Imagery In The War Poems

Posted on March 14, 2019

Introduction

Thomas Hardy's "The Man He Killed" and Stephen Crane's "War Is Kind" expose the absurdity and cruelty of war through sharply different kinds of imagery. Hardy uses the image of two ordinary men who might have shared a drink but instead face each other as enemies. Crane creates a sequence of violent battlefield pictures while repeatedly insisting, with bitter irony, that "war is kind." Both poems challenge heroic descriptions of combat. Their speakers reveal that patriotic language cannot make death rational or humane (Hardy; Crane; Stallworthy).

Imagery is not simply decorative description. It gives sensory form to an idea. Visual images show bodies, weapons, uniforms, flags, and domestic mourners. Auditory images reproduce drums, cries, and gunfire. Kinetic images create movement. Figurative images connect one scene to another and expose contradictions. In these poems, imagery forces the reader to see the human cost that abstract words such as duty, glory, enemy, and victory can hide (Stallworthy).

The Ordinary Setting Behind Hardy's War

Hardy opens with a hypothetical image of hospitality. The speaker imagines meeting the man he killed "by some old ancient inn." The setting suggests warmth, conversation, and shared drink. The phrase "right many a nipperkin" gives the imagined encounter an informal, local character. These men are not natural enemies. Outside the military situation, they could have sat together as equals (Hardy).

The inn image becomes the moral standard against which the battlefield is judged. Hardy does not begin with banners or armies. He begins with an ordinary social possibility. The contrast makes war appear artificial: institutions place men who might be friends into uniforms and order them to kill (Hardy).

The Battlefield as a Reduced Visual Scene

The actual combat is presented with striking simplicity. The speaker and the other soldier stand "ranged as infantry." The word "ranged" converts individuals into positions in a military arrangement. The scene contains two men, two guns, and mutual fire. There is little landscape. This absence is significant because war has stripped the encounter to a mechanical relation: shoot before being shot (Hardy).

The line “I shot at him as he at me” creates symmetry. Neither man appears more naturally violent or morally superior. Each acts under the same structure. The speaker survives because his bullet hits first or more effectively. The visual balance undermines the idea that the dead man’s identity alone justified the killing (Hardy).

The Image of the “Foe”

After describing the shooting, the speaker tries to explain it: “I shot him dead because— / Because he was my foe.” The broken line and repetition create hesitation. “Foe” is an abstract label placed over the image of a man. The speaker repeats it as though the word should be sufficient, but the pause reveals that it is not (Hardy).

Hardy then lets the speaker reason in a circular manner. The man was a foe because he was on the opposing side, and therefore killing him was expected. The poem does not show a personal injury, ideological dispute, or direct threat beyond the combat situation itself. The enemy image is created by uniform and circumstance (Hardy).

Economic Imagery and Enlistment

The speaker imagines that the dead man enlisted for reasons similar to his own: he may have been unemployed, sold his belongings, and joined the army because he lacked another option. Hardy’s details connect war to economic insecurity. The soldier is not pictured as a fanatic. He is an ordinary laboring man whose choices were constrained (Hardy).

This imagined biography deepens the likeness between killer and victim. Both may have enlisted casually or from necessity. The image of a man selling his “traps” suggests poverty and displacement rather than glory. War gathers economically vulnerable people and then tells them that men with the same problems are enemies (Hardy).

Hardy’s Final Image of War as “Quaint and Curious”

The final stanza calls war “quaint and curious.” The mild words are deliberately inadequate. They sound appropriate for an unusual custom or antique object, not killing. Their understatement makes the moral dislocation more disturbing. The speaker has no philosophical language capable of reconciling the friendly inn with the dead body (Hardy).

The last image returns to social exchange: a man whom one would “treat” at a bar can be shot on a battlefield. The poem closes without resolution. Its imagery does not explain war; it demonstrates the

impossibility of explaining the killing through personal hostility (Hardy).

Crane’s Opening Image: The Lover’s Death

“War Is Kind” begins by addressing a maiden whose lover has died. The lover “threw wild hands toward the sky,” and his frightened horse ran alone. These images avoid a clinical statement of death. The upward hands suggest panic, injury, or a final uncontrolled gesture. The riderless horse makes absence visible. An animal that should carry a soldier returns without him (Crane).

The speaker then tells the maiden not to weep because “war is kind.” The claim is contradicted by the scene that precedes it. The refrain is therefore ironic from its first appearance. The reader sees cruelty while hearing the language of consolation (Crane).

Auditory Imagery: Drums, Battle Cries, and Repetition

Crane’s battlefield is loud. Drums “hoarse, booming” summon troops. The adjective “hoarse” personifies the drums as if they have shouted until their voices are damaged. “Booming” imitates the heavy sound of military percussion and can also echo artillery. The sound is repetitive, commanding, and impersonal (Crane).

The poem includes the shouted instruction to fight and die. Its repeated rhythm resembles drilling. The soldiers become bodies trained to move when ordered. Auditory imagery shows how military language can overpower private thought. A command is easier to obey when drums, slogans, and collective movement drown hesitation (Crane).

“Little Souls” and the Visual Machinery of Battle

Crane describes men as “little souls who thirst for fight.” The phrase may initially appear to praise eagerness, but “little” diminishes the heroic image. The soldiers are small within the machinery of war. They are born to drill and die beneath a flag (Crane).

The image of ranks and the “unexplained glory” flying above them connects patriotism to abstraction. The flag is visually elevated while soldiers fall below. “Unexplained” is crucial: glory is proclaimed but not defined. The banner provides a beautiful symbol that can conceal mutilation and grief (Crane).

The Child and the Fallen Father

The poem shifts from the maiden to a child whose father lies dead. The father has “tumbled in the yellow trenches.” “Tumbled” reduces death to a physical fall and suggests the disorder of a body no longer controlled. The color yellow may evoke earth, dust, sickness, or decay. The trench becomes both battlefield position and grave-like enclosure (Crane).

By addressing a child, Crane exposes the effects of war beyond combatants. The father’s military death creates domestic absence. The refrain “war is kind” becomes more offensive because it is offered to someone who has lost protection and affection (Crane).

The Mother and the “Humble” Shroud

The final address is to a mother who looks at her son’s body. Crane describes the shroud as “bright” and “splendid” before calling it humble. The fabric may carry ceremonial dignity, but it covers a corpse. The contrast between splendor and death exposes the way funerary ritual beautifies loss without reversing it (Crane).

The mother’s heart is pictured as hanging “humble as a button.” This strange simile compresses immense grief into a small object. It may suggest emotional collapse, insignificance before military power, or the ornamental buttons of a uniform. The image refuses sentimental grandeur. The mother is left with a body and a slogan (Crane).

Irony as the Governing Image in Crane

The refrain is the poem’s most important rhetorical device. Each repetition follows evidence that war is not kind. Crane does not expect the reader to believe the sentence. He imitates official consolation, patriotic speeches, and cultural habits that describe sacrifice as noble while avoiding the reality of pain (Crane).

Because the statement is repeated, its meaning becomes progressively darker. After the lover, the child, and the mother, “war is kind” sounds less like reassurance and more like accusation. The poem’s imagery prosecutes the language of propaganda (Crane).

Differences in Voice and Scale

Hardy speaks through one soldier reflecting on one killing. The voice is conversational, uncertain, and limited. The reader enters the mind of a man who cannot fully justify his action. Crane uses a more theatrical speaker who addresses several mourners and commands them not to cry. His battlefield

expands into ranks, drums, flags, and families (Hardy; Crane).

Hardy's imagery is sparse and domestic. The inn, drink, gun, unemployment, and imagined friendship make the war intimate. Crane's imagery is excessive and ceremonial. It piles bodies, sound, color, flags, and bereaved relatives into a grotesque pageant. Both approaches undermine heroic war, but Hardy emphasizes absurd personal opposition while Crane attacks the institutions and rhetoric that glorify death (Hardy; Crane; Stallworthy).

Similarities in Anti-War Meaning

Both poems collapse the distance between soldier and civilian. Hardy's enemy could be a drinking companion. Crane's dead soldiers are lovers, fathers, and sons. Military categories cannot erase these relationships (Hardy; Crane).

Both also expose language as a tool of war. Hardy's speaker relies on "foe," a word that fails to explain why the man deserved death. Crane repeats "war is kind," a phrase contradicted by every image. The poets show how labels and slogans make violence appear reasonable until sensory detail restores the human reality (Hardy; Crane; Stallworthy).

Imagery, Patriotism, and Moral Perception

Neither poem argues that soldiers are individually evil. Hardy's speaker is troubled, and Crane's troops are drilled into obedience. The criticism is directed toward systems that manufacture enemies and convert death into glory. Imagery makes the criticism ethical because it asks readers to look directly at what abstract patriotism omits (Stallworthy).

The images also resist easy consolation. The dead cannot be restored by calling them brave. Families cannot be protected by a flag after a loved one has fallen. By refusing a triumphant ending, both poets leave readers with moral discomfort (Hardy; Crane).

Conclusion

"The Man He Killed" and "War Is Kind" use imagery to strip war of heroic abstraction. Hardy contrasts a possible friendship at an inn with a symmetrical battlefield killing and shows a soldier struggling to make the label "foe" explain his action. Crane presents wild hands, a riderless horse, hoarse drums, trenches, flags, shrouds, and grieving relatives while repeating the cruelly ironic claim that war is kind. Hardy's quiet understatement and Crane's bitter spectacle lead to the same insight: war makes ordinary people kill their likenesses and then uses patriotic language to conceal the loss (Hardy; Crane; Stallworthy).

Works Cited

Crane, Stephen. “War Is Kind.” *War Is Kind*, Frederick A. Stokes, 1899.

Hardy, Thomas. “The Man He Killed.” *Time’s Laughingstocks and Other Verses*, Macmillan, 1909. First published 1902.

Stallworthy, Jon. *The Oxford Book of War Poetry*. Oxford University Press, 2008.