Personalized Investment Policy Statement (IPS)

Posted on October 23, 2018

Introduction

An Investment Policy Statement is useful because it records decisions before markets become stressful. When prices are rising, an investor may become overconfident and take more risk than planned. When prices fall, the same investor may want to sell everything. The IPS creates a reference point: the purpose of the money, the length of the investment period, the amount of loss that can be tolerated, and the rules for reviewing the portfolio. It does not predict the future or guarantee a return. Its value is discipline.

The following statement is an academic example for an investor with approximately forty years before retirement, a desire to build a substantial retirement income, and a relatively high ability to accept market volatility. Some details in the original draft were inconsistent. It described an aggressive investor while allocating heavily to bonds, treated a 10% annual return as a minimum benchmark, and suggested selling after three bad years regardless of valuation. A stronger policy separates goals from forecasts and sets procedures that can survive both good and bad markets.

Purpose and Scope of the Policy

This IPS governs long-term financial assets intended primarily for retirement. It also recognizes secondary goals: maintaining an emergency reserve, supporting future charitable giving, and preserving enough flexibility for major life changes. Business operating cash, daily spending accounts, insurance policies, and property used personally are outside the investment portfolio unless they are formally added during a review.

The policy is meant to guide the investor and any adviser, accountant, trustee, or family member who helps manage the assets. It should reduce confusion about who may make decisions, what investments are permitted, and how performance will be evaluated. If circumstances change, the statement can be revised in writing. It should not be ignored casually because a financial market is exciting or frightening.

Investor Profile and Planning Assumptions

The investor is assumed to have a long time horizon of about forty years before retirement. A long horizon allows temporary market losses to be tolerated more easily than they could be by someone who needs the money within two or three years. Time alone, however, does not create risk capacity. Income stability, debt, health, dependents, emergency savings, and emotional response to losses also matter.

The desired retirement income is at least $100,000 per year after tax in future purchasing-power terms. This goal must be translated into savings requirements. A dollar received forty years from now will probably buy less than a dollar today, so the plan should distinguish nominal dollars from inflation-adjusted dollars. The portfolio cannot be expected to produce a specific lifestyle unless contribution levels, retirement age, Social Security or pension income, tax rates, and spending needs are estimated together.

The investor will review the retirement target with a qualified financial and tax professional when major assumptions change. The $100,000 figure is a planning objective rather than a promise. If savings fall behind, the response may include raising contributions, delaying retirement, reducing the spending target, or modifying risk. Chasing unusually high returns is not an acceptable substitute for an underfunded plan.

Objectives

The primary objective is to grow the portfolio at a rate that supports retirement while preserving a reasonable chance of recovering from market declines. The portfolio should seek a long-term return above inflation after fees and taxes. No fixed annual return is required because markets do not deliver results evenly. A portfolio may gain strongly in one year and lose in the next while still meeting a long-term objective.

A secondary objective is diversification. The investor should not depend on one company, industry, country, or type of bond. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's investor education materials describe asset allocation as a personal decision based on time horizon and risk tolerance, and diversification as a way to reduce the damage that one poor investment can cause. Diversification cannot prevent all losses, particularly during broad market declines, but it reduces avoidable concentration.

The third objective is operational simplicity. The portfolio should be understandable, reasonably low-cost, and easy to monitor. Complexity is permitted only when it serves a clear purpose. An investment that cannot be explained in plain language, valued independently, or sold under reasonable conditions should not be added merely because it appears sophisticated.

Risk Tolerance and Loss Capacity

The investor is willing to accept substantial short-term fluctuation in exchange for long-term growth. A temporary decline of approximately 30% to 35% in the equity portion is possible during a severe bear market. The total portfolio may also decline materially. The investor should not claim to tolerate such a loss without considering what it would feel like in dollar terms. A 30% decline on $100,000 is $30,000; on $1 million, it is $300,000.

The policy does not require selling after three consecutive negative years. A calendar rule of that kind can force sales after prices have already fallen. Instead, action should depend on whether the investor's circumstances, the portfolio design, or the investment thesis has changed. Market decline alone is not evidence that a diversified strategy has failed.

Risk capacity will be reassessed when employment becomes less secure, retirement approaches, health needs change, or major withdrawals are expected. Willingness to accept volatility may remain high while financial capacity falls. In that situation, the portfolio should reflect the lower capacity.

Liquidity and Emergency Reserves

Before long-term investing, the investor should maintain a separate emergency reserve. The appropriate amount depends on job stability, household expenses, insurance deductibles, and family obligations. Keeping emergency funds outside the investment portfolio prevents the forced sale of stocks during a downturn.

The retirement portfolio itself should include liquid assets sufficient for known withdrawals, taxes, and rebalancing. Illiquid investments may be considered only if the investor can hold them for the full required period and understands the valuation and exit restrictions. No investment should create a possibility that ordinary living expenses depend on a private fund, property, or security that cannot be sold.

Strategic Asset Allocation

Given the long time horizon and aggressive profile, the strategic allocation will emphasize equities while retaining high-quality fixed income and cash. The initial target is:

U.S. equities: 45%. This portion may include broad-market exposure to large-, mid-, and small-capitalization companies rather than a collection of a few familiar stocks.

International developed-market equities: 20%. These holdings reduce exclusive dependence on the U.S. economy and currency, although international investment introduces political, currency, and regulatory risk.

Emerging-market equities: 10%. The allocation provides exposure to developing economies but remains limited because volatility, governance, liquidity, and currency risks can be high.

Investment-grade fixed income: 20%. Government and high-quality corporate bonds provide diversification, income, and a source of funds for rebalancing. Duration should reflect the investor's needs rather than a blanket ten-year maturity limit.

Cash or short-term Treasury instruments: 5%. This portion supports near-term liquidity and reduces the need to sell longer-term assets for small obligations.

The total equity target is 75%, which is aggressive but more coherent than a portfolio that calls itself aggressive while holding most assets in bonds. The allocation is an example, not a universal recommendation. It should be adjusted if the investor's employment, debt, family responsibilities, or emotional tolerance make the risk inappropriate.

Permitted Investments

Permitted investments include diversified mutual funds and exchange-traded funds, separately managed portfolios where cost and oversight are justified, U.S. Treasury securities, high-quality municipal or corporate bonds where appropriate, certificates of deposit within insurance limits, and cash-equivalent instruments.

Individual stocks may be held, but their combined value should not exceed 10% of the total portfolio, and no single company should exceed 3%. This rule limits the effect of employer stock, personal enthusiasm, or a single corporate failure. A broad fund may hold a company at a larger weight as part of an index; the limit applies to intentional direct positions.

Speculative assets, including concentrated cryptocurrency positions, leveraged products, uncovered options, private placements, and securities purchased primarily because of social-media promotion, are prohibited in the core retirement portfolio. A small experimental account may be permitted up to 2% of investable assets, provided that the investor can lose the entire amount without changing retirement plans.

Tax Considerations

Tax management should support the investment strategy rather than drive it. The investor should make full use of suitable tax-advantaged retirement accounts when available and coordinate contributions with current law and individual eligibility. Asset location may place tax-inefficient income-producing investments in tax-deferred accounts and more tax-efficient equity funds in taxable accounts, subject to the overall plan.

The original draft proposed seeking businesses with low tax rates, but a company's corporate tax rate is not an adequate reason to invest. Low tax can result from temporary deductions, foreign structures, losses, or aggressive planning. Investment quality depends on cash flow, valuation, governance, competitive position, and risk, not one accounting line.

Tax-loss harvesting may be considered in taxable accounts when it does not create a wash sale or distort the portfolio. Charitable giving may use appreciated securities where appropriate. All tax strategies should be reviewed with a qualified professional because laws and personal circumstances change.

Rebalancing Policy

The portfolio will be reviewed at least twice each year and rebalanced when a major asset class moves more than five percentage points from its target. New contributions and dividends should be directed toward underweight categories before existing holdings are sold. This reduces transactions and may limit taxable gains.

Rebalancing is a risk-control procedure, not a prediction. It may require selling a portion of an asset that has performed well and adding to one that has performed poorly. The rule prevents enthusiasm from allowing one category to dominate the portfolio. It also removes the need to guess the exact top or bottom of a market.

Benchmarks and Performance Evaluation

Performance will be compared with a blended benchmark reflecting the target allocation. A 75% stock and 25% bond portfolio should not be judged against the S&P 500 alone. The benchmark may combine broad U.S., international, emerging-market, bond, and short-term indexes in the same proportions as the policy.

Evaluation will focus on periods of at least three to five years, while recognizing that even longer periods may be necessary. Results will be assessed after fees and, where practical, after tax. The review should ask whether the portfolio behaved as designed, whether costs were reasonable, and whether the investor remained on track—not simply whether it beat an index in the latest year.

A 10% compounded annual return will not be treated as a minimum standard. Such a requirement could encourage excessive risk and create unrealistic expectations. Planning projections should use several scenarios, including conservative assumptions, rather than one optimistic number.

Social Responsibility and Charitable Goals

The investor wishes to support scholarships, schools, healthcare facilities, and other community projects. Charitable activity should be planned separately from the return target so that generosity does not depend on an unusually profitable market year. An annual giving budget can be funded from income or appreciated assets.

Environmental, social, or governance preferences may influence fund selection, but labels should be examined carefully. A fund marketed as responsible may use criteria that do not match the investor's priorities. Performance, diversification, fees, voting policy, and holdings should be reviewed alongside ethical claims.

Governance and Review

The IPS will be reviewed annually and after major events such as marriage, divorce, birth, inheritance, business sale, disability, unemployment, relocation, or a change in retirement timing. Quarterly statements may be reviewed for contributions, fees, and allocation, but short-term market movement should not trigger frequent strategy changes.

An independent audit is unnecessary for an ordinary personal portfolio unless assets are held through a business, trust, or arrangement that requires one. Accurate statements, tax records, beneficiary designations, and secure account access are essential. The investor should maintain a current list of accounts and trusted contacts without exposing passwords.

Any adviser must disclose compensation, conflicts of interest, custody arrangements, and the standard under which advice is provided. Recommendations should be documented and evaluated against this policy. The investor retains final responsibility for understanding the strategy.

Conclusion

This Investment Policy Statement converts a broad desire for high returns into a more usable set of decisions. It defines the purpose of the assets, acknowledges the possibility of serious market loss, establishes a diversified target allocation, limits concentration, and creates rules for rebalancing and review. Most importantly, it refuses to treat a desired return as something markets are obligated to provide.

A good IPS should remain steady when headlines change and flexible when life changes. Forty years is a long period. Tax law, investment products, income, family needs, and retirement expectations will not remain exactly the same. The policy should therefore be reviewed thoughtfully, but its central principles—diversification, realistic assumptions, adequate liquidity, controlled cost, and disciplined decision-making—should survive. This is an educational example and not individualized financial, legal, or tax advice.

References

French, Kenneth R., and James M. Poterba. “Investor Diversification and International Equity Markets.” *American Economic Review*, vol. 81, no. 2, 1991, pp. 222–226.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. “Asset Allocation and Diversification.” Investor.gov.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. “Beginners’ Guide to Asset Allocation, Diversification, and Rebalancing.” Investor.gov.