

How would you improve a Homelessness Essay?

Posted on January 10, 2025

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/how-would-you-improve-homelessness/>

Introduction

Homelessness is not one condition with one cause, but a range of housing crises shaped by rent, income, family relationships, disability, health, institutional discharge, domestic violence, and local housing supply. The latest Annual Homelessness Assessment Report currently listed by HUD is the 2024 report, which provides national and local point-in-time estimates and demonstrates the scale and diversity of homelessness across the United States (HUD, 2024). People experiencing a brief eviction crisis may need different assistance from someone who has lived unsheltered for years while managing a disabling health condition. Federal definitions also distinguish chronic homelessness from other forms of housing instability, which matters because programs are designed for different populations. A complete response therefore includes prevention, rapid rehousing, permanent housing, supportive services, healthcare, and coordinated access rather than expecting one intervention to serve everyone. The central principle is that housing loss has both structural and personal dimensions: individuals need help suited to their circumstances, while communities must also address the supply, affordability, and institutional processes that repeatedly place people at risk.

Different Pathways Into Homelessness

People enter homelessness through distinct pathways, and those differences affect what kind of assistance is likely to be useful. A family facing eviction after a temporary loss of income may need rental assistance, legal help, or rapid rehousing, whereas a young adult leaving foster care may also need documents, education, employment support, and a stable transition plan. Survivors of domestic violence may require confidential housing and safety services. Older adults and people with disabilities can lose housing when fixed incomes fail to keep pace with rent or accessible units are scarce. Long-term homelessness may coexist with mental illness, substance-use disorders, physical disability, or trauma, but these conditions should not be treated as universal characteristics of people without housing. Foster and colleagues (2010) emphasize the complexity of service needs among people experiencing long-term homelessness with co-occurring behavioral-health conditions. Matching services to actual circumstances is therefore more accurate than assuming every person needs the same treatment sequence. Assessment works best when it identifies housing barriers, income, safety, health, social support, and the person's own priorities.

Prevention, Affordable Housing, and Rapid Rehousing

Reducing homelessness involves both helping people who are already unhoused and limiting new entries into homelessness. Prevention can include emergency rental assistance, eviction legal services, housing counseling, benefit access, and discharge planning for people leaving hospitals, prisons, foster care, psychiatric facilities, or treatment programs. These approaches are most efficient when targeted toward households at genuine risk because low income alone does not predict which household will become homeless. Housing supply is equally important. Shelters provide temporary protection but cannot substitute for permanent homes, and a region with high rents and low vacancy rates constrains every rehousing program. Rapid rehousing can provide short- or medium-term rental support for households that do not need permanent intensive services, while vouchers or subsidized units may be necessary when income remains far below local rents. USICH frames an effective homelessness-response system as a combination of prevention, coordinated entry, shelter, rapid rehousing, permanent housing, and ongoing supports rather than a single program (USICH, 2022). The appropriate mix varies with local housing markets and population needs.

Permanent Supportive Housing and Housing First

Permanent supportive housing is designed primarily for people with disabilities or complex needs who require long-term housing assistance together with continuing services. The National Academies reviewed evidence connecting stable housing with health and examined permanent supportive housing as an intervention for people experiencing chronic homelessness and serious health conditions (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2018). Housing First is a related service philosophy that offers permanent housing without requiring sobriety, psychiatric treatment, employment, or completion of transitional stages as a precondition. USICH describes Housing First as combining permanent housing with voluntary and appropriate services, not as “housing only” (USICH, 2024). This distinction matters because people can accept case management, healthcare, substance-use treatment, or recovery support after obtaining a stable place to live. Housing First is not necessary for every housing crisis, and permanent supportive housing is a scarce intensive resource. Its strongest application is among people whose disabilities and long histories of homelessness make ordinary short-term assistance insufficient for maintaining stability.

Healthcare, Emergency Departments, and Discharge Planning

Homelessness and health interact in both directions. Living without stable housing can make it difficult to store medication, keep wounds clean, sleep safely, attend appointments, or recover after

illness, while serious health problems can undermine employment and housing stability. Emergency departments frequently encounter patients whose medical treatment is complicated by these conditions. A discharge instruction to rest, refrigerate medicine, change a dressing, or attend a distant clinic may be unrealistic if a patient lacks shelter, transportation, identification, or secure storage. AHRQ's work on emergency-department discharge emphasizes patient education, follow-up, and coordination across services (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2014). Hospitals can respond through respectful screening for housing instability, social-work consultation, medical respite, street-medicine partnerships, community health centers, behavioral-health referrals, and coordinated discharge. Sadowski and colleagues (2009) found that housing and case management reduced hospital and emergency-department use among chronically ill homeless adults, illustrating how housing interventions can influence healthcare utilization as well as residential stability.

Workforce, Coordination, and Lived Experience

Even well-designed programs can fail when housing, healthcare, behavioral health, employment, corrections, child welfare, and benefit systems operate independently. A person may repeat assessments, receive conflicting instructions, or lose a housing opportunity because no agency is responsible for coordinating the next step. Coordinated-entry systems attempt to organize referrals and prioritize limited resources, while social workers, peer specialists, community health workers, housing navigators, and outreach teams translate formal programs into practical access. These workers need training, manageable caseloads, supervision, and stable funding because burnout and turnover weaken continuity. People with lived experience can identify barriers that administrators may miss, such as shelter rules that conflict with employment, documentation requirements that are difficult to satisfy, restrictions on couples or pets, and service hours that do not match transportation. Including lived experience in program design and evaluation does not replace professional expertise; it adds direct knowledge of how systems function from the user's perspective. Integration becomes meaningful when referral procedures, information sharing, responsibility, and follow-up are clear across agencies.

Conclusion

Homelessness is most accurately understood as a housing problem intertwined with health, income, safety, disability, family circumstances, and institutional systems. Different pathways require different levels of assistance: prevention may keep a temporarily distressed household housed, rapid rehousing may shorten a recent episode, and permanent supportive housing may provide long-term stability for people with disabilities and chronic homelessness. Housing First separates access to permanent housing from treatment compliance while still making voluntary services available, and evidence supports its role for populations with complex needs when implemented with fidelity (USICH, 2024). Healthcare systems also influence outcomes through screening, discharge planning, case management, and partnerships that connect medical treatment with housing resources. The

strongest analytical framework does not assume that shelters, treatment, employment, or housing alone will resolve every case. It examines whether communities have enough affordable housing, whether people can reach the services suited to them, whether programs coordinate effectively, and whether outcomes are measured through durable housing stability, health, equity, and reduced returns to homelessness.

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2014). *Improving the emergency department discharge process: Environmental scan report*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Foster, S., LeFauve, C., Kresky-Wolff, M., & Rickards, L. D. (2010). Services and supports for individuals with co-occurring disorders and long-term homelessness. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 37(2), 239–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-009-9190-2>
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *Permanent supportive housing: Evaluating the evidence for improving health outcomes among people experiencing chronic homelessness*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/25133>
- Sadowski, L. S., Kee, R. A., VanderWeele, T. J., & Buchanan, D. (2009). Effect of a housing and case management program on emergency department visits and hospitalizations among chronically ill homeless adults: A randomized trial. *JAMA*, 301(17), 1771–1778. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.561>
- United States Interagency Council on Homelessness. (2022). *Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness*.
- United States Interagency Council on Homelessness. (2024). *Federal resources for addressing the behavioral health needs of people experiencing or at risk of homelessness*.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2024). *Annual Homelessness Assessment Report to Congress: Part 1*.