

Nursing Consent Capacity and Ethics in Dementia Care

Posted on October 23, 2026

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/nursing-consent-capacity-ethics-dementia-care/>

Introduction

Using the legal framework of England and Wales, this essay examines the case of Paul, a 63-year-old fisherman displaying early signs of dementia, and his wife Gemma, who suffers from multiple health conditions including diabetes and hypertension. This complex scenario highlights the multifaceted legal, ethical, and values-based challenges frequently encountered in nursing practice. Three key issues are analyzed through the lens of law, ethics, and values modules. Firstly, informed consent and capacity, a central concept in the law module, is examined considering Paul's diagnosis of early dementia which calls into question his capacity to provide informed consent for medical treatments (Mental Capacity Act, 2005). Secondly, an ethical analysis of autonomy and beneficence in decision-making is undertaken, considering the challenges of balancing Paul's autonomy given his condition and the principle of beneficence, core principles explored in depth in the ethics module (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019). Finally, family dynamics and support are reviewed from a values perspective, as Gemma's health issues and their son's estrangement indicate a lack of familial support, illuminating the significance of social context and support systems, which are key topics in the values module (Equality Act, 2010). By exploring Paul and Gemma's case through legal, ethical, and values frameworks, this essay provides insight into the multifaceted responsibilities and dilemmas encountered by nurses when applying knowledge from these critical areas of study.

Discussion

When exploring the intricate challenges presented in Paul and Gemma's situation, it becomes evident that ethical, legal, and values-based factors intersect in complex ways within nursing practice (NMC Code, 2018; Beauchamp & Childress, 2019). As the NMC Code states, nurses have multifaceted professional, ethical and legal responsibilities that require competency across moral, legal, and philosophical dimensions of care (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018). Technical skill should be accompanied by ethical, legal and values-based judgement to provide holistic, patient-centred care (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018). A nuanced understanding of each area is required to deliver optimal patient care in line with professional guidelines and standards. This essay delves into three pivotal issues highlighted in this case – informed consent and capacity from a legal lens, autonomy and beneficence utilizing ethical analysis, and family dynamics and support through a values-focused

approach. By carefully dissecting each dimension, this essay provides insight into nurses' duties and difficulties when applying legal, ethical, and values-based knowledge to intricate real-world scenarios.

Consent and Mental Capacity

Paul's early-stage dementia raises a question about his capacity to consent to particular treatments, but the diagnosis alone does not establish incapacity. Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, adults must be presumed to have capacity unless evidence establishes otherwise. An assessment must consider whether an impairment or disturbance of mind or brain prevents Paul from understanding, retaining, using or weighing the relevant information, or communicating his decision. Capacity is specific to the decision and the time at which it is required, including any proposed cancer investigation (Mental Capacity Act, 2005, ss. 1–3; NHS, n.d.).

Nurses have an important role in supporting consent, documenting decisions and recognising when a capacity assessment is needed (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018). The NMC Code requires properly informed consent before care and treatment, respect for a person's right to accept or refuse treatment, and compliance with capacity law. Appropriate training therefore matters. For Paul, the nurse should explain the proposed intervention in an accessible way, assess within professional competence and involve the [multidisciplinary team](#) when the decision is complex or uncertainty remains. Specialist involvement can help maximise his participation without replacing the presumption of capacity (NHS, n.d.; Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018).

If Paul lacks capacity for a particular decision, the team must consider his best interests, wishes, feelings, beliefs and values, alongside any valid and applicable advance decision or authorised health and welfare attorney. Paul could make a lasting power of attorney only while he has capacity to do so; it cannot simply be created after capacity has been lost. A court-appointed deputy may have authority within the scope of the court's order. Gemma's views would be important to consultation, but marriage alone does not give her authority to consent on his behalf (Mental Capacity Act, 2005, ss. 4, 9 and 16; Office of the Public Guardian, n.d.).

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 provides a decision-specific assessment framework rather than a mandatory specialist cognitive test for every patient. The assessor should identify the decision, explain relevant information and consider whether Paul can understand, retain, use or weigh it and communicate a choice. Cognitive screening may inform assessment but does not replace this functional test. Clear records of the information given, support offered, assessment findings and reasons for the decision help protect Paul's rights (Mental Capacity Act, 2005, ss. 2–3; Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018).

In Paul's case, specialist input may be appropriate if capacity fluctuates or the assessment is difficult. The timing, communication method and environment should support his participation, with reassessment when the relevant decision or his condition changes. A failure to follow the statutory framework risks an erroneous determination or disproportionate restriction of Paul's rights; a

diagnosis of dementia should never substitute for the required assessment (Mental Capacity Act, 2005; NHS, n.d.).

All practicable steps must be taken to help Paul make the decision before he is treated as unable to do so. Where a best-interests decision is required, the team must consider whether its purpose can be achieved in a way that is less restrictive of his rights and freedom. Communication aids, supported discussions and advance care planning can help Paul express his preferences. A lasting power of attorney can be considered while he retains capacity to make one; if he lacks capacity for a decision, the team should establish the appropriate legal decision-maker rather than assume that a proxy appointment is always necessary (Mental Capacity Act, 2005, ss. 1 and 4; Office of the Public Guardian, n.d.).

Autonomy and Beneficence

Paul's emerging dementia poses complex ethical challenges in balancing autonomy and beneficence. Respecting his independence in deciding on cancer treatments and other care aligns with his right to self-determination, a key principle in healthcare ethics (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019). However, his diagnosis may impede reasoning abilities, creating a tension between preserving autonomy, and promoting beneficence by acting in Paul's best medical interests (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019).

The NMC Code of Conduct emphasizes respecting patients' autonomy and dignity while ensuring beneficence-based care (NMC Code, 2018). Similarly, principlism, an ethical framework widely utilized in nursing, underscores weighing autonomy and beneficence through systematic analysis (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019). For Paul, his nurse must carefully consider his values and preferences and weigh the potential effects of his dementia on autonomous decision-making capacity (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018).

A key ethical solution is adopting a shared decision-making model, which balances patient participation and beneficence (Légaré et al., 2008). This collaborative approach involves healthcare providers eliciting patients' perspectives while integrating medical evidence and recommendations (Miller et al., 2016). For Paul, his nurse could actively engage both Paul and Gemma in discussions, provide dementia education, and transparently convey concerns, while respectfully allowing Paul to maintain control where his capacity remains intact. Ongoing consent processes will be crucial as Paul's dementia progresses.

Moreover, using communication techniques like patient-centered interviewing allows upholding Paul's dignity and values (Ambady et al., 2002). Patient priorities and interpretations of beneficial care should help guide decisions, in line with ethical obligations (NMC Code, 2018). Advocating for Paul's wishes and enlisting specialist input on maximizing participation will be pivotal in balancing legal and ethical duties.

Shared decision-making is an ethical care model that enables balancing [patient autonomy](#) and

beneficence through collaboration between providers, patients, and family members (Légaré et al., 2008). This framework centers patients in the decision-making process while integrating medical evidence, with the aim of reaching medically sound choices that align with patient values (Légaré et al., 2008; Barry & Edgman-Levitan, 2012).

For patients with emerging cognitive changes like Paul, shared decision-making can uphold participation in care choices while accounting for reasoning limitations (Miller et al., 2016). Techniques include breaking down information, regularly checking understanding, and identifying when surrogate decision-makers become necessary (Miller et al., 2016). Paul's nurse should utilize communication strategies like teach-back, plain language explanations, and clearly outlining options with recommendations (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018).

Most importantly, Paul's preferences and beliefs should remain central (Miller et al., 2016). His nurse needs to invest time learning Paul's values and what constitutes quality of life for him, allowing these insights to guide the team's beneficence (Barry & Edgman-Levitan, 2012). Shared decision-making can support participation by people with dementia, while practical barriers and changing support needs must be considered (Miller et al., 2016).

Implementing shared decision-making requires infrastructure support, like decision aids and integrated health records (Légaré et al., 2008). Nursing administrators must prioritize education on communication techniques, dementia stages, and capacity fluctuations to empower nurses to navigate ethical complexities. Systemic commitments can enable nurses to fulfill ethical duties amidst competing priorities.

Family Support and Nursing Values

Gemma's distress and estrangement from their son underscore significant gaps in Paul and Gemma's support system that impact their wellbeing, illuminating the value of analyzing social and familial dynamics in nursing care (Equality Act 2010; NMC Code, 2018). Gemma's multiple chronic conditions and emotional anguish may be exacerbated without adequate assistance navigating Paul's emerging dementia.

The NMC Code emphasizes respecting diversity and advocating for marginalized patients, including those lacking social support (NMC Code, 2018). Social and cultural factors shape family relationships and availability of support, necessitating contextual care. Nurses must collaborate with patients to identify needs and integrate services like social work to address resource gaps (Walsh & Shutes, 2013).

For Paul and Gemma, the nurse should adopt a family-centered approach, involving them both in decision-making while linking them with [social services](#), legal assistance, respite care, and mental health resources (Gottlieb & Feeley, 2006). Resources should align with their cultural background. Ongoing emotional support and counseling will be crucial for Gemma's wellbeing. Regular

reevaluation of changing dynamics and support needs will enable responsive care.

Strong communication skills and cultural awareness allow nurses to compassionately address delicate family matters like the son's absence (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2018). With consent, the nurse could contact him to discuss contributing. However, respecting Paul and Gemma's choices regarding family matters is paramount. Establishing rapport and trust enables navigating complex social issues.

Social services can provide critical psychosocial support to address gaps in assistance highlighted by Gemma and Paul's situation. Assessing their unique needs and values is essential for customized, impactful solutions (Gottlieb & Feeley, 2006). A social worker could help Paul and Gemma create an individualized care plan, facilitate access to community resources, provide counseling, and coordinate long-term services as needs change (Fields et al., 2014).

Specific services of value could include respite care to offer Gemma breaks from caregiving, adult day programs to monitor Paul's dementia progression, and practical assistance like transportation and meals (Fitzpatrick & Vacha-Haase, 2010). Counseling for Gemma to process emotions related to Paul's diagnosis and connect with other caregivers can also help mitigate distress (Judge et al., 2011). Importantly, the social worker must evaluate available family-based support and collaborate to optimize contributions from loved ones (Walsh & Shutes, 2013).

To access services, Paul's nurse should make a referral to social work upon recognizing psychosocial challenges. However, the nurse plays a continued role in care coordination, community linkage, and addressing social determinants of health (RNAO, 2015). Developing an interdisciplinary care plan, facilitating conversations on sensitive topics like the son's detachment, and providing ongoing emotional support are pivotal nursing responsibilities (Gallicchio et al., 2002).

Conclusion

This essay has explored the complex case of Paul and Gemma through detailed analysis of legal, ethical, and values-based themes. Informed consent and capacity were analyzed from a legal perspective, highlighting nurses' duties in assessing capacity and maximizing patient autonomy through statutory frameworks like the Mental Capacity Act 2005. An ethical examination of balancing autonomy and beneficence underscored the importance of shared decision-making models to uphold patient participation. Finally, a values-focused discussion of family dynamics emphasized nurses' role in identifying and addressing gaps in familial support networks.

These discussions relate directly to key learning outcomes for nursing education in law, ethics, and values. A nuanced comprehension of legal consent provisions, ethical care frameworks, and the impact of social factors is essential for safe, patient-centered practice. This essay has aimed to demonstrate the intricacies of synthesizing knowledge across these domains when confronted with multifaceted real-world scenarios like Paul and Gemma's.

In conclusion, this case illuminates nurses' complex responsibilities spanning legal, ethical, and values spheres. Holistic analysis and patient-centered application of legal statutes, ethical principles, and social context is paramount. This essay has exemplified the challenges of navigating and integrating these distinct yet interconnected domains of knowledge to provide optimal, individualized care for patients. It emphasizes the need for comprehensive nursing education and critical thinking across law, ethics, and values to meet healthcare's increasing complexities.

References

- Ambady, N., Koo, J., Rosenthal, R., & Winograd, C. H. (2002). Physical therapists' nonverbal communication predicts geriatric patients' health outcomes. *Psychology and Aging*, 17(3), 443-452. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.17.3.443>
- Barry, M. J., & Edgman-Levitan, S. (2012). Shared decision making—The pinnacle of patient-centered care. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 366(9), 780-781. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1109283>
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- British Geriatrics Society. (2015). *Quest for quality: An inquiry into the quality of healthcare support for older people in care homes: A call for leadership, partnership and improvement*. <https://www.bgs.org.uk/resources/quest-for-quality-an-inquiry-into-the-quality-of-healthcare-support-for-older-people-in-care-homes-a-call-for-leadership-partnership-and-improvement>
- Curtis, K., Tzannes, A., & Rudge, T. (2011). How to talk to doctors – a guide for effective communication. *International Nursing Review*, 58(1), 13-20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-7657.2010.00847.x>
- Equality Act. (2010). London: The Stationery Office Limited.
- Fields, N. L., Anderson, K. A., & Dabelko-Schoeny, H. (2014). The effectiveness of adult day services for older adults: A review of the literature from 2000 to 2011. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 33(2), 130-163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464812443308>
- Fitzpatrick, K. E., & Vacha-Haase, T. (2010). Marital satisfaction and resilience in caregivers of spouses with dementia. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 33(3), 165-180. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07317111003776547>
- Gallicchio, L., Siddiqi, N., Langenberg, P., & Baumgarten, M. (2002). Gender differences in burden and depression among informal caregivers of demented elders in the community. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17(2), 154-163. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.538>
- Gottlieb, L. N., & Feeley, N. (2006). *The collaborative partnership approach to care: A delicate balance*. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Halverson, A. L., Casey, J. T., Andersson, J., Park, C., Salem, L., & Rademaker, A. (2011). Communication failure in the operating room. *Surgery*, 149(3), 305-310.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2010.07.077>

Judge, K. S., Bass, D. M., Snow, A. L., Wilson, N. L., Morgan, R., Looman, W. J., McCarthy, C., & Kunik, M. E. (2011). Partners in dementia care: A care coordination intervention for individuals with dementia and their family caregivers. *The Gerontologist*, 51(2), 261-272. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnq097>

Kim, S. Y., Karlawish, J. H., & Caine, E. D. (2002). Current state of research on decision-making competence of cognitively impaired elderly persons. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 10(2), 151-165. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00019442-200203000-00006>

Légaré, F., Ratté, S., Gravel, K., & Graham, I. D. (2008). Barriers and facilitators to implementing shared decision-making in clinical practice: Update of a systematic review of health professionals' perceptions. *Patient education and counseling*, 73(3), 526-535.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2008.07.018>

Mental Capacity Act 2005, c. 9. (2005). London: The Stationery Office.

Mental Health Foundation. (2013). Mental Capacity Act 2005.
<https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/learning-disabilities/a-to-z/m/mental-capacity-act-2005>

Miller, L. M., Whitlatch, C. J., & Lyons, K. S. (2016). Shared decision-making in dementia: A review of patient and family carer involvement. *Dementia*, 15(5), 1141-1157.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301214555542>

Nursing and Midwifery Council. (2018). The code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates. <https://www.nmc.org.uk/standards/code/>

Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2015). Person-and family-centred care.
<https://rnao.ca/bpg/guidelines/person-and-family-centred-care>

Walsh, K., & Shutes, I. (2013). Care relationships, quality of care and migrant workers caring for older people. *Ageing & Society*, 33(3), 393-420. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X11001309>

Williams, V., Boyle, G., Jepson, M., Swift, P., Williamson, T., & Heslop, P. (2012). Making Best Interests Decisions: People and Processes. Department of Health, Policy Research Programme.
<https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/en/publications/making-best-interests-decisions-people-and-processes/>

NHS. (n.d.). Consent to treatment: Assessing capacity.
<https://www.nhs.uk/tests-and-treatments/consent-to-treatment/capacity/>

Office of the Public Guardian. (n.d.). Make, register or end a lasting power of attorney.

<https://www.gov.uk/power-of-attorney>