Learning Preferences Associated With Visual Learners

Posted on September 20, 2019

Introduction

The phrase “visual learner” is widely used to describe a student who prefers diagrams, demonstrations, maps, graphs, or written organization over spoken explanation. The original essay lists many sensible visual study tools, including concept maps, infographics, flashcards, and redrawing diagrams. Its central assumption requires correction, however. Research has not established that students learn better when instruction is matched to a fixed visual, auditory, or kinesthetic “learning style.” A learner may prefer a format without gaining more from it, and the most effective representation often depends on what must be learned. Geometry, anatomy, and spatial relationships benefit from visual representation; pronunciation requires sound; and a physical procedure requires guided practice. A more accurate approach treats visual tools as cognitive supports available to all learners. Teachers should combine words and well-designed visuals, reduce unnecessary complexity, assess understanding through varied tasks, and help students select strategies based on the content rather than a permanent label.

Preference Is Not the Same as Learning Effectiveness

Students commonly report that they like to see information, hear it, read it, or manipulate it. Preferences can affect motivation and comfort, so they should not be ignored. The problem begins when preference is treated as evidence that the brain can learn only or best through one channel. To support a matching theory, students classified as visual would need to learn reliably better from visual instruction than from other forms, while auditory learners would need to show the opposite pattern. Reviews of the evidence have not found consistent support for that interaction. Labeling can also narrow opportunity. A student told that they are “not an auditory learner” may avoid lectures, music, discussion, or language practice that could be valuable.

Why the Visual-Learner Idea Feels Persuasive

The idea survives partly because it contains an intuitive truth: good visual explanations often improve understanding. A clear diagram can reveal relationships that are difficult to express in a long paragraph. People also remember striking images and can describe personal experiences in which a chart made a concept clear. These observations do not prove fixed learning styles. They show that representation matters. Teachers may also notice that students differ in prior knowledge, spatial ability, attention, language, disability, and interest. Those differences are real, but they should be assessed directly rather than compressed into one preference category. The appeal of a simple label should not replace more useful questions about the learner and the task.

Match the Representation to the Content

The form of instruction should be selected according to the structure of the subject. A map is appropriate when location and distance matter. A timeline supports chronology, a graph supports quantitative trends, and an anatomical diagram supports spatial organization. Sound is essential for music, speech, and pronunciation. Physical demonstration and practice are central when learning clinical, laboratory, artistic, or mechanical procedures. Some material requires several representations because each answers a different question. A chemical equation may show symbolic balance, a particle diagram may show molecular change, and a laboratory observation may show visible evidence. Effective teaching matches representations to knowledge rather than matching media to a personality label.

Dual Coding

Dual-coding theory proposes that verbal and nonverbal representations can support learning when they are meaningfully connected. A diagram accompanied by concise explanation may create more retrieval routes than either form alone. The benefit does not come from adding decorative pictures. The visual must represent information relevant to the learning goal, and the words should guide attention to the important relationship. Students also benefit when they translate between modes, such as drawing a process described in text or explaining a graph in words. Translation requires them to organize meaning rather than copy appearance.

Working Memory and Cognitive Load

Working memory has limited capacity, especially when a learner encounters unfamiliar material. A cluttered slide filled with text, icons, animation, and unrelated images can overload attention. Visual design should reduce unnecessary processing, organize essential elements, and reveal relationships gradually. Segmenting a complex diagram into stages may help a novice, while an expert may prefer the complete system. Redundant presentation can also be harmful. Reading dense text aloud while displaying the same text may force learners to process competing verbal information. Teachers should use visuals to clarify, not to decorate or impress.

Principles of Multimedia Learning

Richard Mayer’s research on multimedia learning identifies principles that can improve combinations of words and pictures. Relevant material should be placed near the visual element it explains. Unnecessary music, images, and text should be removed. Important parts can be signaled through headings, arrows, or emphasis. Complex lessons can be divided into learner-controlled segments, and key terms may be introduced before the full explanation. Conversational but precise language can reduce distance. These principles do not guarantee success in every context, but they provide a stronger basis for design than the assumption that some students are permanently visual.

Diagrams and Scientific Models

In biology, physics, geography, and engineering, diagrams can make invisible or large-scale processes available for inspection. Their simplification is useful but potentially misleading. A cell diagram may exaggerate organelle size, a circuit symbol is not shaped like the physical component, and a food web leaves out many interactions. Teachers should explain what the model represents and what it omits. Students can compare diagrams with photographs, data, and physical examples. Asking learners to critique a model develops understanding more effectively than asking them only to reproduce it.

Graphs and Data Literacy

Graphs support comparison, pattern recognition, and reasoning about change. They also require specific literacy. Students must understand axes, units, scale, variables, uncertainty, and the difference between association and causation. A graph is not automatically easier than text. Misleading scales or crowded categories can produce false conclusions. Teachers should model how to read from title to source, identify what was measured, describe the pattern, and then interpret cautiously. Students should create graphs from data and explain why they selected a particular form. Production reveals whether they understand the relationship or merely recognize a familiar image.

Concept Maps

Concept maps show ideas as nodes connected by labeled relationships. They are useful for identifying hierarchy, cause, sequence, comparison, and missing connections. A map becomes less effective when it is presented as a finished poster to memorize. Learners gain more when they construct, revise, and explain their own maps. The teacher can provide a partial structure for novices and remove support gradually. Comparing maps also reveals different interpretations and misconceptions. Because the relationship labels carry meaning, a map should contain verbs or phrases such as “causes,” “requires,” or “is an example of,” not only lines between terms.

Infographics

An infographic combines text, image, layout, and data to communicate efficiently. It can summarize a process or make a public message accessible, but compression creates risk. Important qualifications may be removed, visual scale can exaggerate differences, and attractive design can make weak evidence appear authoritative. Students should evaluate source, date, units, and selection before trusting an infographic. Creating one can be an excellent assignment when the student must cite evidence and justify design choices. The learning goal should be accurate communication rather than visual polish alone.

Videos and Animation

Video can show movement, procedure, change over time, and expert demonstration. It may also create an illusion of learning because information feels easy to follow while playing. Students benefit when video is segmented, paired with questions, and followed by retrieval or application. Captions improve access but should be accurate. Playback control allows review, yet repeated watching without active processing is often less effective than pausing to predict, explain, or reproduce a step. Animation is particularly useful for dynamic processes, but it should not move so quickly that the learner cannot inspect causal relationships.

Sketching and Redrawing

Redrawing can support learning when it requires reconstruction from memory and explanation. Copying a detailed image line by line may consume time without improving conceptual understanding. A better method is to study the model, close it, draw the essential components, label relationships, and then compare the result with the source. Errors become feedback. Students do not need artistic talent; simple shapes and arrows are sufficient. The purpose is to externalize a mental model and make it available for correction.

Flashcards and Retrieval Practice

Flashcards are often recommended to visual learners, but their main benefit comes from retrieval practice rather than visual preference. Looking repeatedly at the front and back creates familiarity, while attempting an answer before checking strengthens recall. Cards can include images when image recognition is part of the goal, such as anatomy or art history. They should also require explanation and application rather than only one-word definitions. Spacing practice over time and mixing related topics improve retention more than studying one stack continuously in a single session.

Note-Taking and Visual Organization

Structured notes can make hierarchy visible through headings, indentation, tables, timelines, and diagrams. Color may help signal categories if used consistently, but excessive highlighting can become decoration. Students should select a system that helps them distinguish central ideas, evidence, examples, and questions. Effective notes are transformed rather than transcribed. After class, a student might summarize the main argument, draw one relationship, and create two retrieval questions. The value lies in processing and later use, not in producing attractive pages for their own sake.

Universal Design for Learning

Universal Design for Learning encourages multiple means of engagement, representation, and action. This framework differs from learning-style matching because it does not assign one mode permanently to each student. It gives learners accessible options while maintaining clear goals. A teacher may offer text, audio, diagrams, captions, tactile models, and demonstrations, then allow students to show learning through an appropriate range of formats. Choices need boundaries so that every product still demonstrates the required knowledge. Accessibility should be planned from the beginning, especially for students with visual, auditory, motor, language, or cognitive disabilities.

Visual Impairment and the Language of “Visual Learners”

The original essay advises visual learners to have their eyesight checked. Vision screening is important when a student shows difficulty seeing text or the board, but learning preference is not evidence of an eye disorder. Moreover, visual teaching must not exclude blind or low-vision students. Alternative text, tactile graphics, verbal description, high contrast, scalable content, and screen-reader-compatible materials may be necessary. A diagram-heavy lesson is not inclusive merely because it appears modern. Teachers should distinguish visual representation as a pedagogical tool from vision as a sensory ability.

Assessment Should Use More Than Recognition

Students can feel that they understand a diagram because it looks familiar. Assessment should require explanation, prediction, transfer, and construction. A learner might label a new example, explain a process without the original image, interpret an unfamiliar graph, or revise an inaccurate model. These tasks reveal whether the visual representation has become conceptual knowledge. Teachers should also compare performance across methods rather than asking students which method they liked. Enjoyment supports engagement, but it does not always predict durable learning.

A Practical Strategy for Students

A student who prefers visual organization can use that preference productively without treating it as a limitation. First, identify the relationships the topic contains: sequence, hierarchy, cause, location, comparison, or quantity. Second, choose a representation that matches that relationship. Third, connect the visual with words by explaining it aloud or in writing. Fourth, retrieve the idea without looking and correct errors. Fifth, apply the concept to a new example. This sequence combines organization, dual coding, retrieval, feedback, and transfer. It is more reliable than simply surrounding oneself with colorful images.

A Practical Strategy for Teachers

Teachers should begin with learning objectives and identify where visual representation genuinely clarifies the content. They can introduce one coherent model, guide attention, invite students to explain it, and then vary examples. They should provide accessible alternatives, avoid labeling students, and collect evidence about which design improves learning. Professional development can focus on multimedia principles, accessibility, data visualization, and subject-specific models. The aim is not to make every lesson visually elaborate. Sometimes the best design is a clear explanation, one diagram, and time for students to think.

Conclusion

Visual representations can make relationships, structures, patterns, and processes easier to understand, but the benefits should not be explained through a fixed visual-learning style. Preferences are real, while the claim that matching instruction to those preferences reliably improves achievement is not supported. Diagrams, graphs, concept maps, infographics, video, sketching, and organized notes are effective when they fit the content, manage cognitive load, support retrieval, and remain accessible. Students should learn to translate between visual and verbal forms and evaluate models critically. Teachers should design flexible instruction around evidence and objectives rather than classify learners permanently. The strongest use of visual learning is therefore not “teach every visual learner with pictures.” It is “use the right representation for the idea, connect it with language and practice, and verify that understanding transfers.”

References

Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students’ learning with effective learning techniques. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 14*(1), 4–58.

Kirschner, P. A. (2017). Stop propagating the learning styles myth. *Computers & Education, 106*, 166–171.

Mayer, R. E. (2021). *Multimedia learning* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D., & Bjork, R. (2008). Learning styles: Concepts and evidence. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 9*(3), 105–119.

CAST. (2024). *Universal Design for Learning guidelines 3.0*.