

How Victimization Theories Relate to John Gotti's Victims?

Posted on September 17, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/how-victimization-theories-relate-to-john-gottis-victims/>

Introduction

Victimization theories help explain why harm occurs in particular social settings, how offenders encounter targets, and why some people or organizations face repeated exposure. Applying these theories to crimes associated with John Gotti requires care because organized crime differs from serial murder and because a theory of victim selection must not become a theory of victim blame. Gotti was the boss of the Gambino crime family and was convicted in 1992 on thirteen federal counts, including racketeering and ordering the murders of Paul Castellano and Thomas Bilotti; electronic surveillance and Salvatore Gravano's cooperation were central to the prosecution (Federal Bureau of Investigation [FBI], n.d.). The distinction is important because organized-crime violence commonly serves enterprise goals such as maintaining authority, punishing disobedience, protecting illegal markets, or intimidating witnesses. Theories of victim precipitation, lifestyle exposure, deviant place, and routine activities can illuminate these patterns when they are used to analyze opportunity and offender perception rather than to imply that victims caused their own harm. Gotti was therefore an organized-crime leader, not a [serial killer](#).

Victims Within an Organized-Crime Environment

[John Gotti](#) operated inside an organization whose activities included racketeering, extortion, gambling, loan-sharking, violence, and efforts to obstruct investigation. This setting produces a wider category of victims than a homicide-centered account suggests. Direct victims may include rivals, subordinate members, suspected informants, debtors, witnesses, or business owners subjected to threats. Indirect victims can include workers, consumers, families, public institutions, and communities affected by corrupt contracting, intimidation, or interference with lawful governance. The victimization framework must therefore distinguish the mechanism being studied. A business owner paying recurring extortion faces a different opportunity structure from a rival targeted for organizational retaliation, while a cooperating witness faces risks created by access to information and predictable contact with courts or investigators. Organized crime also reduces ordinary guardianship because victims may fear that reporting will bring retaliation or believe that corrupt relationships make formal protection ineffective. This institutional dimension explains why individual caution alone cannot prevent harm in a network capable of coordinating surveillance, threats, money,

and violence.

Victim Precipitation and the John Favara Case

Victim-precipitation theory examines whether interaction between victim and offender contributed to the timing or form of an incident, but its concepts are easily misused. In organized crime, refusal to pay, cooperation with law enforcement, or competition may be interpreted by offenders as challenges to authority; describing that perception does not make the target morally responsible for the response. The disappearance of John Favara illustrates the distinction. Favara was Gotti's neighbor whose car struck and killed Gotti's twelve-year-old son Frank in March 1980, an event police treated as an accident. Favara disappeared in July after witnesses reported that men forced him into a vehicle, and his body was never found; Gotti was not convicted of Favara's killing (CBS News, 2009). Later allegations connected Gambino associates with the disappearance, but the evidentiary record remains different from Gotti's proven 1992 convictions. The accident increased Favara's proximity to a powerful criminal network, yet accidental involvement cannot reasonably be treated as culpable provocation. The case shows why offender interpretation and victim responsibility must remain analytically separate.

Lifestyle Exposure and Deviant Places

Lifestyle-exposure theory proposes that social roles and routine patterns influence how frequently people encounter motivated offenders, suitable targets, and weak guardianship (Hindelang, Gottfredson, & Garofalo, 1978). In an organized-crime setting, elevated exposure can arise through participation in illegal markets, employment in an industry penetrated by racketeering, association with enterprise members, operation of a vulnerable business, or service as a witness. Such exposure does not make assault, extortion, or murder deserved. It identifies how opportunity is structured. Deviant-place theory adds that risk can be concentrated in environments where criminal networks possess influence and legitimate controls are weak. For the Gambino family, "place" could be economic or organizational as well as geographic: a club, union local, gambling network, contracting market, or business relationship could function as a controlled social environment even when the surrounding neighborhood appeared ordinary. This approach avoids stigmatizing entire communities. The relevant question is whether an enterprise can monitor targets, enforce rules, suppress reporting, or exploit institutions in a particular setting, not whether residents themselves are inherently deviant.

Routine Activities, Guardianship, and Witness Protection

Routine-activities theory focuses on the convergence of a motivated offender, a suitable target, and insufficient capable guardianship (Cohen & Felson, 1979). In Gotti-related organized crime, the

motivated offender could be an organization rather than one impulsive individual. Leaders, intermediaries, crews, and associates could divide tasks involving surveillance, communication, intimidation, violence, or concealment, increasing the capacity to target people who threatened revenue or authority. A “suitable” target might be valuable because of money, information, symbolic importance, or perceived vulnerability. Guardianship therefore had to extend beyond personal self-protection. Electronic surveillance, secure witness arrangements, financial investigation, coordinated prosecution, anonymous juries, and insider cooperation all became forms of institutional guardianship. The FBI reports that court-authorized surveillance and Gravano’s cooperation helped overcome the secrecy surrounding Gotti and contributed to his 1992 conviction (FBI, n.d.). This result illustrates the preventive value of strengthening institutions rather than advising potential victims merely to alter their lifestyles. Effective guardianship changes the offender’s opportunity structure and the likelihood that intimidation will succeed.

Repeat Victimization, Power, and Public Narrative

Organized crime can generate repeat victimization because extortion, debt collection, corruption, and intimidation are often continuing relationships rather than isolated incidents. A business that pays once may be approached again, while a witness who reports unsuccessfully can become more vulnerable if the organization learns of the complaint. Power therefore belongs alongside opportunity in the analysis. People with fewer economic or legal resources may find relocation, litigation, private security, or prolonged business disruption harder to absorb, while a criminal organization can use reputation to magnify fear beyond each individual act. Media representation can strengthen that symbolic power when attention focuses on the offender’s clothing, charisma, courtroom performance, or nicknames while victims become background characters. Gotti’s “Dapper Don” and “Teflon Don” images helped turn organized crime into a celebrity narrative even though the underlying enterprise depended on coercion and violence. Victimization theory is most informative when it restores attention to the people, businesses, witnesses, and institutions exposed to harm and examines how repeated intimidation weakens reporting and guardianship.

Conclusion

Victim precipitation, lifestyle exposure, deviant-place theory, and routine activities can explain different dimensions of victimization associated with John Gotti when they are applied without transferring responsibility from offenders to victims. Victim precipitation is most defensible when it describes how offenders interpret resistance or threat, not when it treats lawful conduct, accidental events, or testimony as causes that justify violence. Lifestyle and place theories show how work, illegal markets, association, institutional control, and proximity can increase exposure. Routine-activities theory clarifies why organized offenders become especially dangerous when they encounter valuable targets under weak guardianship, while Gotti’s eventual prosecution demonstrates how surveillance, witness cooperation, and coordinated law enforcement can alter that opportunity

structure (Cohen & Felson, 1979; FBI, n.d.). The Favara case also demonstrates the importance of separating verified fact from allegation. Victimization analysis should therefore explain selection, exposure, and protection while preserving a basic ethical distinction: understanding why a target was accessible does not make that target responsible for the offender's decision to threaten, extort, assault, or kill.

References

- CBS News. (2009). *Gotti: Our Father, the Godfather*.
- Cohen, L. E., & Felson, M. (1979). Social change and crime rate trends: A routine activity approach. *American Sociological Review*, 44(4), 588-608.
- Federal Bureau of Investigation. (n.d.). *John Gotti*. FBI History.
<https://www.fbi.gov/history/cases-and-criminals/john-gotti>
- Hindelang, M. J., Gottfredson, M. R., & Garofalo, J. (1978). *Victims of personal crime: An empirical foundation for a theory of personal victimization*. Ballinger.
- Mendelsohn, B. (1976). Victimology and contemporary society's trends. *Victimology*, 1(1), 8-28.
- Office of Justice Programs. (1993). *Understanding theories of criminal victimization*. U.S. Department of Justice.
- Siegel, L. J. (2018). *Criminology: The core* (7th ed.). Cengage.