Leadership And HR Strategy

Posted on September 29, 2018

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/leadership-and-hr-strategy/

Introduction

Leadership and human resource (HR) strategy are closely connected because managers shape the conditions in which people interpret goals, experience work, develop skills, and decide whether to remain with an organisation. HR strategy, in turn, provides the systems through which leadership expectations are translated into recruitment, performance management, reward, learning, succession, workforce planning, and employee relations. When leadership behaviour and HR systems point in different directions, employees receive mixed signals. When they are aligned, the organisation is more likely to create consistent expectations and sustainable performance.

This paper examines how different leadership approaches affect employee attitudes and how HR strategy can strengthen organisational performance. It uses the contrast between more directive and more participative leadership styles as an analytical framework rather than assuming that one leadership style is universally effective. Contemporary evidence suggests that effective leaders adapt to context, communicate purpose, build trust, and create conditions in which employees can contribute rather than relying on authority alone.

Strategic Human Resource Management

Strategic human resource management links people management with the long-term direction of the organisation. The Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD, 2025a) defines strategic HRM as an approach that connects people practices to organisational goals and the broader operating environment. This means HR should not function only as an administrative department responsible for contracts, payroll, and disciplinary processes. It should help the organisation anticipate skills needs, develop leaders, design work, support change, and improve employee experience.

A coherent HR strategy asks several questions. What capabilities will the organisation need in the future? Which roles are difficult to recruit or retain? How should performance be measured? What type of leadership behaviour should be rewarded? How can employees develop? What workforce risks could prevent the organisation from achieving its objectives? These questions connect workforce decisions directly to strategy.

Leadership Style and Organisational Climate

Leadership style influences the psychological and practical environment of work. Highly directive leadership can be useful when decisions must be made quickly, roles are unclear, or safety requires strict compliance. However, permanent reliance on command-and-control behaviour can reduce employee voice and discourage initiative.

Participative leadership gives employees greater opportunity to contribute to decisions and solve problems. This can improve commitment because people understand the reasoning behind goals and feel that their knowledge is valued. Yet participation also has limits. Endless consultation can delay urgent decisions, and not every operational choice requires broad agreement.

The central issue is therefore not whether leaders should always be directive or participative. Effective leadership involves judgement. Managers need to understand the capability of their teams, the urgency of the situation, the level of risk, and the degree of discretion employees require. Leadership becomes problematic when a style is used habitually without regard to context.

Leadership and Employee Attitudes

Employee attitudes are shaped by daily experiences of fairness, support, workload, recognition, communication, and trust. A leader who sets ambitious targets but provides inadequate resources may create frustration rather than high performance. Likewise, managers who expect employees to attend training while failing to attend development activities themselves can weaken the credibility of organisational learning.

Research has consistently connected leadership quality with outcomes such as job satisfaction, engagement, and organisational commitment. Voon et al. (2011), for example, found relationships between leadership styles and employee job satisfaction. Although the strength of such relationships differs across contexts, the broader lesson is that leadership behaviour affects how employees interpret the organisation.

Trust is particularly important. Employees are more willing to accept difficult decisions when leaders explain the reasons, apply standards consistently, and demonstrate competence. Where leaders appear arbitrary or self-interested, even reasonable policies may be interpreted negatively.

Motivation Beyond Financial Reward

Financial reward matters because employees expect fair compensation for their work. However, motivation cannot be reduced to bonuses. A reward that initially feels valuable may lose motivational impact if targets appear unattainable, the calculation is unclear, or employees perceive distribution as

unfair.

Pink (2011) emphasizes the importance of autonomy, mastery, and purpose in many forms of knowledge work. These ideas do not eliminate the importance of pay; instead, they show why financial incentives alone may not create sustained engagement. Employees also respond to meaningful work, opportunities to improve, recognition, supportive relationships, and reasonable control over how work is performed.

HR strategy should therefore combine competitive reward with job design, learning opportunities, recognition, and clear progression. Managers should understand what motivates different employees rather than assuming that one incentive works equally well for everyone.

Employee Engagement and Voice

Employee engagement refers to the quality of the relationship people have with their work and organisation. Surveys can help measure engagement, but conducting a survey does not itself improve anything. Employees become cynical when they repeatedly provide feedback and see no response.

Effective employee voice requires visible follow-up. Leaders should communicate what was learned, what can be changed, what cannot be changed, and why. Team meetings, employee forums, pulse surveys, suggestion systems, and consultation processes are useful only when people believe participation can influence outcomes.

The CIPD (2025b) emphasizes evidence-based people management, which is relevant here. Decisions should draw on organisational data, professional expertise, scientific research, and stakeholder perspectives rather than on management fashion or individual preference. Engagement data, turnover, absence, exit interviews, performance, and internal mobility can be examined together to identify underlying problems.

Workforce Planning and Skills

Leadership is partly about preparing the organisation for future capability requirements. Workforce planning identifies the people and skills needed to deliver strategy and compares them with the workforce likely to be available. The Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM, 2025) highlights workforce planning as a process for aligning talent needs with organisational priorities.

This is increasingly important as technology changes job design. Artificial intelligence, automation, data analytics, and digital systems may remove some tasks while increasing the importance of other capabilities. Effective HR strategy should therefore identify which skills can be developed internally, which require external recruitment, and which roles may need redesign.

Leaders play a critical role because employees interpret reskilling initiatives through management behaviour. If training is presented as an investment in people, it may increase confidence. If it is introduced without communication during restructuring, the same programme may be interpreted as preparation for job loss.

Performance Management

Performance management should connect individual work with organisational objectives while providing useful feedback. Systems that focus entirely on annual ratings can become bureaucratic and retrospective. More effective approaches combine clear expectations with regular conversations about progress, obstacles, development, and priorities.

Managers need the capability to conduct these conversations fairly. HR can design the framework, but line managers determine how employees experience it. Inconsistent treatment between teams can undermine confidence in the entire performance system.

High targets can motivate when employees understand them, possess the required resources, and believe achievement is possible. Unrealistic targets can have the opposite effect, encouraging stress, gaming of metrics, or reduced quality. Strategic HR therefore needs to examine not only what is measured but also what behaviors performance measures encourage.

Leadership Development and Succession

Organisations frequently promote technically strong employees into management without preparing them to lead people. Technical expertise is valuable, but it does not automatically produce skill in feedback, conflict resolution, delegation, coaching, inclusion, or change management.

The CIPD notes that HR has an important role in identifying and developing senior leaders. Leadership development should begin before promotion and continue afterward through coaching, mentoring, feedback, structured learning, and practical experience.

Succession planning is also important. Organisations that depend heavily on a small number of senior individuals are vulnerable when those people leave. Identifying potential successors, developing internal talent, and documenting critical knowledge reduces this risk while demonstrating that employees have opportunities for progression.

Organisational Culture and Leadership Behaviour

Culture is often described through corporate values, but employees judge culture mainly by what leaders actually reward, tolerate, and model. A company may publicly value collaboration while

rewarding only individual competition. It may promote well-being while expecting constant availability. These contradictions weaken trust.

Leadership behaviour is therefore one of the strongest mechanisms through which culture is communicated. Senior leaders establish priorities, but line managers translate those priorities into daily experience. HR strategy should reinforce desired behaviour through selection, promotion, performance management, development, and consequences for misconduct.

Recommendations for HR and Leadership Alignment

First, organisations should define a small number of leadership expectations linked directly to strategy. These expectations should describe observable behaviour rather than vague values. Second, managers should receive training in communication, feedback, employee relations, and performance conversations. Third, engagement data should be linked with turnover, absence, promotion, and productivity data to identify patterns rather than relying on survey scores alone.

Fourth, reward systems should be reviewed for fairness and unintended consequences. Bonuses should reinforce appropriate performance without becoming the only source of motivation. Fifth, workforce planning should connect recruitment and development decisions with future capability needs. Finally, leaders should be held accountable for the employee experience they create, particularly where repeated evidence indicates poor management.

Conclusion

Leadership and HR strategy are mutually reinforcing. Leaders influence employee attitudes through everyday behaviour, while HR systems determine which leadership behaviours are selected, developed, measured, and rewarded. Directive leadership can be useful in specific circumstances, but persistent authoritarian management can weaken trust, engagement, and initiative. Participative and supportive approaches can strengthen commitment when they are combined with clear accountability and decisive management.

The most effective organisations do not search for one perfect leadership style. They create a coherent people strategy in which leadership, workforce planning, reward, learning, performance management, and employee voice support the same organisational goals. HR contributes strategically when it uses evidence to help leaders build the capabilities and working conditions required for sustainable performance.

References

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. (2025a). *Strategic human resource management*. https://www.cipd.org/en/knowledge/factsheets/strategic-hrm-factsheet/

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development. (2025b). *Evidence-based practice for effective decision-making*. https://www.cipd.org/en/knowledge/factsheets/evidence-based-practice-factsheet/

Pink, D. H. (2011). *Drive: The surprising truth about what motivates us*. Riverhead Books.

Society for Human Resource Management. (2025). *Workforce planning*. https://www.shrm.org/

Voon, M. L., Lo, M. C., Ngui, K. S., & Ayob, N. B. (2011). The influence of leadership styles on employees' job satisfaction in public sector organizations in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business, Management and Social Sciences, 2*(1), 24–32.