

Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Posted on September 13, 2019

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/individuals-with-disabilities-education-act-idea/>

Introduction

The Individuals with Disabilities [Education Act](#) (IDEA) is the principal federal law governing special education for eligible [children with disabilities](#) in the United States. Its central purpose is not to eliminate difficult behavior or define disability through negative personal traits. IDEA makes a free appropriate public education (FAPE) available to eligible children through special education and related services designed around individual needs, while protecting the rights of children and families. The U.S. Department of Education describes the individualized education program (IEP) as the primary vehicle for providing FAPE and identifies education in the least restrictive environment as a fundamental IDEA requirement (U.S. Department of Education, 2026). The law therefore combines educational access, individualization, parent participation, procedural safeguards, and accountability. Behavior, literacy, communication, assistive technology, and related services can all become part of an IEP when evaluation shows they are necessary. The appropriate question is not how schools can normalize a child's personality, but what supports and specially designed instruction enable meaningful participation, progress, safety, and preparation for further education, employment, and independent living.

The IEP, FAPE, and Least Restrictive Environment

An IEP is a written educational program developed by a team that includes parents, relevant educators, a public-agency representative, someone able to interpret evaluation results, and others with appropriate knowledge or expertise. The team considers strengths, parent concerns, evaluation data, and the child's academic, developmental, and functional needs. The plan identifies present performance, measurable annual goals, special education, related services, supplementary aids, accommodations, and methods for monitoring progress. IDEA requires review and revision rather than treating the IEP as a compliance document placed in a file. FAPE means services are provided without charge and in conformity with an appropriate IEP, while least restrictive environment rules require students with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled peers to the maximum extent appropriate. Removal from the regular educational environment occurs only when education there cannot be achieved satisfactorily with supplementary aids and services (34 C.F.R. §§ 300.17, 300.114). Inclusion therefore is not simple physical placement. A student must have meaningful access to instruction,

communication, participation, and appropriate supports in the setting selected by the IEP team.

Behavioral Support Under IDEA

IDEA addresses behavior through individualized educational planning rather than by treating discipline as the primary intervention. The current regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 300.324(a)(2)(i) requires an IEP team, when a child's behavior impedes the child's learning or that of others, to consider positive behavioral interventions and supports and other strategies. The U.S. Department of Education's discipline guidance likewise emphasizes avoiding overreliance on exclusionary discipline when behavioral supports are needed (U.S. Department of Education, 2022). Effective support begins by defining behavior observably and examining context. A student may be escaping work that is inaccessible, seeking sensory input, reacting to trauma, trying to communicate, or lacking a more effective replacement skill. Functional behavioral assessment can examine patterns before and after incidents and develop a hypothesis about function. A behavior intervention plan can then specify prevention, instruction, reinforcement, staff responses, and data collection. Positive support does not mean absence of boundaries. It means teaching the skills needed to meet expectations while changing predictable conditions that make failure more likely.

Literacy and Communication as Individualized Instruction

Reading, writing, vocabulary, oral language, and communication can be addressed through specially designed instruction when evaluation and IEP goals identify need. A generic strategy does not become appropriate merely because it appears in a special-education toolbox. Comprehension instruction may model how to identify main ideas, ask questions, infer meaning, evaluate evidence, and summarize while reducing unnecessary decoding or language barriers. Vocabulary work can combine definitions, examples, morphology, pronunciation, and repeated use in meaningful contexts. Content-area reading also differs by discipline: science may emphasize evidence and processes, history source comparison and perspective, and mathematics compact symbolic language. Oral-language goals should recognize that speech is not the only valid communication mode; some students use sign language, augmentative and alternative communication, interpreters, speech-generating technology, or additional processing time. Assistive technology can provide access while explicit skill instruction continues. The central IDEA principle is individualization: instructional methods, accommodations, and communication supports should be selected because data show that a particular student needs them to participate and progress.

Progress Monitoring, Discipline, and Implementation Fidelity

Measurable IEP goals require baseline information and repeated monitoring so that teams can determine whether instruction is working. Data may include curriculum-based measures, work samples, observation, reading probes, rubrics, or behavior records. When progress is insufficient, the team should examine instructional intensity, method, attendance, accessibility, health, implementation, and whether goals remain appropriate. A well-designed plan cannot be evaluated fairly if required supports are delivered inconsistently. Teachers and providers therefore need access to relevant IEP information and must understand their implementation responsibilities. IDEA also provides protections when disciplinary removals become significant. Schools can enforce conduct rules and respond to safety risks, but exclusion should not become a substitute for special education or behavioral support. In specified circumstances, teams must consider whether conduct is related to the disability or to failure to implement the IEP, and educational services continue during certain removals. The purpose is not to excuse harmful conduct automatically. It is to combine accountability and safety with continued access to education and to correct support failures before repeated exclusion becomes the default response.

Family Participation, Student Voice, and Equity

Parents contribute information about strengths, communication, health, culture, history, and strategies that work outside school, and IDEA protects their role in evaluation and IEP decision-making. Information should be explained in understandable language with interpretation when needed, while disagreements should be handled through collaborative problem-solving and procedural safeguards rather than dismissed as interference. Students should also participate increasingly as they mature, especially when planning transition to further education, employment, or adult services. Understanding accommodations and goals can strengthen self-advocacy and reduce the sense that the IEP is simply a label. Equity requires careful attention to how disability intersects with race, language, poverty, and discipline. Teams should distinguish disability-related need from inadequate instruction, cultural difference, or English-language development and should avoid vague behavioral labels such as “unmotivated” or “aggressive personality” without observable evidence. Positive support does not lower expectations. It makes expectations teachable and accessible while preserving dignity. Students with disabilities remain entitled to rigorous learning, creativity, relationships, choice, and meaningful membership in the educational community.

Conclusion

IDEA establishes a rights-based framework for individualized education rather than a system for correcting children into one model of behavior or learning. Eligible students receive FAPE through an

IEP designed around their unique academic, developmental, and functional needs, with education alongside nondisabled peers to the maximum extent appropriate (U.S. Department of Education, 2026). When behavior interferes with learning, 34 C.F.R. § 300.324(a)(2)(i) specifically requires consideration of positive behavioral interventions, supports, and other strategies. Functional assessment and behavior planning can identify why difficulty occurs, teach replacement skills, and reduce dependence on exclusion. Literacy, communication, assistive technology, accommodations, and related services should likewise be individualized through evidence and progress monitoring rather than selected from generic lists. Family participation and growing student voice help ensure that the IEP reflects real priorities and prepares the learner for life beyond school. Effective implementation ultimately depends on whether services are delivered consistently and changed when data show insufficient progress. IDEA's central promise is meaningful educational access through individualization, rights protection, and accountability.

References

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.

34 C.F.R. §§ 300.17, 300.114, 300.320–300.324, 300.530.

U.S. Department of Education. (2022). *Questions and answers: Addressing the needs of children with disabilities and IDEA's discipline provisions*.

U.S. Department of Education. (2026). *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA): About IDEA and current regulations*.