

Justice In Today's Society

Posted on September 19, 2019

Justice can be defined in [today's society](#) in different ways. However, it is right to give the meaning of justice as the principle of trying to bring fairness as well as the ideal of equality. Truth in the debate of criminal justice as well as law enforcement department justice since it has some different definitions. It merely means that each has a different meaning. However, despite the fact that truth has some definitions, these definitions can be complicated and vast. However, according to my understanding, the person who has committed a particular crime gets punished for it. It makes the victim feel that they are worth living again after seeing that the offender has been punished for the crime they have committed.

Nevertheless, a punishment that is entitled to the criminal or the offender usually entails either a prison sentence, which can vary according to the weight of the case or the death penalty. As a student of criminal justice, it is my call and prayer that truth takes its path by punishing the offenders for the crimes they have committed. Justice is carried out by many departments or security authorities in society, such as the police, courts as well as lawmaking individuals. Respecting the rule of law is one way of safeguarding the country's democracy, and treating the victim fairly by punishing the offender will enhance democracy as well. Additionally, individuals in power are not supposed to make any decisions on other lives since they will be characterized by hate or beliefs and what the law requires. The rule of law protects each is it, politician, citizen, or people in power, and should be treated equally before the law and justice to prevail (Kunnan 10).

Justice lies in both legal and ethical political philosophy for law enforcement, which punishes offenders according to their actions. Justice is most interchanged with the term fairness in any given situation. Be it in a courtroom, workplace, or just around the streets, we all need to be treated fairly. All human beings are equal, and for the law enforcement process, all individuals should be viewed under the law as similar. The offenders also have the same rights before the law. Our origin, colour or status should be used as a tool of judgment, but we deserve equal treatment and justice. Since we all have a desire to be treated equally, justice is used as a determinant of a reasonable and just society.

However, I can state that justice up to date remains a complicated concept to pin since each has its own way of understanding what justice means. More often, individuals disagree that they have not received any truth if they were not getting treated in an equal way. Equality is seen to be a significant component of justice, and most individuals argue that even the government itself do not embrace equality. It is stated that the government should try to put into practice distributive justice in the allocation of resources such as land to all the citizens. Colour should not be a defining matter of how we enjoy our fundamental rights. This kind of disagreement on what justice is and what question leads to an unstable society, and justice personified mostly will remain blindfolded.

Mostly, issues related to justice arise in a situation where an individual or group of individuals feels

like they have not been treated fairly. Hence, for justice to take its path, society must take part in fighting such conflict and stop it by ensuring that each person is entitled to what they are supposed to have. More so, if there is justice in a society, we can be sure of a brighter future for our kids and understand what our forefathers fought for while fighting for justice and freedom from slavery.

According to the equal justice that exists in the present, as well as the parameters of equality, Whenever there is equality in social justice, it must be there and prevail; hence, no cases of injustice are experienced or heard. As per the ethical category, justice has defined a matter of fairness where similar cases get treated as well as punished similarly. For instance, all thieves are supposed to be punished the same way, no matter their race or gender. Since, in its legal perspective, it is defined as the ideal to justly recognize and treat people in accordance with their doings. The main reason why it is according to their doing is because norms, justice, and time are not equivalent. Hence, it means that an individual's judgment may be made on a case, but the public may not be happy about it. It does not seem necessary or fair in the public eye, but in the eyes of a judge or court, the judgment is administered fairly. In the world today, justice means after one is accused of committing a particular crime, they appear before a judge and present a case, and according to their presentation, a judge may decide if the person is guilty or not guilty. Therefore, the word justice will remain despite the fact that the processes of justice have changed for a particular time. As I had stated earlier, whatever can be said to be justice according to the rule of law cannot be taken into consideration as moral justice in the public eye. However, a correct punishment that will be fair to the victim should not be a matter of ethically right judgment but rather equilibrium. Real justice is an equilibrium that must come into creation between the victim and the wrongdoer. The victim must be served with justice by punishing the wrongdoer (Mika 75).

More so, for justice to take place, a crime must be equally paid, not only justly but equally. For instance, in the case where a thief has stolen money, the amount should be returned double. The same argument applies to the murderer. If one takes the life of another individual, the same case should apply to them. Therefore, justice is when punishment is equal to the crime committed. However, there are many cases where a criminal cannot pay the same for the evil they have done (Johnson 26). For instance, if it is a case of torture and starvation, the court cannot order one to be starved too and tortured as well. The same applies to the rape case; a rape criminal cannot also be raped. Additionally, in the case where a murderer has killed several people in a row, it cannot be destroyed a multiple time. That is not possible; he or she will be treated as the murderer of one victim. Hence, this is fair, and it is justice too. In conclusion, the truth is no longer viewed as a moral justice but rather a payback for what one has done, where the victims are given equal punishment for their crimes.

Works Cited

Mika, Harry, and Howard Zehr. "Fundamental concepts of restorative justice." *Restorative Justice*. Routledge, 2017. 73-81.

Kunnan, Antony John. "Fairness and justice for all." *Fairness and validation in language assessment* 9 (2000): 1-14.

Johnson, Norman J., and James H. Svara. *Justice for all: Promoting social equity in public administration*. Routledge, 2015.