with controls. In support of Hare, McLeod, and Cowling (2003), they furthermore perceived four major purposes behind impelling relationships to secure records perpetually, as discussed below. Ahead of the pack of their business development, affiliations and individuals, as often as possible, need guidance records of their past activities and decisions, for example, to give establishment information, set up the nearness of a perspective or substantiate or refute a claim or attestation.

Administrative Memory and Resource Accountability

Records for this circumstance are of most outrageous essentialness for an affiliation's administrative limit. An affiliation needs a whole deal story affirmation of the course in which resources were gotten,

allocated, controlled and depleted (spending arrangement). This consolidates spending records, which give affirmation of how pay and utilization were orchestrated, and diverse accounting records detailing budgetary trades. According to Sanderson and Ward (2003), the essentialness of records organization is continuously being seen in affiliations. It is like this the commitment of records administrators to ensure that they get the thought of boss in their affiliations. Grabbing affirmation is about inducing organization on the piece of Records organization as an enabling unit in an affiliation.

Operational Information for Employees

Delegates require information with a particular true objective to finish their official commitments and obligations gainfully and satisfactorily. Records address huge wellsprings of information and are the primary trustworthy and truly undeniable wellspring of data that can fill in as the affirmation of decisions, exercises and trades in an affiliation (Wamukoya, 2000). According to Northwest Territories (2002), the piece of records organization is to ensure that people from staff required in different operations have the information they require when fundamental.

Shepherd (2010) highlights that among their other reason, records, in like manner, go about as unrefined materials for research in various requests, including science look at, which is a basic component of monetary change. Also, records can be used as an information resource for key organizing purposes. What the organization gave by records organization is in like manner of crucial criticalness to both laborers (end customers) and legitimate accomplishment. As laid out some time ago, the fundamental limit of records organization is to energize the free stream of records through the entire affiliation. Specifically, it remains the limit of records organization to ensure that an affiliation's records are okay for future reference (De Wet and Du Toit, 2000).

As showed by Palmer (2000), the piece of records organization structure is that it goes about as a control system that fortifies other control systems, for instance, internal and external inspecting. The record condition grants opportunities to give blackmail, once distortion, once deception is perceived; records can give a trail to specialists to track the establishment of pollution. In any case, for records to be important in this point of confinement, they ought to be open. According to Hare and McLeod (1979), affiliation kept records for information recuperation, affirmation of affiliation's activities and consistency with headings. In support of Hare, McLeod, and Cowling (2003), they furthermore perceived four central clarifications behind impelling relationships to spare records forever, as analyzed below.

Records in Organizational Decision-Making

In the direction of their business activity, affiliations and individuals every now and again need to advise records of their past activities and decisions, for example, to give establishment information, set up the nearness of a perspective or to substantiate or negate a claim or charge. Records for this

circumstance are of the most outrageous centrality for an affiliation's definitive limit. An affiliation needs a whole deal account affirmation of the course in which resources were gained, assigned, controlled and utilized (spending arrangement). This consolidates spending records, which give evidence of how compensation and utilization were masterminded, and diverse accounting records revealing budgetary trades.

Legal Rights Duties and Institutional Evidence

True blue records give confirmation of legitimately restricting duties, commitments and advantages settled upon by governments' affiliations or individuals. They give the record of matters, for instance, property titles, unselfish status and other honest-to-goodness and social freedoms. They may be ensured as confirmation of the selections of governments, courts and diverse bodies or as the wellspring of the master for the move made by affiliations or individuals. Documents and records organization workplaces are all things considered as the fundamental wellspring of materials for information and research into the authentic setting of society. They outline an exceptional and urgent record for experts, for instance, understudies of history, specialists, geographers, sociologists, examiners et cetera.

Organizational Memory and Accountability

To pack, it may be reasonable to show that records exist, remembering the true objective to help the relationship recall their past activities. Hounscome (2001) saw that while records organization may seem, by all accounts, to be debilitating to various, it is hard to under-gage the imagined by records organization in affiliations. The records are the corporate memory of the affiliation, affirmation of what was done and why it was done. They offer information for different progressive purposes, for instance, fundamental authority, cash-related duty, execution estimation, key orchestrating and research. Records ought to be seen as a basic and reusable asset, a wellspring of the substance of substance, setting and data (Sutcliffe, 2003). Affiliations are at present required in data organization. Records organization should be seen as an enabling/reinforcing work towards data organization. The dispute should be that without records, an affiliation would lose a wealth of data made by its delegates in the midst of consistent operation.

Palmer (2000) considered the obligation to be a particularly urgent organization segment and implies considering experts of relationships responsible for their exercises. Without records, there can be no obligation and no representation of law. A respectable records organization structure is crucial for supporting cash-related organization, duty, and straightforwardness (Palmer, 2000).

Records as Proof of Compliance

As a trustworthy gadget of confirmation, records ensure that the affiliation has met its described cash-related, legal, social or great responsibilities. In all obligation get-togethers, records are directed as confirmation of development by a senior boss, inspectors or anyone asking for a decision, a strategy or the execution of an affiliation or individual. Affiliations should, like this, keep up exactness and steadfast quality in their record organization structures. Failure to supervise records properly has been a contributory figure to contamination for the most part affiliations. People from the all-inclusive community moreover rely on records to decry, address and consider experts of the affiliation dependable. As shown by the University of the State of New York (1994), exact, tried and true and dependable records are the establishments of effective undertakings for checking on and duty. Palmer (2000) exhibits that substantial tried, and true records give an association between an endorsement doled out to a particular individual and the date of the development. They can fill in as the affirmation to recognize mistreatment, manhandling and resistance with fiscal bearing and diverse laws and controls. Along these lines, records organization gives security, tried and true impediment against contamination and deception.

Risks of Weak Records Controls

Johnson (2010) explains that without strong records organization control structures, reports can, without a doubt, be disturbed, secured, lost, stolen, pounded or, by and large, modified. The setback or inaccessibility of records results in extended open entryways for corruption and blackmail to thrive (Palmer, 2000). As showed by Wamukoya (2000), it remains the commitment of records bosses to make distinctive specialists in their affiliations aware of the criticalness of information with everything taken into account and records, particularly in supporting the essential initiative, duty, and awesome organization. According to Cowling (2003), affirmation of past movements is the start of a wide range of obligations; it is gotten as records. For records to hold their motivator as verification, they ought to be shielded and supervised.

Managing Records Across the Full Life Cycle

The organization needs to cover the whole life cycle of the records made, paying little heed to a course of action (Smedberg 2014). This space assumes that records are shielded and made available to people from society for kids and certain exploration (Hallam 2013). Since records report legitimate history after some time, they give the commence to making progressive history for the upside of new people. Beneficial records are, in this way, kept forever for future reference (Ketelar 2014). Records, if particularly supervised, have the capacity to give the most imperative resource (information) by which agents could present themselves as genuine, pleasant and mindful (Menne-Haritz et al. 2011).

Operational and Financial Benefits

Records are basic to the beneficial and financial operation of affiliations. They fill in as legitimate memories, the evidence of past events and explanations of future movements. At whatever point made, kept up and disposed of in an exact and effective outline, records are Goliath assets for an affiliation (State of Montana, Montana Historical Society, 2002). Records are critical. Keeping complete records from the most punctual beginning stage can save time and money. Records are, in like manner, seen as a basic gadget to ensure that the responsibilities of affiliation are met (Sexton 2014). Also, they are also of critical worth for reference and organization decisions. The precision of records will, in like manner, deflect over-the-top stores by ensuring that withdrawal time has been met (Poultry Industry Council, 2004).

Characteristics of an Effective Records System

A conventional records organization structure should be brief, legitimate and easy to invigorate. As demonstrated by the Academy of Business Excellence Limited (2003), ideal conditions of a good records organization are according to the accompanying. Records show whether arrangements are up or down, which clients are spending and which are not and whether any movements are required. Without agreeable documentation, making trustworthy business gauges or recalling where an affiliation has been productive in the past is amazingly more troublesome. All affiliations rely on their cash-related clarifications to settle for future action (Katu et al. 2015). Budgetary clarifications are basic when overseeing banks and credit supervisors and, moreover, contemplate quick and precise access to information on assets, liabilities, and esteem-related affiliation. Without a palatable records organization system, affiliations would not have the ability to claim deductible utilization. Exactly when the cost falls due, it could be a hardship, which could be particularly blocking affiliations. Records are in like manner of huge centrality to any affiliation's evaluation shapes (Fawcett; Cox; Ngoepe; Luyombya; Bertot et al. 2014).

They need to reflect the pay, utilization and credits that affiliations note on their appraisal shapes. Also, keeping extraordinary records will ensure that affiliations have correct figures available for specialist examination at all conditions. This would moreover help in the midst of assessing and cash-related itemizing. In endeavoring to underscore the above positive conditions, the State of Montana, Montana Historical Society (2002) demonstrated that the benefits of an effective records organization structure are extra space, money and time. Affiliations get money and property from a grouping of sources constantly. By using definite records, they can perceive where their diverse receipts begin and disengage non-business receipts from assessable pay (Katu et al. 2016).

Information Governance and Institutional Efficiency

Waterson (2012) shows that all affiliations need to manage the information they make and gather over the traverse of their activities. Without incredible information organization, no foundation can work capably since legitimate, budgetary and definitive trades must be recorded, and the reference and research necessities of the establishment ought to be served. Poor information organization suggests that affiliation may lose part or most of their corporate memory; records announcing the headway of limits and data on research may be smashed or lost (Zealand et al. 2014). The surviving material may be without demand and control, along these lines losing its impetus as confirmation and realizing over-dependence on individual memory. Such nonappearance of control results in loss of obligation regarding an affiliation's exercises. Pollution and bumble, in association with issues of time and cost, are most likely going to happen (Hutchison et al. 2014). As showed by Gross (2002), it does not simply cost businesses more money, for instance, that spent on purchasing additional document coordinators, reports envelopes, and additional off-site stockpiling, yet business in like manner loses profitability and staff time when records can't be promptly found and recuperated as they are required (Mensah et al. 2014).

Causes of Poor Records Management

As indicated by the State of Montana, Montana Historical Society (2002) and Hounscome (2001), there are many reasons for the issue of poor administration records. Ngoep et al. (2014; 2016) tries to answer many important questions regarding the absence of records administration strategies and systems. Is it accurate to say that they are sufficient? Is it safe to say that they are thoughtfully reliable over the association? The absence of qualified staff, for example, a records director and documenter. Will the experience and capabilities of the current staff be reasonable for dealing with a records administration program? Records administration costs that are not instantly evident (Mutsagondo 2014).

Resource Constraints and Implementation Challenges

Cost may just end up plainly critical over a timeframe and, in this manner, not draw in the administration's consideration. Constrained assets to execute a framework as indicated by prerequisites (enactment). Is the quantity of staff and other assets satisfactory? Are the records maintenance and transfer plans for a place? Has this been endorsed by lawful administrations? In an expression of progress, data has turned into the most overwhelming asset in the achievement of associations, and in the meantime, the association needs to meet expanding administrative and

lawful prerequisites. The administration of recorded data, independent of frame or configuration, is more crucial to associations than at any other time in recent memory (Sanderson and Ward, 2003; Larin, 2014). In its reaction to change, records administration has moved alongside a few calculated systems, from chronicles to data administration. Presently, the train needs to obtain another structure from data and correspondence innovation (Yusof and Chell, 1999). Connecting records administration with evasion of disappointment is a key to achievement (Sanderson and Ward, 2003).

References

1. Academy of Business Excellence Limited (2003). For the record: the importance of record keeping to your organization. Retrieved April 15, 2014 from [www. Businessacademy.co.NZ](http://www.Businessacademy.co.NZ)
2. Beastall, G. (1998). Records management meets knowledge gathering. *Records Management Journal*, 8(2), 89-94.
3. Cornwell Management Consultants. (2001). The model requirement for the management of electronic records (MoReq). Retrieved April 15, 2014, from www.cornwell.co.uk
4. Cowling, C. (2003). *Records management manual*. London: University of London.
5. De Wet, S and Du Toit, A. (2000). The challenges of implementing a record management system at the national electricity regulator in South Africa. *Records Management Journal*, 10(2), 73-86.
6. Gross, H. (2002). Use electronic document management to enhance business efficiency. *The Business Review*. Retrieved April 15, 2014, from www.buzjournals.com
7. Hare, C. & McLeod, L. (1997). *Developing a records management program*. London: Aslib.
8. Hounsome, C. (2001). The records management challenges of amalgamation. *Municipal Monitor*, June/July: 1-7.
9. Goggin, J., *The Indirect Approach: A Study of Scholarly Users of Black and Women's Organizational Records in the Library of Congress Manuscript Division*. In *Midwestern Archivist*, 11(1), 2014, pp. 57-67.
10. Hallam Smith, E., *Customer Focus and Marketing in Archive Service Delivery: theory and practice*. In *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 24(1), 2013, pp. 35-53.
11. Hedstrom, M., *Archives, Memory, and Interfaces with the Past*. In *Archival Science* 2(1), 2012, pp. 21-43. ISO 15489-1, *Information and documentation – Records management: International Standardisation Organization*, 2004
12. Ketelaar, E., *Tacit Narratives. The Meanings of Archives*. In *Archival Science* 1(2), 2015, pp. 131-141.
13. Lindroth, J., *Arkiven och den akademiska forskningen*. In A.C. Ulfspärre (Ed.), *Arkivvetenskap*. Lund: Studentlitteratur, 2015, pp. 196-213. ISBN 91-44-60731-8.
14. Lytle, R. H., *Intellectual access to Archives: I*. In *Provenance and Content Indexing Methods of Subject Retrieval*. *The American Archivist*, 43(1), 2014a, pp. 64-76.
15. Lytle, R. H., *Intellectual access to Archives: II. Report of an Experiment Comparing Provenance and Content Indexing Methods of Subject Retrieval*. In *The American Archivist*, 43(2), 2014b, pp. 191-208.

16. Maher, W.J., The Use of User Studies. *The Midwestern Archivist* XI(1), 2013, pp. 15-26.
17. McKemmish, S., Introducing Archives and Archival Programs. In J. Ellis (Ed.), *Keeping Archives* (2 ed.). Port Melbourne, Victoria: Thorpe; The Australian Society of Archivists, 2014, pp. 1-24. ISBN 1-875589-15-5.
18. Menne-Haritz, A., Access – the Reformulation of an Archival Paradigm. In *Archival Science* 1(1), 2014, pp. 57-82. Miller, F., Use, Appraisal, and Research: A Case Study of Social History. In *The American Archivist*, 49(4), 1986, 371-392.
19. Jones, P.A. (2003). *Effective Records Management, Part 3: Performance Measurement for BS ISO 15489-1*, British Standard Institution, London. The University of London.
20. Kennedy, J. & Schuder, C. (1994). *Record management: a guide for Students and Practitioners of records and information management*. Melbourne: Longman Cheshire.
21. Langemo, M. (1995). Successful strategies for establishing or strengthening a records management program. In: *Proceeding your highway in information management: ARMA*.
22. International Annual 40th Year Conference, October, 22-25, Nashville. Prairie Village, K.S.: ARMA.
23. Mrwebi, S. (2000). *Record management in a management consulting firm*. Masters in Information Science. Johannesburg: Rand Afrikaans University.
24. Northwest Territories. (2002). What is Records Management? *Records Management Bulletin*, (3), 1-2.
25. Palmer, M. (2000). Records management and accountability versus corruption, fraud and maladministration. *Records Management Journal*, 10(2), 61-72.
26. Pember, A. (1998). The rise of the 'new age' records management professional: records management education and training in Australia. *Records Management Journal*, 8(3), 63-75.
27. Penn, I., Pennix, G. and Coulson, J. (1994). *Record management handbook* (2nd edition). Aldershot: Gower.
28. Poultry Industry Council. (2004). The importance of record keeping. Retrieved April 15, 2014 from [www. Poultry industry council. Ca](http://www.Poultryindustry.org.au)
29. Saffady, W. (1998). *Cost Analysis Concept and Methods for Records Management*. Project: ARMA International, USA.
30. Sanderson, M. & Ward, S. (2003). Records management mission critical. *Library and Information Update*, October, 1-7. Retrieved April 2, 2014, from www.cilip.org.uk
31. Sutcliffe, P. (2003). Building the corporate memory in the e-environment. *Records Management Journal*, 13(2), 51-53.
32. State of Montana Historical Society. (2002). *Performance audit report: State government records management*. Legislative audit division: Helena. Retrieved March 8, 2014 from leg.state.mt.us.
33. Wakumoya, J. (2000). Records and archives as a basis for good government: implications and challenges for records managers and archivists in Africa. *Records Management Journal*, 10(1), 23-33.
34. Yusof, Z. & Chell, R. (1998). The eluding definitions of records and records management: is a universally acceptable definition possible? *Records Management Journal*, 8(2), 95-112.

35. Yousof, Z. & Chell, R. (1999). The eluding definitions of records and records
36. Healy, S. (2010). ISO 15489 records management: its development and significance. *Records Management Journal*, 20(1), 96-103.
37. Johnson, J. D., & Barnes, G. (2010). Records management.
38. Shepherd, E., Stevenson, A., & Flinn, A. (2010). Information governance, records management, and freedom of information: A study of local government authorities in England. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(4), 337-345.
39. Waterson, P., Glenn, Y., & Eason, K. (2012). Preparing the ground for the 'paperless hospital': a case study of medical records management in a UK outpatient services department. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(2), 114-129.
40. Read, J., & Ginn, M. L. (2015). Records management. Cengage Learning.
41. Louise Lemieux, V., Gormly, B., & Rowledge, L. (2014). Meeting Big Data challenges with visual analytics: The role of records management. *Records Management Journal*, 24(2), 122-141.
42. Mensah, M., & Adams, M. (2014). The nexus between corporate governance and records management in private and public hospitals in Ghana. *Records Management Journal*, 24(1), 32-55.
43. Ngoepe, M., Maluleka, J., & Onyancha, O. B. (2014). Research collaboration in the archives and records management field across and beyond universities in Africa: An informetric analysis. *Mousaion*, 32(3), 119-135.
44. Lim, J. H., Kim, E. C., Bang, K. Y., Lee, Y. J., & Kim, Y. (2014). An Application Method Study on the Electronic Records Management Systems Based on Cloud Computing. *Journal of Records Management & Archives Society of Korea*, 14(3), 153-179.
45. Abdelhak, M., Grostick, S., & Hanken, M. A. (2014). *Health information: management of a strategic resource*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
46. Katuu, S., & Ngoepe, M. (2015, September). Managing digital heritage an analysis of the education and training curriculum for Africa's archives and records professionals. In *Digital Heritage, 2015* (Vol. 2, pp. 191-194). IEEE.
47. Katuu, S., & Ngoepe, M. (2015). Managing digital records in a South African Public sector institution. *E-INSTITUTIONS-OPENNESS, ACCESSIBILITY, AND PRESERVATION*, 135.
48. Letellier, R., & Eppich, R. (Eds.). (2015). *Recording, documentation and information management for the conservation of heritage places*. Routledge.
49. Cox, A. M., & Pinfield, S. (2014). Research data management and libraries: Current activities and future priorities. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 46(4), 299-316.
50. Fawcett, S. E., Ellram, L. M., & Ogden, J. A. (2014). *Supply chain management: from vision to implementation*. London: Pearson.
51. Ngoepe, M. S., & Ngulube, P. (2014). The need for records management in the auditing process in the public sector in South Africa.
52. Luyombya, D., & Bukirwa, J. (2014). Records management practices in oil marketing companies in Uganda. *Information Development*, 30(1), 70-79.
53. Bertot, J. C., Gorham, U., Jaeger, P. T., Sarin, L. C., & Choi, H. (2014). Big data, open government and e-government: Issues, policies and recommendations. *Information Polity*, 19(1, 2), 5-16.

54. Katuu, S., & Katuu, S. (2016). Managing digital records in a global environment: A review of the landscape of international standards and good practice guidelines. *The Electronic Library*, 34(5), 869-894.
55. Zealand, A. N., & Directorate, C. C. (2014). Records Management Standard for the New Zealand Public Sector. *Wellington, New Zealand: Archives New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs*.
56. Nilsson, N., Ordningsprinciper och arkivteori. In *Arkiv i förvandling. Studier i arkivens teori och tillgänglighet*. Stockholm 2013, pp. 7-65. ISBN 91-7260- 868-4.
57. Principe, L. S., Everyman and Archives. In *Archivum*, 29, 2012, pp. 135-141. Prom, C. P., The EAD Cook Book: A Survey and Usability Study. In *American Archivist*, 65(2), 2002, pp. 257-275.
58. Pugh, M. J., Providing Reference Services for Archives and Manuscripts. Chicago: The Society of American Archivists, 1992. ISBN 0-931828-82-1. Recommendation No. R (2000) 13 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on a European policy on access to archives.): Council of Europe, 2010.
59. Roper, M., The Academic Use of Archives. In *Archivum*, 29, 2012, pp. 27-45.
60. Schellenberg, T. R., *Modern Archives. Principles and Techniques*. Melbourne Cheshire, 2016. ISBN 0-931828-49-X (Reprint 1998).
61. Sexton, A., Turner, C., Yeo, G., & Hockey, S., Understanding Users: a prerequisite for developing new technologies. In *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 25(1), 2014a, pp. 33-49.
62. Sexton, A., Yeo, G., Turner, C., & Hockey, S., User Feedback: testing the LEADERS demonstrator application. In *Journal of the Society of Archivists*, 25(2), 2014b, pp. 189-208.
63. Shepherd, E., & Yeo, G., *Managing Records: A Handbook of Principles and Practice*. London: Facet Publishing, 2014. ISBN 1-85604-370-3.
64. Smedberg, S., Den akademiska forskningens efterfrågan på arkivaliskt källmaterial. *Arkiv, Samhälle och Forskning*, 22, 1980, pp. 79-88.
65. Smedberg, S., Samhällsvetarna och arkiven. In *Arkivvetenskapliga studier*, 5, 2015, pp. 341-349.
66. Smedberg, S., Bevarande och gallring. In A. C. Ulfspärre (Ed.), *Arkivvetenskap*. Lund: Studentlitteratur, 2015, pp. 176-195. ISBN 91-44-60731-8. SOU 1988:11 Öppenhet och minne. Arkivens roll i samhället.
67. Stevens, M., The Historian and Archival Finding Aids. In *Georgia Archive*, 5(Winter 77), 1977, pp. 64-74.
68. Sweeney, S. T., The Source-Seeking Cognitive Processes and Behavior of the In-Person Archival Researcher. Unpublished Diss., University of Texas, Austin, 2002
69. Hutchison, N. (2014). Briefing note re Records Management (exhibit D767). *Public Inquiry Team, Metropolitan Police*, 30.
70. Larin, M. V. (2014). Actual problems of modern records management, Vestn.
71. Mutsagondo, S., & Chaterera, F. (2016). Mirroring the National Archives of Zimbabwe Act in the context of electronic records: Lessons for ESARBICA member states. *Information Development*, 32(3), 254-259.
72. Walker, J., Darer, J. D., Elmore, J. G., & Delbanco, T. (2014). The road toward fully transparent medical records. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(1), 6-8.

73. Coronel, C., & Morris, S. (2016). *Database systems: design, implementation, & management*. Cengage Learning.
74. Rittinghouse, J. W., & Ransome, J. F. (2016). *Cloud computing: implementation, management, and security*. CRC press.
75. Sittig, D. F., Gonzalez, D., & Singh, H. (2014). Contingency planning for electronic health record-based care continuity: a survey of recommended practices. *International journal of medical informatics*, 83(11), 797-804.
76. Seckman, C. (2014). Electronic health records and applications for managing patient care. *Health informatics: An interprofessional approach*, 87-105.
77. Katuu, S. A. (2015). *Managing records in South African public health care institutions: A critical analysis* (Doctoral dissertation).
78. Nguyen, L., Bellucci, E., & Nguyen, L. T. (2014). Electronic health records implementation: an evaluation of information system impact and contingency factors. *International journal of medical informatics*, 83(11), 779-796.
79. Cordray, C., Link, D., Chart, R., & Ginter, K. (2015). U.S. Patent No. 9,077,611. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
80. Anderson, I. G., Are You Being Served? Historians and the Search for Primary Sources. In *Archivaria*, 58, 2014, pp. 81-129.
81. Beattie, D. L., An Archival User Study: Researchers in the Field of Womens' History. In *Archivaria*, 29, 2013/1990, pp. 33-55.
82. Conway, P., Facts and frameworks: an approach to studying the users of archives. In *The American Archivist* 49(4), 2015a, pp. 393-407.
83. Conway, P., Research in Presidential Libraries: A User Survey. In *Midwestern Archivist*, 11(1), 2015 b, pp. 35-56.
84. Conway, P., Partners in Research. Improving Access to the Nation's Archive. User Studies at the National Archives and Records Administration. Pittsburgh: Archives & Museum Informatics, 2015. ISBN 1-885626-09-6.
85. Cook, T., Archival Science and Postmodernism: New Formulations for Old Concepts. In *Archival Science* 1(1), 2011, pp. 3-24. Ds U 1981:21 Samhällets arkivproblem. Ds U 1984:6 Kommunala arkiv.
86. Duchein, M., Obstacles to the Access, Use and Transfer of Information from Archives: A RAMP Study. Paris: UNESCO, 2013.
87. Duff, W. M., Understanding the information-seeking behaviour of archival researchers in a digital age: paths, processes and preferences. In *Proceedings of the DLM-Forum 2002*. Luxemburg: Office for Official Publications of the European Union, 2014, pp. 331-335. ISBN 92-894-4415-0.
88. Duff, W. M., Craig, B., & Cherry, J., Finding and Using Archival Resources: A Cross-Canada Survey of Historians Studying Canadian History. In *Archivaria*, 58, 2014, pp. 51-80.
89. Duff, W. M., & Johnson, C. A., Accidentally Found on Purpose: Information- Seeking Behavior of Historians in Archives. In *Library Quarterly*, 72(4), 2012, pp. 472-496.
90. Gilliland-Swetland, A. J., An Exploration of K-12 User Needs for Digital Primary Source Materials.

In *American Archivist*, 61(1), 2015, pp. 136-157.

91. Gilliland-Swetland, A. J., Kafai, Y. B., & Landis, W. E., Integrating Primary Sources into the Elementary School Classroom: A Case Study of Teachers' Perspectives. In *Archivaria*, 48, 2015, pp. 89-116.
92. Wallace, P. E., Lee, J. A., & Schubert, D. R., *Records Management. Integrated Information Systems*. (3 ed.). Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall, 2015. ISBN 0-13-769936-0.
93. Yakel, E., Listening to Users. In *Archival Issues*, 26(2), 2014, pp. 111-127.
94. Yakel, E., & Bost, L., Understanding Administrative Use and Users in University Archives. In *American Archivist*, 57(4), 2014, pp. 42-61.
95. Yakel, E., & Torres, D. A., AI: Archival Intelligence and User Expertise. In *American Archivist*, 66(1), 2014, pp. 51-78.