Organizational Culture and Leadership during a Merger

Posted on September 13, 2019

Institution

The theory concentrated on in the case under consideration is the cultural approach. Various aspects of culture come under the test. The cultural approach takes into consideration the background of people involved in a task. This means that people tend to like issues that they are familiar with. If the culture dictates that some aspects are not good to engage in, then one finds himself or herself avoiding such instances. Culture means everything to the organization. For the organization to perform well, it must have a positive culture in all its dockets.

On the other hand, a poor culture lags the company behind. The family background, as well as the previous work experience, also forms part of a person's culture. In this regard, the ability to work effectively is determined by the experience. For instance, Peter finds his new role as a manager easy since he had taken up such a business in the past, that of his parents. The way of upbringing also explains much about a person. In this case, the advice offered by the guardians and the parents relates to the decisions that one makes in the future. Peter decides to ignore the things that are beyond him due to the advice that his father gave him at a younger stage.

The culture of trying to get opportunities also arises in this case. In this regard, Peter is seen to have developed a spirit of persistence all along. In earlier days, he had applied for a managerial position at Kfys Klear Company. Even after turning out to be unsuccessful, he reapplied at other times and later succeeded. Persistence is a virtue that is retaliated in the essay. Culture needs to change based on the time and situation under consideration. The past culture of the two organizations, Krys Klear and Glass X, has failed the organization. As Peter takes over the Hamilton branch, he thoroughly understands the need to change the work relations between him and the employees. He also understands the need to improve the working relationship among the employees. (Schein & Schein, 2017)

There are various problems depicted by the case study. First, there is a problem with the merging of the two organizations. This is because the cultures exercised by the two companies, Glass X and Krys Klear, are all aimed at different objectives. Therefore, it becomes hard to match the two effectively. Each of the organization's cultures has failed to work for the better. It is for this reason that they consider merging into one organization. Several other problems arise in the course of joining.

Most of the employees from the Krys Klear Company are retrenched from their work. It is provided that they have no skills sufficient to serve in the new branches. Laying off leads to a high rate of

employee turnover. This is a problem for the organization and the employees as well. The reputation of the company is greatly affected as it cannot be trusted to be able to handle employees in the right manner.

Also, the working relationship among the employees is ineffective. This is seen in the conversation between Peter and the area manager. Peter states that his role will be to have the employees' relationship improved from the current situation. This is an indication that there has been an inadequate basis of teamwork in the organization. As the employees cannot relate well, they have not been able to attain much. Poor networking and collaboration fail the organization as a whole. There arises a concern that the new manager has to check on the issue as a priority.

The two companies portray a poor employee motivation strategy. This is due to the high level of employee turnover within a short time. If the employees are happy with their work, they are likely to stay with the organization for a long time. Happy and satisfied employees are likely to cooperate with each other and with management to ensure that the best is attained. However, the case of the two companies is different. The individualist culture has developed. This has killed the ambition of attaining synergistic results all along.

The primary conflict comes in when the merger is enhanced. The two organizations have different cultures altogether. Also, the two companies bring together employees from different cultural backgrounds. (Cartwright & Cooper, 1993) There is a possibility that the two cultures will conflict from time to time. The expectations of the Glass X employees are different from those of the Krys Klear employees.

Various solutions need to be enhanced to enable the newly formed merger to perform better. First, the manager needs to consider the expectations of both cultures. He needs to devise a mechanism for treating the employees as their culture dictates. The two cultures need to be merged by developing a code of conduct that respects the two across the board.

On the other hand, it is necessary that the employees' motivation is enhanced all along. The past shows that employees were not motivated, resulting in a high rate of turnover. It is necessary to have the employees trained from time to time to develop better skills. This would increase their ability to offer better services in the long run.

Teamwork is portrayed as a challenge for past organizations. For this reason, there is a need for the new merger to work as a team. The formation of the teams ought to be objective at all costs. The unity of all the employees should be a priority.

1. What could be the main problem that led to the failure of the two companies?
2. What role could the managers have played in the failure of the organizations?
3. Is merger formation the best solution to the identified cultural problems?
4. What is the role of leadership in the improvement of the organization's culture?

References

Schein, E. H., & Schein, P. A. (2017). *Organizational culture and leadership* (5th ed.). Wiley. https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Organizational+Culture+and+Leadership%2C+5th+Edition-p-9781119212041

Cartwright, S., & Cooper, C. L. (1993). The role of culture compatibility in successful organizational marriage. *Academy of Management Executive, 7*(2), 57–70. https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.1993.9411302324