Real-World Applicability of the Development of Cognitive Competence

Posted on January 2, 2026

The article “Changing Priorities in the Development of Cognitive Competence and School Learning: A General Theory” by Demetriou and colleagues offers a broad explanation of how thinking changes across development and how those changes shape learning in school. The original review correctly identifies the article’s main contribution: it attempts to connect general cognitive development with the increasingly specialized demands of education. Rather than treating intelligence as a fixed ability or school performance as the simple accumulation of facts, the authors describe development as a sequence of changing priorities involving representation, inference, executive control, and awareness. These priorities influence what learners can understand, how they organize information, and which teaching methods are likely to be effective at a given stage. The theory is ambitious because it seeks to explain learning from early childhood through adolescence across mathematics, language, science, and other domains. Its real-world value lies not in assigning every student to a rigid stage, but in reminding educators that instruction must coordinate content knowledge with the learner’s current capacity to represent, compare, reason, monitor, and revise. (Demetriou et al., 2022)

Rationale for Choosing the Article

The article is worth examining because schools often separate cognitive development from curriculum design. Teachers may receive standards describing what students should learn without equal guidance about the mental processes needed to learn it. A difficult mathematics problem, for example, may require not only prior mathematical knowledge but also working memory, relational reasoning, inhibition of an intuitive but incorrect answer, and awareness of the strategy being used. Demetriou et al. attempt to describe how such general processes develop and interact with domain-specific learning. The theory is also relevant to debates about readiness. A student’s difficulty may not indicate low ability or lack of effort; the task may require a form of abstraction or self-monitoring that has not yet become stable. Selecting this article therefore supports a more developmental interpretation of achievement while still recognizing the role of instruction and practice.

Purpose of the Research

The article’s purpose is theoretical rather than experimental. It synthesizes research to explain the relationship between cognitive-developmental cycles and school learning. The authors seek to identify the mental priorities that become dominant at different periods and to connect those priorities with educational demands. Their approach addresses a long-standing gap. Traditional developmental theories often describe broad stages but provide limited detail about classroom learning, while educational research sometimes measures achievement without explaining the developmental architecture supporting it. The article proposes that cognition changes on several fronts at once: learners build more complex representations, improve inferential processes, strengthen executive control, and become increasingly aware of their own thinking. School learning both depends on and contributes to these changes.

Core Components of Cognitive Competence

Representational Systems

Representation refers to how information is mentally encoded and organized. Young learners may rely heavily on direct perception, images, actions, and simple categories. With development and instruction, they become able to use symbols, relations, systems, and abstract principles. In mathematics, this may involve moving from counting physical objects to understanding numerical relations and algebraic variables. In science, students move from describing visible events to reasoning about mechanisms that cannot be directly observed. The theory suggests that educational tasks should connect new representations with forms the learner already understands. Instruction that introduces abstraction without meaningful links can produce memorized procedures without conceptual knowledge.

Inferential Processes

Inference allows learners to derive conclusions from evidence, rules, analogies, or relationships. Development does not simply add more facts; it improves the ability to coordinate information and recognize structure. Early reasoning may focus on one feature at a time, while later reasoning can compare several variables or imagine hypothetical conditions. In reading, inference connects separate statements and background knowledge. In mathematics, it supports generalization from examples. In social studies, it allows students to evaluate causes rather than list events. Teachers can support inferential development by asking learners to explain why an answer follows, compare alternatives, identify evidence, and predict what would happen if a condition changed.

Executive Control

Executive processes include maintaining goals, directing attention, inhibiting irrelevant responses, switching strategies, and monitoring progress. These abilities strongly affect school performance because classroom tasks require sustained action over time. A student may understand a concept but fail to complete an assignment because instructions are lost, materials are disorganized, or an ineffective strategy is repeated. The theory treats executive control as part of cognitive competence rather than as a separate matter of discipline. This has practical implications. Teachers can reduce unnecessary cognitive load, break complex tasks into stages, provide visible plans, model strategy selection, and gradually transfer control to learners. (Demetriou et al., 2022)

Awareness and Metacognition

Awareness refers to the learner's capacity to reflect on knowledge and mental processes. Metacognition includes recognizing what one understands, selecting strategies, detecting error, and evaluating whether a solution makes sense. Students often believe that familiarity equals mastery or that completing a task quickly proves competence. Instruction can make thinking more visible through self-explanation, error analysis, retrieval practice, and reflection. However, metacognition is not a generic skill that operates without content. A person can monitor reading effectively while lacking the conceptual knowledge to evaluate a physics solution. The article's framework is strongest when general monitoring is connected with domain-specific understanding.

Development in Cycles

The authors describe development as occurring through cycles in which new representational and reasoning priorities emerge. The concept of cycles avoids the idea that all cognition changes at one moment. Development can be uneven. A student may reason abstractly in a familiar domain but depend on concrete examples in a new one. Cycles also imply that earlier forms are not simply discarded. Concrete representations remain useful even after abstract reasoning develops. Experts often move between diagrams, examples, formulas, and verbal explanations. In practice, educators should not assume that a student's age guarantees a particular cognitive operation. Tasks, knowledge, language, culture, and experience influence performance. Developmental theory should guide observation, not become a label that limits opportunity.

Relationship Between Cognitive Development and School Learning

The article rejects a one-way model in which development occurs naturally and school merely waits for readiness. Education provides tools, language, symbols, practices, and feedback that can reorganize thinking. Learning mathematics strengthens certain forms of relational and symbolic reasoning. Scientific inquiry teaches coordination of evidence and explanation. Writing requires planning, perspective, revision, and awareness of an audience. At the same time, instruction is constrained by the learner's present capacity. A curriculum that assumes mature executive control or abstract reasoning can overwhelm students. The relationship is therefore reciprocal: cognitive development enables school learning, and well-designed school learning supports cognitive development.

Applications to Early Education

In early education, instruction should build representation through language, manipulation, comparison, and guided explanation. Children benefit from counting objects, grouping, ordering, drawing, storytelling, and discussing cause. Play and structured exploration allow them to test relationships while developing self-regulation. Teachers can support executive function through predictable routines, short sequences, visual reminders, and opportunities to wait, shift, and plan. The theory does not imply that young children should receive only simple content. They can engage with complex ideas when those ideas are represented through accessible actions, images, and conversation. The important question is how the concept is introduced and supported.

Applications to Primary and Middle School

As learners become able to coordinate more relationships, instruction can emphasize classification, comparison, proportional reasoning, evidence, and explanation. Students should encounter multiple representations of the same idea and learn to translate among them. A fraction can be shown as an area, a point on a number line, a ratio, or a division operation. Such translation helps prevent knowledge from remaining tied to one example. Teachers should also explicitly teach planning and checking. Complex assignments can use milestones and rubrics, with support gradually reduced. Collaborative discussion can reveal different strategies, but it needs structure so that more confident students do not perform all the reasoning. (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2018)

Applications to Secondary Education

Secondary curricula increasingly require hypothetical, systemic, and multivariable reasoning. Students analyze competing explanations, evaluate sources, use formal models, and connect evidence across texts. The framework suggests that these abilities should be taught rather than presumed. A science teacher can model how variables are controlled, while a history teacher can demonstrate how claims are evaluated against primary sources. Students should practice recognizing uncertainty and revising conclusions. Executive demands also increase sharply because learners manage several subjects, deadlines, and long projects. Schools often interpret organizational failure as lack of motivation even when students have never been taught a reliable planning process.

Assessment Implications

Assessment should distinguish content knowledge, reasoning, representation, and executive performance where possible. A wrong answer may result from misunderstanding the concept, losing track of steps, misreading the question, or failing to check an impulsive response. Diagnostic tasks and explanation can provide more useful information than a score alone. Formative assessment is especially compatible with the theory because it helps teachers identify the current structure of understanding and select the next instructional move. However, assessment must remain manageable. A broad theoretical model should not require teachers to conduct informal psychological testing. Practical tools need clear criteria, examples, and links to curriculum.

Implications for Differentiation

Students in the same classroom differ in prior knowledge, language, strategy, and developmental experience. Differentiation should provide different routes to a common intellectual goal rather than permanently assigning easier thinking to some learners. Supports might include diagrams, vocabulary instruction, worked examples, guided questions, or additional time. Advanced learners may need tasks requiring transfer, justification, and integration rather than simply more work. The theory supports flexible grouping because competence can vary by domain and task. A student who needs support in symbolic mathematics may reason deeply in social or practical contexts.

Teacher Professional Development

For the theory to influence practice, teachers need professional development that translates concepts into observable classroom evidence. Terms such as executive control or representational complexity can remain abstract unless linked to student work. Teams can examine common errors, identify the mental demand of a task, and redesign explanations or scaffolds. Professional development should avoid presenting the model as a checklist of stages. Its value lies in helping teachers ask better questions: What must the student hold in mind? Which relation must be noticed? What prior representation is assumed? How will the learner know that the strategy failed? (Bransford et al., 2000)

Real-World Learning Beyond the Classroom

Cognitive competence develops through everyday problem solving as well as formal lessons. Budgeting requires numerical representation, planning, and inhibition of immediate spending. Navigating transport requires spatial reasoning and updating. Evaluating online information requires source awareness and inference. Schools can connect academic concepts with authentic decisions without reducing education to job training. Real-world contexts make the purpose of reasoning visible, but students must also learn to transfer principles beyond the original context. A project is educationally valuable when reflection identifies what was learned and how the strategy could apply elsewhere.

Strengths of the Theory

The theory's main strength is integration. It connects representation, reasoning, executive function, awareness, domain knowledge, and education. It also recognizes that developmental priorities change and that school learning can influence general cognitive organization. The framework encourages educators to interpret difficulty analytically rather than morally. Instead of assuming that a learner is careless, the teacher can examine whether the task overloads working control or whether the representation is unclear. The theory also supports a view of ability as developmental and responsive to instruction.

Limitations of the Article

The original review correctly notes that the theory's broad scope creates implementation problems. The article synthesizes a large literature rather than reporting one study with a defined sample, intervention, and outcome. This is appropriate for theory building, but it limits direct causal claims. The categories can also be difficult to distinguish in classroom performance. A student's error may involve knowledge, language, attention, motivation, or several processes together. Cultural and educational systems may shape developmental priorities differently. Furthermore, a general theory risks underestimating the depth of domain-specific knowledge. Expert reasoning in mathematics or history cannot be explained by executive control alone.

Future Research

Future studies could translate the framework into specific instructional designs and compare outcomes. Researchers might test whether teaching that coordinates representation, inference, executive support, and metacognition improves transfer more than content instruction alone. Longitudinal research could examine how school experiences alter developmental trajectories. Studies should include diverse linguistic, cultural, socioeconomic, and disability groups. Measurement should also avoid treating speed as the sole sign of competence. Deep reasoning can be slow, particularly when learners are revising an earlier model.

Conclusion

Demetriou et al. provide a valuable general account of how changing cognitive priorities interact with school learning. The theory's real-world applicability lies in designing instruction that matches and extends the learner's capacity to represent, infer, control, and reflect. It supports explicit teaching of complex thinking, careful scaffolding, diagnostic assessment, and gradual independence. Its limitations are equally important: it is broad, theoretically dense, and not a ready-made classroom program. Educators should use it as a framework for analyzing learning demands rather than as a rigid developmental timetable. The central lesson is that effective education does more than deliver content. It helps learners build the mental structures and self-regulatory processes needed to understand, apply, and revise that content across new situations. (Bransford et al., 2000)

References

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. National Academies Press.

Demetriou, A., Spanoudis, G. C., Greiff, S., Makris, N., Panaoura, R., & Kazi, S. (2022). Changing priorities in the development of cognitive competence and school learning: A general theory. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 954971.

Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual Review of Psychology, 64*, 135–168.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. National Academies Press.