Presentation To The Board Of Directors

Posted on July 31, 2022

Presentation to the Board of Directors

To: Board of Directors and Members of the Reorganization Committee, Enhanced Analytics, Inc., Austin, Texas
From: Senior Vice President for Marketing
Date: April 9, 2021
Subject: The Strategic Importance of Marketing and a Proposed Departmental Reorganization

Executive Recommendation

Enhanced Analytics should preserve its marketing investment and reorganize the department around customer insight, market development, integrated communications, and measurable revenue contribution. A budget reduction may appear to create short-term savings, but it would weaken the company's ability to attract clients, understand changing demand, support sales, retain customers, and communicate the value of its analytics services. Because the company sells expertise in using information to improve business decisions, its own marketing should demonstrate the same discipline. The recommended structure links marketing activity to strategic priorities, assigns clear responsibility for performance, strengthens cooperation with sales and service teams, and uses a balanced scorecard to evaluate both immediate commercial results and longer-term brand and capability development.

Marketing as a Strategic Management Function

The American Marketing Association defines marketing as the activities, institutions, and processes used to create, communicate, deliver, and exchange offerings that have value for customers, partners, and society. This definition is broader than advertising. Marketing management includes researching needs, selecting markets, developing a value proposition, planning services, determining pricing, supporting distribution, communicating with customers, and evaluating satisfaction and profitability. For Enhanced Analytics, marketing should translate technical capabilities into business outcomes that prospective clients can understand. It must explain how the company's work improves forecasting, customer retention, operational efficiency, risk management, or another measurable priority. (American Marketing Association, 2017)

A weak marketing function leaves technical experts and sales representatives to communicate value inconsistently. Prospects may hear descriptions of software features, models, or data architecture without understanding why those capabilities matter. A strong marketing department creates a coherent account of the problem, the evidence, the solution, and the expected return. It also brings market intelligence back into the organization so that product and consulting teams know what customers are requesting, which competitors are gaining attention, and where the company's offer requires improvement.

The Business Case for Maintaining Marketing Investment

Marketing expenditure should be treated as a managed investment rather than an undifferentiated overhead cost. The department supports demand generation, but its value also includes customer research, sales enablement, reputation, pricing insight, account development, and strategic learning. Cutting the budget across every activity would reduce visibility at the moment competitors are seeking the same customers. It could also create a damaging cycle: lower marketing activity produces fewer qualified opportunities, weak revenue then justifies another reduction, and the company gradually loses market relevance.

That argument does not mean every campaign deserves continued funding. The department should stop activities that lack a defined audience, strategic purpose, or credible measure of effectiveness. Resources should move toward programs that produce qualified inquiries, accelerate sales discussions, strengthen customer retention, or develop an important market. The board should therefore require accountability while preserving the capabilities needed to generate future revenue.

Lessons from Bombardier

Bombardier's difficulties illustrate the danger of explaining organizational decline through one function alone. The company's commercial aviation problems involved development costs, program delays, debt, competition, strategic decisions, and market conditions. It would be inaccurate to claim that weak marketing by itself caused the company to sell major assets. Nevertheless, the case contains a relevant lesson: even a technically sophisticated product cannot succeed when market requirements, customer confidence, timing, financing, and competitive positioning are misaligned. (Reynolds, n.d.)

Enhanced Analytics should avoid using Bombardier as a simplistic warning that "less marketing causes failure." The stronger conclusion is that product, finance, operations, and marketing must work as an integrated system. Marketing contributes customer evidence and positioning, but it cannot compensate indefinitely for a service that is late, unreliable, incorrectly priced, or poorly supported. Conversely, excellent technical work may remain commercially unsuccessful if customers do not understand it or trust the company. The proposed reorganization is therefore designed to connect marketing more closely with the rest of the business rather than protect it as an isolated department.

Proposed Marketing Organization

Customer and Market Intelligence

This team would maintain a structured understanding of clients, competitors, industries, and emerging needs. Its work would include interviews, surveys, win-loss analysis, account feedback, market sizing, and review of sales and service data. It would create buyer profiles and map the questions that different decision-makers ask during a purchase. Because Enhanced Analytics advises clients on data-informed decisions, this team should establish a high standard for evidence quality and avoid relying on assumptions or isolated anecdotes.

Brand, Content, and Integrated Communications

This team would translate the company's expertise into clear, credible communication. It would manage the website, case studies, research reports, webinars, public relations, events, email, social media, and campaign materials. Content should demonstrate how analytics solves business problems rather than repeat vague claims about innovation. Technical specialists should contribute evidence, while marketing professionals ensure that the information is understandable, accurate, and relevant to the intended audience.

Demand Generation and Revenue Operations

This team would plan campaigns, manage lead processes, coordinate with sales, and measure how marketing activity contributes to pipeline and revenue. It should define when an inquiry becomes a qualified opportunity, ensure that leads are followed up, and analyze where prospects leave the buying process. Attribution should be interpreted cautiously because complex business purchases involve several interactions. The purpose is not to claim credit for every sale but to improve resource allocation and cooperation.

Customer Growth and Advocacy

Existing clients are an important source of retention, expansion, referrals, and evidence. This team would work with account managers and service leaders to understand customer outcomes, identify additional needs, collect testimonials with permission, and develop relevant education. It would also monitor satisfaction and recurring concerns. Customer advocacy must be based on genuine results; clients should never be pressured to endorse claims they cannot support.

Coordination with Sales, Operations, and Finance

Reorganization will fail if it merely redraws reporting lines. Marketing and sales should agree on target accounts, qualification criteria, response times, and the information required at each stage of a purchase. Operations and consulting teams should review promises before campaigns are released so that marketing does not sell capabilities the company cannot deliver. Finance should participate in pricing, customer profitability, and return-on-investment analysis. Leadership should resolve conflicts based on shared business outcomes rather than allowing each department to optimize its own local metrics.

A recurring revenue council could bring together leaders from marketing, sales, customer service, product, and finance. The group would review pipeline quality, customer feedback, delivery capacity, competitive changes, and campaign learning. This forum would convert marketing from a downstream promotional service into an active participant in strategic decisions.

A Balanced Scorecard for Marketing Performance

Kaplan and Norton's balanced-scorecard approach is useful because it prevents management from judging marketing only by immediate sales or superficial activity. Enhanced Analytics can evaluate the department across four connected perspectives. The financial perspective could include marketing-influenced pipeline, customer-acquisition cost, retention, account expansion, and contribution margin. The customer perspective could include awareness among target accounts, satisfaction, referral willingness, and perceived relevance. (Kaplan & Norton, 1992)

The internal-process perspective could measure lead response time, campaign execution, content use by sales, data quality, and coordination across departments. The learning-and-growth perspective could evaluate employee skills, use of analytics, experimentation, technology adoption, and knowledge sharing. These indicators should remain limited and decision-oriented. A dashboard containing dozens of metrics can create the appearance of control without clarifying performance.

Lifelong Learning and Professional Capability

Marketing changes as customers adopt new channels, privacy expectations evolve, platforms alter their rules, and analytical methods improve. Staff therefore need continuing development in research, data interpretation, digital communication, content design, customer experience, automation, ethics, and emerging technology. Training should be linked to responsibilities and followed by application. Paying for courses that employees cannot use is not sufficient.

Learning can include formal instruction, peer review, campaign retrospectives, mentoring, experiments, and collaboration with technical teams. Employees should understand both the company's analytical services and the industries it serves. This combination allows them to communicate accurately and challenge weak assumptions. A reorganization accompanied by reduced training would leave new teams with old capabilities and is unlikely to produce the intended improvement.

Budget Priorities

The board should protect spending that supports customer evidence, high-quality content, sales coordination, marketing data, and employee capability. It should review expensive sponsorships, poorly targeted media, duplicated software, and campaigns that cannot explain their intended contribution. Technology should be purchased only when the organization has a clear process, reliable data, and people able to use it. Automation applied to weak strategy merely produces weak activity faster.

A portion of the budget should be reserved for controlled experimentation. New channels and messages cannot be evaluated without testing, but experiments should begin at a limited scale with a stated hypothesis, success criterion, and decision date. Programs that perform well can be expanded; those that do not should be revised or stopped. This approach combines financial discipline with innovation.

Implementation Plan

The first phase should establish a baseline. Leadership should inventory current staff capabilities, campaigns, systems, agencies, content, customer segments, and performance measures. It should also interview sales, service, and representative customers. The second phase should define roles, appoint team leaders, assign shared metrics, and remove duplicated work. No employee should learn about a major role change through an organizational chart without prior discussion.

The third phase should launch a limited number of priorities, such as improving target-account research, rebuilding sales materials, standardizing lead management, and creating customer evidence. The fourth phase should evaluate results after an agreed period and adjust staffing and resources. Reorganization should be treated as a managed change process, not as a one-day announcement.

Risks and Safeguards

The principal risk is that specialized teams create new silos. Shared planning and common customer outcomes are safeguards against that result. Another risk is excessive focus on short-term lead numbers, which can encourage low-quality volume and neglect the brand. The balanced scorecard should therefore combine current revenue indicators with customer and capability measures. Privacy and data governance are also essential. Enhanced Analytics must obtain and use marketing data lawfully, limit access, protect systems, and avoid claims based on information customers did not knowingly provide.

Finally, the board should avoid treating reorganization as proof that strategy has changed. Structure supports strategy but does not replace choices about markets, customers, offerings, and differentiation. Executive leadership must define those choices clearly so that the marketing department can organize around them.

Conclusion

Marketing is essential to Enhanced Analytics because it connects customer needs, the company's technical capabilities, and sustainable revenue. The department should not receive unquestioned funding, but broad cuts would weaken the very capabilities needed to recover and grow. A reorganization around customer intelligence, integrated communication, demand generation, and customer growth would create clearer accountability and stronger coordination. Performance should be measured through a balanced scorecard, and staff should receive the learning opportunities required to operate in a changing market. The recommendation to the board is therefore to maintain strategic marketing investment, redirect weak spending, implement the proposed structure in phases, and evaluate the department by evidence rather than by activity alone.

References

American Marketing Association. (2017). *Definitions of marketing*. https://www.ama.org/the-definition-of-marketing-what-is-marketing/

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard—Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review, 70*(1), 71–79.

Kotler, P., Keller, K. L., & Chernev, A. (2022). *Marketing management* (16th ed.). Pearson.

Reynolds, C. (2020, February 13). Bombardier exits commercial aviation as it sells C Series stake. *Global News*.

Sindreu, J. (2020, February 5). Bombardier's crisis shows how business isn't all about product. *The Wall Street Journal*.