Nursing Migration and Global Health

Posted on September 22, 2018

Introduction

Nurses form the largest occupational group in many health systems and are essential to patient safety, prevention, chronic-disease management, emergency response, and continuity of care. International nurse migration occurs when nurses move across borders for employment, education, security, professional development, or family reasons. Migration can improve the life of an individual nurse and help destination countries fill vacancies, but large and poorly governed flows can weaken health systems that already face severe shortages. The issue cannot be solved by blaming migrant nurses for seeking better opportunities. Nurses possess human rights, including the right to move and pursue fair employment. The ethical challenge is to create systems in which individual mobility does not depend on chronic underinvestment, exploitative recruitment, or the transfer of publicly financed skills from countries with the greatest health needs to those with greater resources (Buchan, 2006; Kingma, 2001; World Health Organization, 2020).

Why Nurses Migrate

Migration decisions usually reflect a combination of “push” and “pull” factors. Push factors may include low salaries, delayed payment, unsafe staffing, weak equipment, limited training, political instability, discrimination, poor management, violence, and few opportunities for promotion. Pull factors include higher income, safer conditions, stronger professional recognition, postgraduate education, modern facilities, permanent residency, and the possibility of supporting family members through remittances (Buchan, 2006; Kingma, 2001).

Financial differences can be substantial, but salary should not be treated as the only motivation. Many nurses migrate because they want a professional environment in which they can practice to their level of education, access continuing development, and work with adequate supplies. Others move temporarily and intend to return with new skills. Migration pathways therefore differ and should not be discussed as one uniform experience (Buchan, 2006; Kingma, 2001).

Benefits for Individual Nurses

Migration can increase income, professional autonomy, and access to education. Remittances may improve housing, schooling, healthcare, and financial security for families in the country of origin. Nurses may also gain experience with new clinical systems, languages, technologies, and models of care (Kingma, 2001).

These benefits are legitimate and important. Policies designed to retain nurses should improve conditions rather than restrict movement. Compulsory service, withheld credentials, or punitive exit rules can violate rights and may encourage irregular migration. Retention is most sustainable when nurses have reasons to stay, including fair compensation, safe workloads, respectful management, career pathways, and reliable infrastructure (World Health Organization, 2010; World Health Organization, 2020).

Risks for Migrant Nurses

Migration can expose nurses to exploitation. Recruitment agencies may charge excessive fees, provide misleading information, or bind workers to restrictive contracts. Some nurses arrive to discover that their qualifications are not fully recognized, their duties differ from the promised role, or their immigration status depends heavily on one employer. This dependence can make it difficult to report abuse (World Health Organization, 2010; Kingma, 2001).

Migrant nurses may also experience racism, xenophobia, deskilling, language barriers, social isolation, and separation from children or partners. Adaptation to a new clinical culture can be stressful because documentation, medication systems, professional boundaries, and communication expectations differ. Destination employers should provide supervised orientation and language support rather than interpreting every adjustment difficulty as incompetence (Kingma, 2001; World Health Organization, 2010).

Effects on Source Countries

When a country finances nursing education and then loses large numbers of experienced staff, the public investment benefits another health system. Vacancies can increase workload for remaining nurses, reduce service availability, and weaken supervision of students and new graduates. Rural and underserved communities may be affected most because they already have difficulty recruiting staff (Buchan, 2006; World Health Organization, 2020).

The impact depends on scale and context. A country with many unemployed nurses may benefit from migration if training capacity exceeds funded positions. A country with critical shortages may experience severe harm even from modest outflows. Analysis should therefore compare migration with the number of nurses educated, employed, unemployed, and needed for essential services (Buchan, 2006; World Health Organization, 2020).

Internal Migration and Rural Inequality

International migration is only one part of the problem. Nurses often move from rural to urban areas, from public to private hospitals, or from bedside care to administrative and nonclinical jobs. These

movements can leave remote communities without maternal care, emergency services, vaccination, and chronic-disease follow-up (World Health Organization, 2020).

Rural retention requires more than salary supplements. Safe housing, transportation, schools for children, reliable supplies, supportive supervision, professional connection, and rotation opportunities can influence whether nurses remain. Recruiting students from underserved areas and educating them near their communities may improve long-term retention (World Health Organization, 2020).

Effects on Destination Countries

Destination countries benefit when internationally educated nurses fill vacancies and bring diverse clinical experience. Migrant nurses may sustain services that would otherwise close or operate with unsafe staffing. Cultural and language diversity can also improve care for some patient populations (Buchan, 2006).

However, international recruitment can allow destination governments and employers to postpone reforms. If poor staffing, burnout, low pay, or inadequate education capacity drive domestic nurses away, importing workers treats the symptom rather than the cause. Destination countries should train and retain enough of their own workforce while using international recruitment as a carefully governed supplement (Buchan, 2006; World Health Organization, 2010; World Health Organization, 2020).

Patient Safety and Workforce Integration

Internationally educated nurses should meet the same professional standards as locally educated nurses, but assessment must be fair and relevant. Credential review, licensing examinations, language testing, and supervised practice may be necessary. Requirements should protect patients without creating unnecessary or discriminatory barriers (World Health Organization, 2010).

Orientation should cover clinical protocols, communication, consent, safeguarding, documentation, medication systems, escalation, and legal responsibilities. Preceptors need time and preparation. A nurse who is unfamiliar with one system may still possess extensive clinical knowledge; integration should build on that expertise rather than assume deficiency (World Health Organization, 2010).

Ethical International Recruitment

The World Health Organization’s Global Code of Practice on the International Recruitment of Health Personnel provides a framework for ethical recruitment. It encourages better workforce planning, fair treatment, transparency, cooperation, and particular caution regarding countries facing critical shortages. The code is voluntary, but it establishes important expectations (World Health

Organization, 2010).

Ethical recruitment means that workers receive accurate information, contracts in understandable language, no improper fees, equal labor protections, and access to grievance procedures. Employers should not confiscate passports or use immigration dependence to suppress complaints. Recruitment from vulnerable health systems should occur through agreements designed to create mutual benefit (World Health Organization, 2010).

Bilateral Agreements and Compensation

Bilateral labor agreements can define recruitment numbers, qualification recognition, worker protections, training, and return options. Source countries may receive investment in nursing education, faculty development, technology, or health services. Such arrangements are more defensible than uncoordinated recruitment because they acknowledge the cost of producing health professionals (World Health Organization, 2010).

Financial compensation alone is not enough if funds do not reach the health workforce. Agreements should include transparent monitoring and measurable benefits. They should also protect the nurse's freedom to choose whether to return rather than treating individuals as property transferred between governments (World Health Organization, 2010).

Circular Migration and Skill Partnerships

Circular migration allows nurses to work abroad temporarily and return with skills, savings, or professional networks. It can benefit both countries when qualifications are recognized and reintegration is planned. In practice, return may be difficult if the source country cannot offer appropriate positions or if family life becomes established abroad (Kingma, 2001; World Health Organization, 2010).

Skill partnerships can connect migration with expanded training capacity. A destination country may support nursing schools in a partner country to educate more nurses than the domestic system alone requires, while ensuring that a sufficient number remain. This model must be based on realistic workforce data and quality standards (World Health Organization, 2010; World Health Organization, 2020).

Gender and Care Work

Nursing is heavily feminized, and migration often reorganizes care across households and borders. A nurse may provide paid care in a destination country while relatives care for children or older family members at home. Remittances support families, but emotional separation and unequal domestic

burdens can be substantial (Kingma, 2001).

Gender also affects workplace treatment. Migrant women may face sexual harassment, racialized stereotypes, or expectations of obedience. Labor and migration policies should recognize these risks and provide confidential reporting, union access, and legal protection (Kingma, 2001; World Health Organization, 2010).

The Role of Education

Expanding nursing education can reduce shortages only if programs have qualified faculty, clinical placements, equipment, and funding. Rapidly increasing enrollment without quality controls may produce graduates who cannot obtain licenses or safe employment. Workforce planning should connect education capacity with funded positions and population needs (World Health Organization, 2020).

Continuing professional development is equally important. Nurses remain when they can progress into specialist, advanced-practice, education, leadership, and research roles. Career stagnation is a powerful push factor even where salaries are improving (World Health Organization, 2020).

Retention Strategies

Source countries need comprehensive retention rather than isolated bonuses. Priorities include safe staffing, timely pay, occupational protection, respectful leadership, functioning equipment, mental-health support, and participation in decision-making. Violence against health workers must be addressed through security, law, and community engagement (World Health Organization, 2020).

Governments should also improve workforce data. Without accurate information about vacancies, distribution, migration intentions, retirement, and education output, planning becomes reactive. Exit interviews and professional-registration data can identify patterns without restricting mobility (World Health Organization, 2020).

Global Crises and Nurse Mobility

Pandemics, conflicts, climate disasters, and aging populations increase demand for nurses while disrupting training and migration. During a crisis, wealthy countries may intensify recruitment from systems facing equal or greater need. Emergency staffing should therefore be accompanied by international support, not simply competition for a limited workforce (World Health Organization, 2020).

Global health security depends on strong systems everywhere. A country cannot protect itself

indefinitely by drawing professionals away from places where outbreaks and preventable disease remain uncontrolled (World Health Organization, 2020).

Policy Recommendations

Destination countries should increase domestic education, improve retention, enforce ethical recruitment, and publish data on international hiring. Employers should provide equal pay for equal work, secure contracts, orientation, career access, and protection from discrimination. Source countries should improve working conditions, create career pathways, engage diaspora networks, and negotiate mutually beneficial agreements (World Health Organization, 2010; World Health Organization, 2020).

International organizations can support comparable data, technical assistance, and accountability under the WHO code. Nurses and professional associations must be included in negotiations because workforce policy directly affects their rights and practice (World Health Organization, 2010).

Conclusion

Nursing migration can improve individual opportunity, family income, and staffing in destination countries, but unmanaged migration can deepen global health inequality and weaken already fragile services. The ethical response is not to prevent nurses from moving. It is to address the conditions that compel departure, protect migrant workers from exploitation, and require destination countries to invest in sustainable workforce planning. Bilateral agreements, skill partnerships, circular pathways, fair credential recognition, and strong retention policies can distribute benefits more equitably. Nurses should be treated as professionals with rights and ambitions, not as units of labor used to compensate for policy failure (Buchan, 2006; Kingma, 2001; World Health Organization, 2010; World Health Organization, 2020).

References

Buchan, J. (2006). The impact of global nursing migration on health services delivery. *Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice, 7*(3 Suppl.), 16S–25S.

Kingma, M. (2001). Nursing migration: Global treasure hunt or disaster-in-the-making? *Nursing Inquiry, 8*(4), 205–212.

World Health Organization. (2010). *WHO global code of practice on the international recruitment of health personnel*.

World Health Organization. (2020). *State of the world's nursing 2020*.