Multicultural Ethics and Professional Decision Making in Counseling

Posted on January 25, 2020

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/multicultural-ethics-and-professional-decision-making-in-counseling/

Introduction

Multicultural counseling ethics requires more than memorizing a professional code or learning broad facts about cultural groups. Counselors must examine how culture, family, religion, race, migration, gender, socioeconomic status, disability, and power shape a client's understanding of a problem. They must also notice when their own assumptions, emotional needs, or preferred life choices begin to guide treatment. The four issues in this discussion—Richard's advice to an Asian client, countertransference, a Hispanic-American client's commitment to marriage, and counselors seeking personal therapy—are connected by one principle: professional decisions should be guided by the client's welfare and values rather than by the counselor's need to direct, rescue, or receive approval (American Counseling Association, 2014).

Cultural responsiveness does not mean accepting every practice without question or treating group membership as destiny. It means asking informed questions, recognizing within-group differences, consulting when needed, and protecting the client's autonomy. The American Counseling Association's current ethics framework emphasizes avoiding value imposition, practicing within competence, and respecting diversity. Its multicultural and social-justice competencies further encourage counselors to consider individual experience, relationships, institutions, and systems (American Counseling Association, n.d.).

An Ethical Decision-Making Framework

Before acting in any of the cases, a counselor can use a structured process. First, define the dilemma without assuming the desired outcome. Second, identify everyone affected and assess immediate safety. Third, review relevant laws, agency policies, professional ethical standards, and the limits of the counselor's competence. Fourth, explore the client's cultural identities, goals, and understanding of the situation. Fifth, consider alternative actions and their likely benefits and harms. Sixth, consult a supervisor or qualified colleague while protecting confidentiality. Finally, document the reasoning and review the outcome.

This process does not produce an automatic answer. Ethical principles can conflict. Autonomy may

support a client's choice, while nonmaleficence requires attention to foreseeable harm. Beneficence calls for helpful action, while justice requires fair access and freedom from discrimination. The counselor's responsibility is to make these tensions explicit rather than disguising a personal preference as professional expertise.

Richard and the Client Expected to Care for Aging Parents

Richard is counseling an Asian male client and strongly encourages him to attend college even though the client is expected to care for aging parents. The cultural description is incomplete. "Asian" includes many national, ethnic, linguistic, religious, and family traditions. Some clients may value filial responsibility deeply; others may resist it; many may experience both commitment and frustration. A counselor should not use Hofstede's country-level collectivism scores to predict the individual client's decision (Hook et al., 2013).

How Nonmaleficence May Be Violated

Nonmaleficence means avoiding unnecessary harm. Richard may violate it if he treats college as the unquestionably superior choice and dismisses the client's family responsibilities. Pressure could intensify guilt, family conflict, financial instability, or the loss of an important identity and support system. It could also communicate that the counselor views interdependence as immature and individual separation as healthier.

The harm does not arise merely because Richard mentions college. Education may be genuinely important to the client, and family expectations can be coercive. The ethical problem is premature direction without understanding the client's own goals, constraints, and alternatives. Richard could also cause harm by romanticizing filial duty and never asking whether the client is being exploited. Cultural humility requires protecting autonomy in both directions.

Culturally Responsive Exploration

Richard might ask: "What does caring for your parents mean to you?" "Which parts feel chosen, and which feel imposed?" "What do you hope college would make possible?" "How would your family respond?" "Are there siblings, relatives, community resources, part-time programs, online options, or delayed enrollment that could reduce the conflict?" These questions transform the issue from college versus culture into a collaborative exploration of values and feasible arrangements.

The counselor should also consider structural realities. Tuition, immigration status, work, transportation, language, caregiving services, and discrimination can affect the options. If the client wishes, family sessions may support negotiation, but Richard should not involve relatives without

informed consent. The final decision belongs to the client.

Countertransference and the Need for Approval

Countertransference refers broadly to the counselor's emotional, cognitive, or behavioral responses to the client that are shaped partly by the counselor's own history and unresolved needs. Such reactions are not proof of incompetence. They become ethically concerning when they are unrecognized and influence treatment. A need for approval can cause a therapist to avoid difficult feedback, extend sessions, over-disclose, rescue the client, or interpret dependence as therapeutic success.

Original Example

Imagine a counselor who grew up feeling invisible in a highly critical family. She begins working with a client who frequently praises her and says she is the only person who understands him. The counselor feels unusually energized by the sessions. When the client repeatedly avoids agreed tasks and sends nonurgent messages late at night, she answers immediately because she fears that setting limits will make him withdraw his admiration. She also discourages referral to a specialist, telling herself that continuity is best.

The client may initially experience the counselor as exceptionally caring, but the pattern can create harm. The counselor's need to remain special encourages dependency, weakens boundaries, and delays more appropriate care. Her emotional reward is beginning to replace the client's treatment goals.

Appropriate Response

The counselor should notice the pattern, review documentation, and seek supervision or consultation. She can restore clear communication hours, discuss the purpose of boundaries, and assess whether referral or coordinated care is needed. Personal therapy may help her understand why disapproval feels threatening. Countertransference should be used as information, not acted out. For example, a strong desire to rescue may suggest that the client evokes helplessness, but the interpretation must be tested rather than assumed.

Marriage, Spiritual Conviction, and Value Imposition

A counselor is working with a 35-year-old Hispanic-American woman who describes a miserable marriage and believes marriage is lifelong. The counselor suggests leaving. Whether this is value imposition depends on how the suggestion was made, what risks are present, and whether

alternatives were explored. It is not inherently unethical to discuss separation or divorce, especially if abuse or danger is present. It is unethical to treat divorce as the only rational response because the counselor personally prioritizes individual fulfillment over spiritual commitment.

“Hispanic-American” also does not reveal the client’s complete cultural context. National origin, generation, language, immigration experience, denomination, family role, economic dependence, and community support may all matter. The counselor should not assume that every Hispanic client is Catholic, familistic, or opposed to divorce.

Questions the Counselor Must Clarify

The first question is safety. Does “miserable” refer to emotional distance, repeated conflict, coercive control, physical violence, sexual violence, threats, or financial abuse? Couples work may be contraindicated when one partner uses coercive control because disclosure in therapy can increase danger. The counselor should conduct an appropriate private assessment and provide options without pressuring the client.

If there is no immediate danger, the counselor can ask what lifelong marriage means spiritually and personally, what changes the client hopes for, what has been tried, and which boundaries are consistent with her beliefs. Options may include individual therapy, couples counseling when safe, consultation with a trusted faith leader chosen by the client, temporary separation, practical planning, or remaining while setting specific conditions. The counselor should not promise that therapy will repair the relationship.

Spiritual Competence

Spiritual beliefs can be sources of meaning, resilience, community, and moral direction. They can also become entangled with shame or pressure from others. Competent counseling neither dismisses religion nor automatically treats a religious authority as decisive. The client decides whether and how spirituality enters treatment. If the counselor lacks knowledge, consultation or referral can supplement care, provided the client is not abandoned or sent away merely because her beliefs differ from the counselor’s.

Why Counselors May Seek Their Own Therapy

Personal therapy can help counselors understand recurring emotional patterns, grief, trauma, relationship difficulties, or stress that affects clinical work. It can also provide direct experience of vulnerability, boundaries, uncertainty, and the power difference involved in being a client. However, undergoing therapy does not automatically make someone ethical or culturally competent, and not every training jurisdiction requires personal therapy.

The ethical reason to seek help is functional: counselors must monitor whether personal problems impair professional judgment or effectiveness. Therapy is one option alongside supervision, consultation, medical care, rest, workload adjustment, and leave. The appropriate response depends on the problem.

Original Example Indicating a Need for Personal Treatment

A counselor loses a parent after a prolonged illness and soon begins treating several caregivers. During sessions, he becomes tearful, repeatedly compares clients' stories with his own, and feels angry when they express resentment toward an ill relative. He starts avoiding documentation and dreads appointments. Supervision helps him recognize that unresolved grief is narrowing his ability to listen. Personal therapy and a temporary reduction in caregiver cases allow him to process the loss and protect clients from reactions that belong to his own experience.

Seeking therapy in this situation is not professional failure. Continuing unchanged while impairment grows would be more concerning. The counselor should arrange continuity of care and disclose only what is necessary when transferring or rescheduling clients.

Self-Care, Impairment, and Professional Responsibility

Self-care is sometimes described as an individual wellness routine, but professional sustainability also depends on workload, supervision, organizational culture, pay, discrimination, and exposure to trauma. An employee cannot meditate away an unsafe caseload. Counselors and agencies share responsibility for monitoring burnout and secondary traumatic stress.

Warning signs may include boundary drift, irritability, repeated errors, emotional numbness, avoidance, excessive identification, substance misuse, or inability to concentrate. A counselor should seek consultation early. If impairment threatens clients, the counselor must limit, suspend, or transfer work until competence is restored.

Avoiding Cultural Stereotyping

Multicultural competence does not mean matching a client to a cultural fact sheet. Statements such as "Asian people are collectivist" or "Hispanic women value marriage" can alert a counselor to possible themes, but they become stereotypes when treated as conclusions. Culture is dynamic, intersectional, and interpreted differently by each person.

A useful stance combines informed curiosity with humility. The counselor learns about history and structural inequality while asking the client what applies. The counselor also examines power: who defines normality, whose communication style is rewarded, and whether institutional rules disadvantage the client. Cultural responsiveness includes advocacy when barriers outside the therapy room undermine treatment goals.

Documentation and Consultation

Ethical documentation should record the client's stated goals, relevant cultural and spiritual factors, risk assessment, alternatives discussed, consultation obtained, and the rationale for decisions. Notes should avoid judgmental labels and unverified cultural interpretations. Consultation is especially valuable when values conflict or the counselor feels unusually invested in one outcome.

Confidentiality remains in force during consultation. Identifying details should be minimized unless disclosure is authorized or legally required. A consultant assists reasoning but does not transfer responsibility from the treating counselor.

Conclusion

The four counseling situations show how easily a helpful intention can become value imposition. Richard may harm his client if he assumes that individual educational advancement must override family care. A therapist's need for approval can create dependency and boundary violations. Advising a married client requires safety assessment and respect for spiritual conviction rather than automatic endorsement or rejection of divorce. Counselors may need personal therapy when grief, trauma, or unresolved patterns impair their work.

Multicultural ethical practice is a continuing method of inquiry. It requires structured decision-making, cultural humility, supervision, attention to systems, and respect for client autonomy. The counselor's task is not to produce a life that resembles the counselor's own. It is to help the client make informed decisions within a relationship that protects dignity, safety, and freedom.

References

American Counseling Association. (2014). *ACA code of ethics*. Author.

American Counseling Association. (n.d.). *Multicultural and social justice counseling competencies*. https://www.counseling.org/resources/competencies

Hook, J. N., Davis, D. E., Owen, J., Worthington, E. L., Jr., & Utsey, S. O. (2013). Cultural humility: Measuring openness to culturally diverse clients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 60*(3), 353–366.

Kring, A. M., Johnson, S. L., Davison, G. C., & Neale, J. M. (2010). *Abnormal psychology*. Wiley.

Ratts, M. J., Singh, A. A., Nassar-McMillan, S., Butler, S. K., & McCullough, J. R. (2016). Multicultural and social justice counseling competencies. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development, 44*(1), 28–48.