

Helen Vendler's Approach to Poetry Criticism

Posted on October 30, 2018

Helen Vendler's early piece reflects her important and crucial take on contemporary poetry. In itself, the introduction demonstrates some level of complexity and subtlety. It encompasses elements that pertain to the challenges that poets like Seamus Heaney tend to face in middle age and the values that were seen in their older writing style start to fail.

Meaningful elements include metaphorical hints, such as stating that Heaney's work is an 'oeuvre' of social engagement. The introductory piece depicts the political and geographical aspects that allow Heaney to become a leading figure among the audiences. Her acknowledgment of the mass effects that Heaney has on the audience's mind tends to have a leading impact on the reader. One may feel that the introduction, in itself, is a poetry class. In the first paragraph, there is a description that includes textual evidence, which is the closest aspect that poetry criticism can encapsulate when qualifying as a master scientific and critical writer. On the whole, the setting foot looks to establish the key elements that were part of the poet's initial journey, and the context aptly encapsulates that.

Key points that have been highlighted include the organizational and stylistic elements that pertain to the introduction. The opening statement traces the date that is to be targeted (1966-1996) and does not focus on leading a chronological order as far as the timeline of events in Heaney's career is concerned. Understandably, the tone is friendly and seems somewhat casual at the start. She also references that 'quarter century of spirit waste and life waste' and commences the text by focusing on how Heaney was not truly indebted while winning the Nobel Prize. Such tick-offs allow the reader to understand the general theme and tone that Vendler will most likely use in the entire book. The author moves forward and discusses aspects that relate to the Catholic civil rights marches that took place in the 1960s and represents Heaney's dislike of the situation then. To note, there are political overlays and opinions that have been voiced without much hesitancy of opposition. Also, Vendler refers to Heaney's transition to a poet as a situational occurrence when she says, 'these conditions forced Heaney to become a poet in private and public life' at the end of the opening context. Overall, the organization sets out in a moderately organized manner, however, sets an excellent backdrop to Heaney's life journey and his ultimate becoming a poet.

The style shifts from being highly intuitive to that being highly descriptive. For instance, she suggests to the reader that Heaney can be either highly affectionate with regard to family emotions or very concerned for social environments. She also states, "As each decade of poetry unfolds, that corrects and illuminates previous ones." The quote reflects her style as an aesthetic criticism; additionally, she is more known for her detailed explanation of authors and the specific poems that are selected. Information also contains aspects that relate to how lyrical Heaney's text is, in addition to promoting aspects of the living past and every miracle that ultimately led to him winning the Nobel Prize. There is an assessment of the commonality that comes along with Heaney's work, and such aspects are

justified by setting a backdrop for Ireland's situation at the time. In this regard, one can note that "Heaney has made one imaginative cast after another while attempting to represent the suffering of the North." The excerpt from her introduction allows one to relate further to the situational context within which Heaney essentially resides. By using a conversational yet informative tone, she states that there is both an intellectual and emotional connection relating to Heaney's 'poetic propaganda.'

The text also makes use of reiterating her key concepts as well and provides a level of structure when assessing the thoughts in the average reader's mind. There are imperative and critical concepts that relate to Heaney's poetry, and Vendler also highlights how 'thematic elements' in addition to powerful symbolism in Heaney's work result in a collective level of fame. She assesses the entire worldview of events and does not get bogged down by simplistic terms. Her work is highly analytical yet opinionated due to the nature of her work, which requires such translations to occur as text. For instance, she states, "Poetry needs both syntax and words in order to achieve a memorable experience, in addition to symbols, themes, and internal structures (Vendler)." Furthermore, there is a depiction of the simplicity with which Heaney decorates his poems and further highlights the internal structure as she progresses. Overall, there is a broad level of criticism rooted in the styles that Heaney can show, and Vendler states her opinion by suggesting to the reader that with every work that Heaney released, there were originations of newer and more current styles. However, she also highlights the choice of wording, stating that the initial literature was the one that set the base for his entire lifetime of work, despite having changed the syntax and other aspects later on.

The author's literary pieces are considered to be highly desirable due to the personal afflictions that she also includes. In this regard, on page 3, there is an introduction of aspects that relate to how she began knowing Heaney and his works in 1975. She includes various adjectives to describe how "excellent" his work seemed at the start and how they were among the "best she ever heard and saw." Simply put, there were "galleys of the North," and she further reiterates in her own words that these were pearls of the 20th century (Vendler). The imaginative nature of Vendler's reflection in the introduction is one that has captivated the views of many audiences to date. Vendler's stint in the New York Times is also mentioned and is one that has garnered her immense acknowledgment in the literary industry. She also makes sure to remain true to the reader; all in all, she aims to make the reader feel as if her review were all-inclusive and that her views do not seem too single-handed. To support the statement, one may see the following quote: "My appreciation of Heaney's writing is not unique at all. Instead, it has been translated around the world (Vendler)."

The introduction started off somewhat factual. However, elements of personal reflections and worldviews add more flair and imaginative narratives that positively steer the work. The text easily regards Heaney's 'haters' as well and does not support or rebuff them. There is a voicing of opinions about how poetry is to be supported on the basis of its language and not entirely on the basis of the arguments that are made in regard to the patriarchal attitudes that may have been represented in some of the works. On page 7, the author starts to represent different views of critics and provides some enlightenment and literary appeal to any reader. For an average reader who is not well versed with such thematic elements, the reading is one that is highly organized. For instance, on page 7,

there is also a description of how the poem could have been written in dialogue, allowing the reader to become familiar with the meaning of symbolic planes. The introduction is supported by adding referenced material from the 1818 letter by Keats. Such references add some factual support to the text and provide further insights to the reader regarding lyrical conversations.

A succinct description of how Heaney's 'jurisdiction of the form' is distinct in each poem (Vendler 6). The organization also relates to direct quotations as "people were threatened by one poem and the next one (Vender 7)." There is also the addition of Heaney's aim as a poet, which relates to his desire for momentary problems from time to time and the various symbols that are seen as relatable to our predicament. As Vendler sets the right foot forward to describe Heaney's work, she further describes the changes in symbols, as well as imaginative, temporal, and spatial history (Vendler 9). Overall, there are many direct literary sources that have been used to augment the credibility of the argument and also relate to the lyrical poems, expository, and other symbolic structures that are present.

All in all, the reader is given an insight at the very start of the relevance of the poet of choice. There are elements that are highlighted regarding the significance of politics, the north, and other provisions, as well as intellectual and symbolic aspects in poetic pursuits. There is an apt depiction of Heaney's work as that of 'second thoughts' and one who is intellectually gifted (Vendler 10). The purpose of using direct experts from his previous works is that the focus he had on the very fundamental aspect of thought is viewable. Displays of metaphor are also added when the author describes the thought processes and other aspects of the responsivity and fluidity of the mind that come to mind when writing text.

The narrative style not only adds an understandable theme for the reader but is also supported by the various commentaries that are added from the 19th and 20th centuries. The organization is profoundly intertwined and connected and adds to the striking nature and distinctive writing style that has been thoroughly depicted.

Work Cited

Vendler, Helen Hennessy. *Seamus Heaney*. Harvard University Press, 1998.