International Strategies Of IKEA

Posted on September 21, 2018
Understand this essay, one question at a time.Chat with this paper ↗

Introduction

IKEA’s international strategy shows how a global retailer can preserve a recognizable business system while adapting enough to remain relevant in very different markets. Its core model combines functional design, relatively low prices, flat-pack distribution, self-service retail, room displays, and a distinctive Scandinavian identity. Those features are transferable, but the conditions that make them valuable vary across countries. Household size, apartment dimensions, car ownership, income, delivery expectations, labor costs, digital shopping habits, and willingness to assemble furniture all affect whether the model works. China provides an especially useful contrast with North America because IKEA could not simply export assumptions built around large suburban stores, private vehicles, and do-it-yourself behavior. The company needed lower prices, more local sourcing, smaller-space solutions, accessible locations, delivery, and assembly support. Internationalization therefore depends on selective adaptation: protect the elements that create the brand’s advantage while changing the elements that prevent customers from receiving that value. IKEA’s current omnichannel expansion shows that this balancing process continues rather than ending after market entry.

Why IKEA’s System Travels—and Where It Does Not

Companies pursue international strategies for growth, scale, access to resources, diversification, and learning, but foreign expansion also creates currency, legal, logistical, political, and organizational complexity (Baird et al., 1995). IKEA’s transferable advantage is not one product; it is a coordinated system of design, procurement, packaging, store experience, and customer participation. Flat packaging lowers storage and transportation requirements, while large displays help shoppers imagine products in use. The model can spread design and purchasing costs across high volumes, but those benefits disappear when the product remains expensive relative to local income or when customers cannot easily transport and assemble it. North America was relatively compatible with car-based suburban retail and DIY expectations, especially among students, renters, and younger households. China exposed more friction because many urban consumers lived in smaller apartments, relied more heavily on public transport or delivery, and often expected service to include assembly. The lesson is that standardization should protect distinctive capabilities, not force every market to accept operating assumptions created elsewhere. International strategy succeeds when local evidence determines which parts of the system must change.

China: Affordability, Space, and Service

China also demonstrates that affordability is relative rather than absolute. Prices that appear low by European or North American standards can still be high when local purchasing power and competitors differ. IKEA therefore had to increase local sourcing, redesign selected products, improve supply efficiency, and grow scale to reduce prices (Chu et al., 2013; Miller, 2004). Housing patterns required further adaptation because room displays and product dimensions need to reflect how customers actually live. A storage solution designed for a large suburban bedroom may be unattractive in a compact urban apartment, while multipurpose furniture can become more valuable where rooms serve several functions. Transportation changed the service model as well. When customers are less likely to own vehicles, delivery and accessible store locations become more important. Assembly support can also shift from an optional extra to part of the value proposition. These adaptations do not destroy IKEA’s core identity because flat-pack design, modularity, and efficient sourcing remain central. They show that localizing the customer interface can preserve the global system by removing barriers that would otherwise make the promise of affordable design inaccessible.

Omnichannel Expansion

IKEA’s recent results show how the company is expanding beyond dependence on the traditional large store. In financial year 2025, global retail sales were €44.6 billion, while online sales represented 28 percent of total IKEA sales and the company added multiple sales locations in varied formats (IKEA, 2025a, 2025b). Smaller urban stores, planning studios, pickup points, websites, applications, delivery, and services allow customers to enter the IKEA system without completing the entire traditional warehouse journey. This omnichannel model is especially useful in dense cities where a large suburban destination store is less practical. Digital tools can support room planning, inventory checking, and order placement, while physical showrooms still matter for evaluating dimensions, materials, comfort, and design. The challenge is integration. Customers lose trust if a product appears available online but is missing in store, if delivery is unreliable, or if returns differ across channels. International expansion therefore increasingly depends on shared inventory data, consistent service standards, local fulfillment capability, and a digital experience that complements rather than replaces the sensory advantages of physical retail.

Supply Chains, Imitation, and Resilience

Supply chains are another strategic source of both advantage and risk. Local sourcing can reduce tariffs, transport distance, lead time, and currency exposure while helping IKEA meet local price expectations, but it also creates obligations around product quality, labor conditions, environmental standards, and traceability. A lower purchase price is not advantageous if it produces unsafe products, unreliable materials, illegal timber sourcing, or reputational damage. Supplier standards and auditing are therefore part of international strategy rather than a separate compliance exercise. Diversification also matters because concentration in one region can magnify exposure to transport disruption, geopolitical tension, regulation, or natural disasters. Product designs that use materials efficiently and can be manufactured across several qualified suppliers increase resilience. Counterfeiting and imitation create a different challenge. Trademarks, design rights, and enforcement protect some assets, but IKEA cannot rely only on law because many design ideas can be copied or adapted. Continuous product renewal, trusted safety standards, efficient logistics, recognizable customer experience, and strong supplier relationships are harder for imitators to reproduce than the appearance of an individual chair or shelf.

Evaluating a New Market Such as Brazil

A prospective market such as Brazil illustrates why population size alone is not enough to justify entry. Brazil offers a large urban consumer base and meaningful demand for home furnishings, yet the market also presents complex taxation, regional income differences, long-distance logistics, currency volatility, local competitors, and distinct payment and delivery expectations. Before major investment, IKEA would need to decide whether direct ownership, franchise arrangements, e-commerce, planning points, smaller urban formats, or a phased regional network best fit the opportunity. Research should test price acceptance, housing patterns, installment preferences, local design expectations, sourcing options, and fulfillment economics. The company should also examine whether its affordability promise can survive import costs and whether sufficient local suppliers can meet quality and sustainability requirements. A phased approach would allow learning before extensive property and inventory commitments. The same logic applies to any new country: market entry should be a sequence of increasingly committed experiments rather than a decision driven by headline population figures. International growth creates value only when the operating system can deliver its promise under local economic and institutional conditions.

Conclusion

IKEA’s international strategy succeeds when it treats globalization as disciplined adaptation rather than simple replication. The company’s recognizable advantages—functional design, flat-pack logistics, efficient sourcing, self-service elements, and affordability—need continuity, but customers experience those advantages through local housing, transport, income, digital behavior, and service expectations. China required lower prices, local sourcing, smaller-space solutions, accessible locations, delivery, and assembly support, while North America was initially more compatible with the traditional suburban and DIY model. FY25 results also show that the company is becoming increasingly omnichannel, with €44.6 billion in retail sales and 28 percent of sales online (IKEA, 2025a, 2025b). Future expansion should therefore integrate physical formats, digital tools, resilient supply chains, and local services without diluting the core brand. New markets should be entered only after testing affordability, fulfillment, sourcing, regulation, and customer behavior. The central strategic principle is stable identity with flexible execution: standardize what creates distinctive value, localize what blocks that value, and keep learning as markets and customer expectations change.

References

Baird, I. S., Lyles, M. A., & Orris, J. B. (1995). The choice of international strategies by small businesses. *Journal of Small Business Management, 33*(1), 48–59.

Chu, V., Girdhar, A., & Sood, R. (2013). *Couching tiger tames the dragon: How IKEA adapted its strategies to expand in China*.

IKEA. (2025a). *FY25 year in review*. https://www.ikea.com/global/en/our-business/how-we-work/year-in-review-fy25/

IKEA. (2025b, October 16). *IKEA reports stable sales with increased volumes and customers, based on lower prices introduced in FY24*. https://www.ikea.com/global/en/newsroom/corporate/ikea-retail-sales-fy25-251016/

Miller, P. M. (2004). IKEA with Chinese characteristics. *China Business Review*.