

Understanding Global Inequality Through Bauman and Sassen

Posted on September 8, 2023

Abstract

Global inequality is produced through unequal access to income, wealth, mobility, political influence, secure employment, housing, education, technology, and environmental protection. This essay examines global inequality through the sociological perspectives of Zygmunt Bauman and Saskia Sassen. Bauman emphasizes the unequal freedom to move within globalization and contrasts globally mobile elites with populations constrained by place, insecurity, and exclusion. Sassen focuses on the institutional and spatial organization of the global economy, particularly global cities, financial networks, migration, informal work, and processes of expulsion. Their approaches reveal that globalization does not simply connect societies; it redistributes power across territories and social groups. The essay relates these theories to contemporary patterns of wealth concentration, precarious labor, migration, housing inequality, digital platforms, and climate vulnerability. It argues that global inequality should be analyzed not only through national income averages but also through the systems that allow capital, information, and privileged workers to move while shifting risk onto less powerful communities. Effective responses require social protection, labor rights, progressive public finance, inclusive urban policy, international cooperation, and greater accountability for transnational economic activity. (Sassen, 2014)

Keywords: global inequality, Zygmunt Bauman, Saskia Sassen, globalization, global cities, social exclusion

Introduction

Globalization has expanded trade, finance, communication, migration, and cultural exchange. It has created economic opportunities and contributed to development in many regions. At the same time, its gains and risks have been distributed unevenly. Wealth, technological capacity, decision-making power, and mobility remain concentrated, while many workers and communities experience insecurity, displacement, weak public services, and environmental harm.

Global inequality cannot be understood through a simple division between rich and poor countries. Inequality exists between nations, within nations, between regions and cities, and among groups differentiated by class, race, gender, citizenship, disability, and migration status. Economic growth may reduce extreme poverty while simultaneously concentrating wealth and raising housing or service costs.

Zygmunt Bauman and Saskia Sassen provide valuable frameworks for examining these contradictions. Bauman analyzes the human consequences of a world in which some people possess exceptional mobility while others remain constrained. Sassen examines the global institutions, cities, and networks through which capital and power are organized. Together, they show how inequality is produced through both lived experience and structural systems.

Defining Global Inequality

Income inequality concerns differences in earnings and other income flows. Wealth inequality concerns ownership of assets such as property, savings, businesses, securities, and inheritances. Wealth is generally more concentrated than income because assets accumulate across generations and can generate additional returns.

Global inequality also includes unequal access to healthcare, education, clean water, energy, digital infrastructure, legal protection, and political participation. Two individuals with similar income may experience very different security depending on housing costs, public services, discrimination, debt, and exposure to violence or environmental risk.

Measurements such as the Gini coefficient, income shares, wealth shares, poverty rates, and social-development indicators provide important evidence. However, each measure captures only part of the problem. National averages can conceal regional and group disparities, while market income can differ substantially from income after taxes and transfers.

Bauman and the Unequal Freedom to Move

In *Globalization The Human Consequences*, Bauman argues that mobility has become a major source of social power. Global elites can move capital, careers, information, and residence across borders. Their decisions are less constrained by local communities. Other people remain tied to places where employment, services, security, or environmental conditions may be deteriorating. (Bauman, 1998)

Bauman uses the contrast between tourists and vagabonds as a sociological metaphor. Tourists move because they possess resources and choice. Vagabonds move because they are displaced, excluded, or unable to secure a viable life where they are. Both may cross borders, but their experiences of mobility are fundamentally different.

This distinction challenges the assumption that globalization creates a uniformly open world. Borders are flexible for capital, affluent travelers, and highly valued professionals, but restrictive for refugees, low-wage migrants, and people whose documents do not provide privileged access. Mobility is therefore stratified.

Liquid Modernity and Insecurity

Bauman's broader concept of liquid modernity describes social conditions in which institutions, careers, identities, and relationships become less stable. Individuals are expected to remain flexible and personally responsible for adapting to economic change. (Bauman, 2000)

Flexibility can benefit people with savings, education, networks, and mobility. For workers without these resources, it can mean temporary contracts, irregular schedules, weak bargaining power, and limited social protection. Structural problems are reframed as personal failures to remain employable.

The platform economy illustrates this tension. Digital platforms can create access to work and markets, but they may classify workers as independent while controlling prices, ratings, access to customers, and visibility. Risk related to demand, equipment, illness, and downtime is transferred to the worker.

Distance Between Power and Responsibility

Bauman argues that globalization can separate decision-making power from responsibility for local consequences. Investors and corporate leaders may shift production, capital, or sourcing across borders while workers and municipalities absorb unemployment, reduced tax bases, or environmental damage.

This distance makes accountability difficult. A local factory closure may result from decisions made through global financial models rather than local performance. Communities can experience the consequences without having meaningful influence over the decision.

The same pattern appears in supply chains. Consumers and lead firms benefit from low costs while labor and environmental risks are concentrated among suppliers in weaker regulatory settings. Responsibility becomes fragmented across contracts.

Sassen and the Global City

Sassen's concept of the global city explains how globalization is organized through particular urban centers. Cities such as New York, London, and Tokyo became strategic locations for finance, management, legal services, accounting, technology, and other advanced producer services. (Sassen, 2001)

Globalization did not eliminate place. Complex international activity required concentrated infrastructures, specialized labor, and institutions. Global cities became command points within international economic networks.

This concentration produces economic dynamism but also polarization. Highly paid professionals create demand for business services, luxury consumption, and urban property. At the same time, the city depends on lower-paid workers in cleaning, care, food, delivery, transport, construction, and maintenance. These workers are essential to the global city but may face insecure employment and unaffordable housing.

Urban Inequality and Housing

Sassen's framework helps explain why prosperous cities can contain severe inequality. International investment and high-income demand can raise land and housing values. Property becomes both shelter and a financial asset. Residents whose wages do not rise with housing costs may be displaced to distant areas or informal arrangements.

Housing inequality affects access to employment, education, health, transportation, and social networks. Long commutes transfer time and cost to lower-paid workers. Homelessness and overcrowding can coexist with vacant investment property.

Urban policy therefore cannot evaluate success through property values and investment alone. It should consider affordability, public housing, tenant security, access to services, and the distribution of environmental burdens.

Migration and the Global Economy

Sassen emphasizes that migration is connected to economic restructuring, colonial histories, foreign investment, conflict, and labor demand. Migrants do not simply move because one country is richer than another. Migration routes are shaped by political and economic relationships.

Global cities depend on migrant labor, yet migrants may face restricted rights, precarious status, language barriers, and exclusion from services. Their labor is integrated into the economy while their political membership remains limited.

Bauman's mobility framework complements this analysis. The highly skilled expatriate and the undocumented worker are both mobile, but one experiences mobility as privilege and the other as vulnerability.

Sassen and Expulsions

In *Expulsions*, Sassen argues that advanced economic systems can push people, firms, and ecosystems outside the spaces of social and economic protection. Expulsion is stronger than ordinary inequality. It includes foreclosure, mass displacement, imprisonment, land acquisition, environmental

destruction, and exclusion from formal livelihoods.

The concept directs attention to people who disappear from standard measures. A worker leaving the labor force, a family displaced from land, or a migrant held outside ordinary legal protection may no longer appear fully within conventional economic accounts.

Expulsion is often produced through complex systems rather than a single intentional actor. Financial instruments, legal rules, technology, and administrative procedures interact to generate outcomes that can seem impersonal even though they impose concrete harm.

Comparing Bauman and Sassen

Bauman focuses strongly on cultural experience, insecurity, mobility, and the moral distance created by globalization. His work explains how individuals experience freedom or constraint within a changing world.

Sassen provides a more institutional and spatial account. She identifies the cities, financial systems, labor markets, legal mechanisms, and cross-border networks through which globalization operates.

Their approaches are complementary. Bauman's mobile tourist depends on the global infrastructures described by Sassen. Sassen's global city produces the polarized labor and constrained populations that resemble Bauman's account of stratified mobility.

Contemporary Wealth Concentration

Research from the World Inequality Lab and other institutions shows that income and wealth are highly concentrated. The level and direction vary across countries because taxation, labor institutions, public services, education, ownership, and political choices differ.

Wealth concentration matters because assets provide security, income, influence, and opportunity. Property ownership can produce gains as housing values rise, while renters face higher costs. Financial assets benefit from market growth, but ownership is uneven.

Inheritance can reproduce inequality across generations. Children from wealthy families may receive education, housing support, networks, and capital that reduce risk and expand opportunity.

Gender and Care Work

Global inequality has a gendered dimension. Women perform a disproportionate share of unpaid care work and are frequently concentrated in lower-paid care, service, and informal occupations. Migrant women may provide domestic and care labor in wealthier regions while their own families depend on

transnational care arrangements.

This pattern connects private households to global labor markets. The employment of one professional may depend on the underpaid care work of another person. Policies concerning childcare, parental leave, wages, migration status, and social protection influence how these costs are distributed.

Digital Inequality

Digital technology creates access to information, education, markets, and public services, but access is unequal. Connectivity, devices, language, disability access, digital literacy, and data rights all matter.

Technology firms can operate globally while workers and users remain subject to local consequences. Platforms collect data across borders, concentrate market power, and influence visibility. Algorithmic systems may reproduce inequalities when trained on biased histories or used without meaningful appeal.

Digital inclusion therefore requires more than internet access. It includes skills, affordability, privacy, transparency, and the ability to challenge automated decisions.

Climate Inequality

Climate change illustrates the separation of contribution, benefit, and harm. Communities that contributed least to historical emissions may face severe heat, flooding, food insecurity, displacement, and loss of livelihoods. Wealthier groups generally possess greater capacity to insure, relocate, adapt buildings, and access healthcare.

Climate policy can also produce unequal effects if energy costs rise without support for low-income households or if land-intensive projects displace communities. A just transition requires participation, worker protection, public investment, and attention to distribution.

Policy Responses

Reducing global inequality requires action at several levels. Progressive taxation and effective administration can finance health, education, housing, infrastructure, and social protection. Labor standards, collective bargaining, minimum wages, and protection for informal and platform workers can improve the distribution of market income.

Urban policy should address affordable housing, transportation, public space, and access to services. Migration policy should protect labor rights and reduce dependence on precarious status. Corporate

and financial regulation should improve transparency, tax responsibility, competition, and supply-chain accountability.

International cooperation is necessary because capital and multinational activity cross borders. However, policy must also strengthen local voice. Communities affected by investment, extraction, redevelopment, or climate policy should participate meaningfully in decisions.

Limits of Individualized Solutions

Education, entrepreneurship, and skills can improve individual opportunity, but they cannot substitute for institutional reform. Telling every person to adapt to insecure conditions reflects the individualization criticized by Bauman.

Similarly, cities cannot solve inequality solely by attracting more investment. Sassen's analysis shows that growth can deepen polarization when housing, labor, and public services are not protected.

Individual capability matters, but opportunity is shaped by systems of ownership, law, infrastructure, and political power.

Conclusion

Bauman and Sassen show that global inequality is not an accidental side effect of an otherwise neutral process. It is produced through unequal mobility, concentrated control, urban restructuring, labor segmentation, financial systems, and the transfer of risk.

Bauman reveals the human experience of a world open to some and restrictive to others. Sassen identifies the institutional and spatial structures that organize this inequality. Their combined perspective encourages analysis beyond national averages toward power, place, mobility, and exclusion.

Reducing global inequality requires policies that distribute resources and also democratize the systems that shape economic life. Social protection, labor rights, inclusive cities, progressive public finance, digital accountability, climate justice, and international cooperation are necessary to ensure that globalization serves broader human development.

References

Bauman, Z. (1998). *Globalization The Human Consequences*. Columbia University Press.

Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid Modernity*. Polity.

Sassen, S. (2001). *The Global City New York London Tokyo* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.

Sassen, S. (2007). *A Sociology of Globalization*. W. W. Norton.

Sassen, S. (2014). *Expulsions Brutality and Complexity in the Global Economy*. Harvard University Press.

World Bank. (2024). *Poverty Prosperity and Planet Report*.

World Inequality Lab. (2022). *World Inequality Report 2022*.