

Netflix Competitive Strategy Core Competencies and Industry Analysis

Posted on September 30, 2025

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/netflix-competitive-strategy-core-competencies/>

Introduction

Netflix has evolved from a DVD-by-mail company into a global entertainment platform by combining direct distribution, subscription economics, technological experimentation, content investment, and international scale. Its strategic problem has changed accordingly. Streaming itself is no longer a rare capability because consumers can choose among global subscription services, advertising-supported platforms, social video, gaming, live entertainment, and traditional media. Netflix therefore competes for attention rather than only for television subscriptions. Its advantage is best understood as a system of complementary capabilities: product design, global delivery, recommendation and discovery, content commissioning, localization, brand recognition, customer relationships, and the ability to alter its business model as conditions change. By 2026, the company was operating not only a subscription service but also an expanding advertising business, selective live programming, and other entertainment extensions, while its investor reporting continued to emphasize content quality, product experience, and monetization (Netflix, 2026). The strategic question is whether these capabilities remain difficult enough to imitate as rivals acquire similar technologies and compete for the same creators and audiences.

Industry Structure and Competitive Pressure

The streaming industry combines high fixed content costs with relatively low marginal distribution costs, creating powerful incentives for scale. Producing or licensing a program can require substantial investment, but delivering one more stream to an established platform costs comparatively little. Rivalry is intense because consumers can cancel easily, switch services, and temporarily subscribe to several platforms depending on the content available. Competitors also enter with different economic advantages. Some own film studios, sports rights, television networks, theme parks, devices, cloud platforms, advertising systems, or e-commerce ecosystems that can subsidize entertainment for strategic reasons. Netflix is more focused: streaming entertainment remains central to its business, which can support product coherence but offers less protection from weak content performance. Supplier power is also significant because creators, studios, actors, writers, and sports organizations control scarce intellectual property and talent. Customer power appears individually limited, yet collectively it is high because perceived value can change quickly. Netflix must therefore maintain

enough distinctive engagement to justify recurring payment while controlling content and technology costs.

Core Competencies and the Resource-Based View

The resource-based view explains why copying one visible Netflix feature does not necessarily reproduce its competitive advantage. Recommendation algorithms, mobile applications, video delivery, and original productions can all be imitated in isolation. The more difficult capability is integrating those elements into a global operating system that learns from audience behavior, commissions content across many markets, localizes interfaces and programming, manages payment relationships, markets releases, and distributes entertainment reliably at massive scale. Netflix also benefits from a direct relationship with customers, which gives it control over product presentation, pricing, experimentation, and service data. Personalization reduces the problem of choice overload by helping users navigate a large catalog through ranking, artwork, categories, previews, and search. Yet data should not replace creative judgment because historical viewing patterns cannot reliably predict every new cultural hit. The valuable resource is therefore not simply “the algorithm.” It is an organizational capability for combining data, product experimentation, editorial judgment, global infrastructure, and creative relationships in repeated decisions about what to build, acquire, promote, renew, or discontinue (Barney, 1991).

Differentiation, Advertising, and Pricing

Netflix primarily follows a differentiation strategy rather than attempting to be the lowest-cost entertainment option in every market. It seeks to justify payment through exclusive programming, global breadth, convenient access, discovery, local-language production, and a recognizable product experience. The advertising-supported plan changes this model by creating a lower-priced entry point while adding advertising revenue and new technical requirements involving targeting, measurement, brand safety, privacy, sales, and ad delivery. Netflix’s investor materials in 2026 described advertising growth as dependent on ad-member expansion, improving fill rates, and competitive pricing for advertisers, while the company also announced plans to extend ad plans to additional markets in 2027 (Netflix, 2026). Advertising can broaden monetization without abandoning subscription revenue, but it must not undermine the simplicity and viewing experience that made the service attractive. Pricing creates a similar trade-off. Higher prices can improve revenue per customer but encourage cancellation if households feel the content no longer justifies the cost. Strategic pricing therefore depends on local purchasing power, competition, plan design, and perceived value rather than one global formula.

Content, Localization, and Intellectual Property

Content strategy remains the most visible source of differentiation because Netflix must repeatedly offer programs that attract, retain, or re-engage audiences. Original production reduces dependence on outside studios that can withdraw licenses or create competing platforms, while ownership or long-term rights can support sequels, games, consumer products, and other franchise extensions. Global scale also changes how content is evaluated. A series commissioned for one language or region can become an international success when distribution, dubbing, subtitles, marketing, and recommendation systems expose it to audiences elsewhere. This ability to move local productions across borders is a meaningful organizational competency rather than simple geographic availability. Content volume alone, however, is not an advantage if discovery is weak or spending fails to generate durable engagement. Renewal and cancellation decisions should consider cost, audience reach, retention, market development, brand contribution, and long-term intellectual-property potential rather than one metric such as viewing hours. Netflix must also sustain productive relationships with creators because ownership of rights is valuable only when the platform continues to produce stories that audiences want to watch.

Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Expansion

Netflix's history is a strong example of dynamic capability: the firm has repeatedly sensed changes in technology and consumer behavior, committed resources to new models, and reorganized around them (Teece, 2007). The transition from physical rental to streaming required abandoning dependence on the very logistics system that had created the early business. International expansion and original production changed the company again. More recent moves into advertising, live events, gaming, and experiences connected with intellectual property represent additional attempts to broaden engagement and monetization. These adjacent businesses create opportunities but also complexity. Live programming introduces simultaneous-demand risk, production logistics, rights negotiations, and immediate reputational consequences when delivery fails. Gaming requires different development cycles and user expectations from television. Advertising creates privacy and measurement obligations. The strategic test is therefore not whether Netflix can enter every entertainment category. It is whether a new activity uses existing capabilities in a way that strengthens the core service. Expansion that lacks such fit can consume capital and managerial attention without creating a defensible advantage.

Conclusion

Netflix's competitive position is built from a network of capabilities rather than one piece of technology or one library of shows. Global distribution, personalization, product experimentation, content commissioning, localization, brand recognition, and direct customer relationships reinforce

one another and allow the company to adapt more quickly than a strategy based on a single resource. Competition nevertheless remains intense because rivals can imitate features, bid for the same talent, subsidize streaming from other businesses, and compete for the same hours of consumer attention. Netflix's expanding advertising and live-programming activities show that the business model is still evolving in 2026 rather than settling into a fixed form (Netflix, 2026). Long-term advantage will depend on disciplined adaptation: new activities must improve customer value or monetization without weakening product simplicity, creative quality, privacy, or brand trust. Financial evaluation should likewise focus on whether investment produces durable returns rather than growth for its own sake. Related analytical techniques are discussed in [horizontal vertical and common size financial analysis](#).

References

- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Netflix, Inc. (2026). *2025 Annual Report and 2026 Investor Materials*.
- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage*. Free Press.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350.