Les Demoiselles d’Avignon and The Nutcracker Analysis

Posted on January 21, 2020

Introduction: Comparing Two Modern Cultural Works

Pablo Picasso’s *Les Demoiselles d’Avignon* and the ballet *The Nutcracker* belong to different media, periods, and artistic problems. Picasso’s 1907 oil painting breaks apart the conventions of the nude, perspective, and unified style. *The Nutcracker*, premiered in 1892 with music by Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikovsky, develops a theatrical world through orchestration, choreography, scenery, character, and dance. Comparing them is useful because each transforms familiar material. Picasso reworks the Western nude and confrontational encounter, while the ballet reshapes a darker literary tale into spectacle, childhood fantasy, and musical memory. Their meanings emerge through form as much as through subject matter. One work is encountered as a preserved museum object, whereas the other exists through repeated performance and institutional reinvention (“Museum of Modern Art”, n.d.).

Les Demoiselles d’Avignon: Basic Description

The painting depicts five nude women in a compressed interior associated through its title with sex workers from Barcelona’s Carrer d’Avinyó. A still life appears at the lower centre, but conventional depth is disrupted. Bodies are constructed from angular planes, and the women’s gazes confront the viewer rather than offering passive idealized beauty. The two figures on the right have masklike faces commonly discussed in relation to African and Oceanic objects Picasso encountered in Paris, while other features draw on Iberian sculpture and European painting. The work is large, aggressive, and stylistically inconsistent by design. Its contradictions record Picasso’s attempt to invent a new pictorial language.

Space and the Rejection of Perspective

European painting had long used linear perspective, modelling, and anatomical continuity to create an illusion of stable space. Picasso compresses foreground and background so that the figures appear to push toward the surface while also twisting through impossible viewpoints. The seated figure on the right displays back, face, and frontal gaze in a single configuration. This spatial instability anticipates Cubism without representing its fully developed analytic method. The viewer cannot stand comfortably outside the scene. Perspective no longer organizes the bodies into a coherent world; it

becomes one of the conventions the painting challenges. Form therefore creates psychological confrontation rather than neutral observation.

The Gaze, Gender, and Viewer Position

The women look outward with an intensity that reverses the traditional relation between viewer and nude. They are displayed, yet they also appear to inspect or threaten the person looking. Scholars have debated whether this shift gives the figures agency or whether the painting still objectifies women through a male artist's fearful and erotic imagination. The brothel setting, fruit, sharp forms, and masklike faces create a charged atmosphere involving desire, disease anxiety, sexuality, and danger. A responsible analysis should not treat the figures as realistic portraits of sex workers. They function within a constructed scene shaped by modernist experimentation and unequal gendered power.

Primitivism and Colonial Context

Picasso's engagement with African and Oceanic art contributed to the painting's antinaturalism, but the older term "primitive" reflects colonial hierarchies that classified non-European cultures as earlier or less developed. European artists borrowed forms from objects removed, traded, or displayed through imperial institutions while often ignoring their makers and meanings. MoMA connects the rightmost faces with Picasso's visit to the Musée d'Ethnographie du Trocadéro. Recognizing that influence should not reduce diverse African works to anonymous masks used to renew European art. Contemporary analysis must examine both formal innovation and the unequal systems that made cultural objects available for appropriation and reinterpretation in Paris.

The Painting's Place in Modernism

Picasso produced hundreds of studies before completing the painting, which was not publicly exhibited for years. Friends and fellow artists reacted with confusion or hostility, yet the work became a cornerstone of modernism. Its importance does not lie in being the first Cubist painting in a simple chronological sense. It acts as a laboratory in which different approaches to body, space, line, and cultural reference collide. Georges Braque's later collaboration with Picasso developed Cubism through more systematic fragmentation and multiple viewpoints. *Les Demoiselles* remains powerful because the process of rupture is visible. The painting does not solve its contradictions; it turns them into the source of energy.

The Nutcracker: Origins and Structure

The Nutcracker was commissioned for the Imperial Theatres and paired with Tchaikovsky's opera *Iolanta*. Its story derives from E. T. A. Hoffmann's "The Nutcracker and the Mouse King" through Alexandre Dumas's adapted version. Marius Petipa prepared the scenario, but illness limited his involvement and Lev Ivanov is generally credited with much of the original choreography. The ballet moves from a Christmas gathering to battle and then to a fantasy kingdom of dances. Modern productions vary in names, narrative details, and whether the central child is called Clara or Marie. The score provides continuity even when choreography and staging change significantly (Hoffmann, n.d.).

Music as Narrative and Atmosphere

Tchaikovsky's score creates character and setting through melody, rhythm, orchestral colour, and dance form. The celesta's distinctive sound in the Dance of the Sugar Plum Fairy suggests delicate, unfamiliar brilliance. March rhythms organize the children's world and toy soldiers, while expanding orchestration transforms the battle and journey. The Waltz of the Snowflakes uses chorus and repeated motion to create a landscape rather than advance plot through dialogue. Music allows the ballet to move between domestic detail, comic action, threat, and dreamlike display. Its popularity demonstrates how orchestral motifs can become culturally independent of the original staging, appearing in concerts, films, advertising, and seasonal ritual.

Dance, Character, and Spectacle

Ballet communicates through bodies moving in designed time and space. Individual variations display technical identity, while ensemble dances create symmetry, scale, and celebration. The second act often presents a sequence of national or character dances offered as entertainment to the central child. These sections can showcase musical contrast and virtuosity but also reproduce nineteenth-century stereotypes through costume, gesture, and imagined geography. Contemporary companies revise choreography and design to address caricature, race, and representation. Because *The Nutcracker* is a performance tradition rather than one fixed object, each production makes ethical and aesthetic choices about which inherited conventions to preserve, reinterpret, or remove.

Childhood, Fantasy, and Ambiguity

The ballet is often marketed as innocent holiday entertainment, yet its literary source contains unsettling transformation, danger, and uncertainty between dream and reality. Productions differ in how much darkness they retain. Some emphasize a child's imaginative growth, while others suggest romantic awakening, family memory, or escape from social order. The battle with mice and the

strange expansion of ordinary objects reveal that the familiar home can become unstable. This ambiguity is one reason the ballet supports repeated reinterpretation. A production can be comic, frightening, nostalgic, psychologically complex, or spectacularly decorative while using the same musical foundation. The dream framework permits choreographers to move between emotional development and theatrical display without settling every event as literal reality.

Formal Comparison: Fragmentation and Transformation

The painting and ballet both transform conventional forms, but they do so differently. Picasso makes one static image feel unstable by combining incompatible viewpoints, angular anatomy, and confrontational gazes. *The Nutcracker* uses temporal sequence: a familiar room changes into a battlefield and then a fantasy kingdom. Fragmentation in the painting disrupts visual coherence, while variety in the ballet organizes a succession of dances through musical continuity. Picasso denies the viewer a comfortable illusion of depth. The ballet often invites immersion through scenery, movement, and melody. One confronts the spectator with rupture; the other carries the audience across transformations in time.

Audience, Institution, and Cultural Canon

Both works became canonical through institutions. MoMA's acquisition and exhibition helped establish *Les Demoiselles d'Avignon* as a central work of modern art. Ballet companies, schools, orchestras, donors, and seasonal audiences turned *The Nutcracker* into an economic and cultural tradition, especially in North America. Canonical status can encourage deep study while obscuring other artists and histories. Museums and companies decide how works are interpreted, who performs them, and which contexts are explained. Contemporary presentation should address colonial borrowing, gender, labour, race, and changing reception rather than treating greatness as a timeless quality detached from institutions and power. Canon formation is therefore an ongoing process of preservation, education, funding, criticism, and repeated public exposure rather than a neutral discovery of value ("Museum of Modern Art", n.d.).

Material Object versus Living Repertory

Les Demoiselles d'Avignon is a physical painting whose conservation aims to preserve Picasso's completed object while respecting evidence of his process. *The Nutcracker* survives as music, notation, memory, choreography, and repeated performance. No production is identical to the 1892 premiere, and variation is part of the work's life. This difference affects interpretation. The painting's surface, scale, pigment, and brushwork remain central evidence. Ballet analysis must specify which production, dancers, design, and choreography are being discussed. A general account can study the

score and historical scenario, but performance choices determine how the narrative and cultural stereotypes appear to a particular audience.

Conclusion

Les Demoiselles d'Avignon and *The Nutcracker* demonstrate two ways art can reshape inherited conventions. Picasso compresses space, fractures anatomy, challenges idealized beauty, and draws unequally from non-European objects within a colonial context. Tchaikovsky, Petipa, Ivanov, and later companies create a performance world in which music and dance transform domestic reality into danger and fantasy. The painting remains a confrontational object, while the ballet remains a changing repertory tradition. Comparing them reveals that modern cultural importance is not only a matter of subject. It depends on how form reorganizes the viewer's or audience's experience and how institutions continue to interpret the work.

References

1. Museum of Modern Art. "Pablo Picasso: *Les Demoiselles d'Avignon*, Paris, June–July 1907."
2. Museum of Modern Art. "Les Demoiselles d'Avignon: History of the Painting and Working Process."
3. Rubin, William, et al. *Les Demoiselles d'Avignon*. Museum of Modern Art, 1994.
4. Hoffmann, E. T. A. "The Nutcracker and the Mouse King." 1816.
5. Tchaikovsky, Pyotr Ilyich. *The Nutcracker*, Op. 71. 1892.
6. The Marius Petipa Society. "The Nutcracker: History and Creation."
7. Wiley, Roland John. *Tchaikovsky's Ballets*. Oxford UP, 1985.