“Metaphysics: Existence And Human Life” And “The Epistemology Of Religion”

Posted on October 23, 2019

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/metaphysics-existence-and-human-life-and-the-epistemology-of-religion/

Introduction

This session brought together two areas that are related but should not be confused: metaphysics and the epistemology of religion. Metaphysics asks what exists and what reality is fundamentally like. Religious epistemology asks whether and how religious beliefs can be justified or known. The original reflection treats both subjects mainly as support for belief in God and then moves quickly into ethics and politics. I now see that the philosophical questions are wider and that agreement with a conclusion is not the same as understanding the argument (van Inwagen et al., 2023; Forrest, 2025).

My learning from the session is that philosophy can examine religious commitment seriously without assuming either that faith is irrational or that a religious claim is automatically true. Metaphysical views influence how people understand persons, causation, freedom, death, and God. Epistemological views influence what they count as evidence, testimony, experience, and rational trust. This paper reflects on those connections while distinguishing them from moral and political philosophy (van Inwagen et al., 2023; Forrest, 2025).

What Metaphysics Studies

Metaphysics is traditionally concerned with being, first causes, substance, identity, time, possibility, and dependence. Contemporary metaphysics also examines properties, events, causation, modality, mind, and the relation between fundamental and derivative realities. It is not simply a discussion of supernatural objects (van Inwagen et al., 2023).

A materialist who believes that only physical reality exists is making a metaphysical claim. An idealist who treats mind as fundamental is also making one. A theist who believes creation depends on God has a different metaphysical account. The field provides concepts for comparing these positions rather than deciding in advance that one is philosophical and the others are not (van Inwagen et al., 2023).

Existence and Ways of Asking What Is Real

The word “exists” appears simple until it is applied to different cases. A chair exists, but what about a number, a law, a fictional character, a corporation, or a moral duty? These things do not all occupy space in the same way, yet ordinary reasoning treats some of them as real (van Inwagen et al., 2023).

The session helped me understand that metaphysics asks about categories and dependence. A corporation may depend on legal practices and human agreement. A shadow depends on an object and light. A created universe, in a theistic view, depends on God. Explaining dependence is different from merely listing objects (van Inwagen et al., 2023; Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Human Identity

Questions about human life become metaphysical when we ask what makes a person the same person across time. The body changes, beliefs change, and memory is incomplete. Possible answers emphasize bodily continuity, psychological continuity, soul, narrative, or relationships.

This issue affects how I understand responsibility and hope. If identity is connected with memory, what happens when memory is severely impaired? If the person is a soul, how does the soul relate to the body? If identity is bodily, what does resurrection mean? Religious claims about life after death depend on a metaphysical account even when the account is not stated.

Mind and Body

The mind–body problem asks whether mental states are identical with physical brain states, produced by them, or related in another way. My earlier reflection assumed that a spiritual dimension automatically solved the problem. The course made me more cautious.

Dualism preserves a distinction between mind and body but must explain interaction. Physicalism connects mental life with the brain but faces questions about consciousness, meaning, and first-person experience. Other positions treat mind as emergent, functional, or fundamental. Philosophy does not permit me to choose only by preference; each position should be evaluated for coherence and explanatory strength.

Causation, Freedom, and Divine Knowledge

Human freedom is connected with causation. If every event is determined by prior physical conditions, in what sense could a person have acted otherwise? Compatibilists argue that freedom

can consist in acting from one's reasons without external compulsion, even in a determined world. Libertarian accounts require a stronger form of alternative possibility or agent causation (Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Theology adds another issue: if God knows every future act, can a person act freely? One response distinguishes knowledge from causation; knowing an act does not force it. Other approaches reconsider divine timelessness, open future possibilities, or middle knowledge. I learned that a religious doctrine can generate philosophical questions that believers answer in different ways (Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Death and the Meaning of Life

Metaphysics can clarify what death is: biological cessation, loss of consciousness, separation of soul and body, or another transition. It cannot by itself determine how grief should feel or what a life is worth. Those questions also involve ethics, religion, psychology, and personal meaning.

I am attracted to the idea that human life has a purpose beyond immediate achievement. Philosophy asks whether purpose must come from a creator, can be constructed through commitments, or emerges from relationships and values. Even if I affirm divine purpose, I should explain how that belief affects action rather than using "purpose" as an empty comforting word.

What Religious Epistemology Studies

Religious epistemology concerns the rational status of beliefs about God, revelation, miracles, religious experience, and tradition. The Stanford Encyclopedia article is not a work by Plato, as the original reference incorrectly suggests. It is a contemporary survey of debates including evidentialism and more permissive accounts of justified religious belief (Forrest, 2025).

The field asks whether belief in God needs arguments, whether experience can justify it, how testimony functions, and how disagreement should affect confidence. These are epistemological questions because they concern justification and knowledge rather than only what exists (Forrest, 2025).

Evidentialism

Evidentialism broadly holds that a person should proportion belief to evidence. In religious contexts, evidence may include cosmological or design arguments, moral experience, historical testimony, religious experience, and apparent miracles. Critics ask whether the evidence is strong enough and whether alternative explanations are better (Forrest, 2025; Swinburne, 2004).

I value evidentialism because it discourages believing claims merely because they are comforting. It also creates responsibility for the consequences of belief. However, strict evidentialism can be difficult to define. Much ordinary knowledge depends on memory, perception, and testimony without a formal argument. The debate concerns which sources can provide basic justification (Forrest, 2025).

Properly Basic Religious Belief

Reformed epistemologists argue that belief in God may be rational without being inferred from other propositions, much as belief in an external world or other minds can be rationally basic. This does not mean any belief is automatically justified. A belief-forming process may need to function properly in an appropriate environment and remain open to defeating evidence (Plantinga, 2000).

This view helped me understand why some believers do not experience faith as the conclusion of a philosophical proof. Their belief may arise through worship, conscience, creation, or a sense of divine presence. The philosophical question is whether that experience has epistemic authority and how errors can be recognized (Plantinga, 2000).

Religious Experience

Religious experiences range from a quiet sense of presence to visions, conversion, awe, or perceived answers to prayer. First-person experience can be powerful evidence for the person who has it, but it may be difficult to transfer to others (Forrest, 2025).

Experiences also occur in conflicting traditions and can be influenced by expectation, culture, neurological states, trauma, or group pressure. These facts do not prove that every experience is false, but they justify careful interpretation. I should distinguish the experience itself from the explanation placed upon it (Forrest, 2025).

Testimony and Tradition

Religious knowledge is often transmitted through scripture, family, clergy, scholars, and community practices. Testimony is necessary because no individual witnesses the founding events of an ancient tradition. The rational question is how testimony is assessed (Forrest, 2025).

Relevant factors include the age and transmission of sources, independence of witnesses, genre, institutional interests, consistency, and alternative accounts. Trust in a community can be reasonable, but institutions can also preserve error or silence criticism. Loyalty should not remove the need for accountability.

Faith as Belief, Trust, and Commitment

Faith can mean believing propositions, trusting God, remaining loyal, or committing one's life. These dimensions are connected but not identical. A person might believe that God exists without trusting God, while another might act in trust while struggling with intellectual doubt (Forrest, 2025).

This distinction made the subject more realistic for me. Religious life is not measured only by certainty. Commitment can continue through questioning, though honest commitment should not pretend that uncertainty has disappeared.

Religious Disagreement

Intelligent and sincere people reach incompatible religious conclusions. Some are theists, others atheists or agnostics, and religious traditions disagree about revelation and salvation. This disagreement should produce humility, but it does not prove that no position is true (Forrest, 2025).

I should ask whether I have considered the strongest alternative view and whether my confidence comes partly from upbringing or social environment. Everyone is influenced by context, including skeptics. Awareness of influence encourages examination rather than automatic relativism.

The Problem of Evil

Suffering presents both a metaphysical and epistemological challenge to belief in a good and powerful God. The logical problem asks whether the beliefs are consistent. The evidential problem asks whether the amount and distribution of suffering make theism less likely (Swinburne, 2004; Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Responses appeal to freedom, soul-making, stable natural law, limited human knowledge, or redemption. Each response has strengths and limits. Abstract explanations should not be used to silence people in pain. A philosophical defense may address logical coherence without explaining a particular tragedy (Swinburne, 2004; Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Ethics Is Related but Distinct

The original reflection moves from religious epistemology to the claim that religion tells people right and wrong. Moral philosophy asks different questions: Are moral truths objective? Do they depend on divine commands, human flourishing, reason, consequences, or relationships?

Divine command theory links obligation with God's will but must address whether an action is good only because it is commanded or commanded because it is good. Natural-law and virtue approaches

offer other religious accounts. Nonreligious moral realism and constructivism also exist. Religion can motivate moral action, but the existence of morality should not be treated as a simple proof of one religious system (Moreland & Craig, 2017).

Political Philosophy Is Also Distinct

Political philosophy concerns legitimate authority, rights, justice, liberty, and collective decision-making. Religious convictions can influence political views, but diverse citizens need reasons and institutions that protect equal standing.

I continue to value freedom of religion and freedom of speech. Those rights protect believers, doubters, and minority traditions. Political order is not made just simply by giving authority to people who claim religious certainty. Accountability and limits remain necessary.

How My View Changed

Before the session, I tended to merge metaphysics, religion, ethics, and politics into one general philosophy of life. I now see why the distinctions matter. A claim that God exists is metaphysical. A claim that belief in God is justified is epistemological. A claim about what God commands is ethical or theological. A claim about the state's authority is political.

Separating questions does not make them unrelated. It prevents one conclusion from being assumed as proof of another. This clearer structure has strengthened rather than weakened my ability to explain my worldview.

Practical Value

Religious epistemology encourages me to evaluate sources, remain open to correction, and treat disagreement respectfully. Metaphysics reminds me that everyday statements about persons, freedom, and purpose contain deeper assumptions.

These habits are useful beyond academic philosophy. They improve conversations in which people use the same words—faith, evidence, freedom, truth—while meaning different things. Clarification can reduce unnecessary conflict and reveal the real disagreement.

Conclusion

Metaphysics studies the fundamental structure of reality, including existence, identity, causation, mind, freedom, and possible divine dependence. The epistemology of religion studies whether

religious beliefs can be justified through evidence, experience, testimony, basic belief, or faith. Neither field is simply a declaration that God exists or that religion creates social order (van Inwagen et al., 2023; Forrest, 2025).

I remain sympathetic to a religious understanding of human purpose, but the session taught me to hold that view with greater conceptual care. Faith can seek understanding, evidence can be interpreted without pretending to be neutral, and uncertainty can coexist with commitment. The most important lesson is that philosophical reflection requires distinctions: between reality and knowledge, belief and trust, moral obligation and political authority. Those distinctions make dialogue more honest and conviction more responsible.

References

van Inwagen, P., Sullivan, M., & Bernstein, S. (2023). Metaphysics. In E. N. Zalta & U. Nodelman (Eds.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2023 ed.). Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2023/entries/metaphysics/

Forrest, P. (2025). The epistemology of religion. In E. N. Zalta & U. Nodelman (Eds.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/religion-epistemology/

Plantinga, A. (2000). *Warranted Christian belief*. Oxford University Press.

Swinburne, R. (2004). *The existence of God* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Moreland, J. P., & Craig, W. L. (2017). *Philosophical foundations for a Christian worldview* (2nd ed.). InterVarsity Press.