# Global Food Security Issues and Government Solutions

Posted on September 8, 2023

## Introduction

One of the biggest issues in the world is food security, and members of the United Nations continue working toward systems that can provide sufficient, safe, and nutritious food for everyone. Food security exists when people have reliable physical and economic access to food that meets their dietary needs and supports an active and healthy life. It involves more than producing enough calories at the global level because food must also remain affordable, nutritious, safely prepared, and consistently available. The four commonly recognized dimensions of food security are availability, access, utilization, and stability over time. A country may produce large quantities of food while low-income households remain hungry because they cannot afford it or cannot reach suitable markets. Improving agricultural techniques to produce more nutritious food can contribute to the solution, but production alone cannot address conflict, poverty, unequal distribution, food loss, or unaffordable prices. This research therefore investigates major global food issues and the attempts being made by local and national governments to improve food production, accessibility, nutrition, and resilience.

The original research proposal correctly identifies food insecurity as a global concern, but the scale of the problem requires more precise analysis. The 2025 edition of *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World* estimated that approximately 673 million people experienced hunger in 2024, representing about 8.2 percent of the global population. Around 2.3 billion people experienced moderate or severe food insecurity, meaning they faced uncertainty about obtaining enough nutritious food or had to reduce the quality or quantity of their diets. These global figures show modest improvement in some regions but hide serious deterioration in parts of Africa and western Asia. Acute food crises are even more severe because they involve populations facing immediate threats to lives and livelihoods. The *Global Report on Food Crises 2025* found that more than 295 million people across 53 countries and territories faced acute food insecurity during 2024. Food security must therefore remain a priority for governments, humanitarian organizations, researchers, farmers, businesses, and local communities.

## Problem Statement

Food shortages and unreliable access to nutritious food remain among the world's most pressing challenges. United Nations member states have committed to ending hunger and malnutrition through Sustainable Development Goal 2, yet current progress is insufficient to meet that objective

by 2030. Food insecurity results from overlapping causes rather than one universal shortage of agricultural production. Conflict, poverty, displacement, climate extremes, economic shocks, high food prices, weak infrastructure, inequality, and limited access to land and water can all reduce household food security. People may experience hunger even where food is physically present because wages and social assistance do not keep pace with market prices. Others may consume enough calories but lack protein, vitamins, minerals, and dietary diversity, producing what is sometimes described as hidden hunger. The research problem must consequently examine both the quantity of available food and the social, economic, nutritional, and political conditions that determine who can obtain it.

The original article states that the conversion of agricultural land to industrial land has delivered the biggest blow to food security in many countries. Converting farmland to factories, roads, housing, or commercial developments can certainly reduce local agricultural capacity, especially when fertile land near cities is permanently removed from production. However, it should not be described as the single greatest global cause because the importance of land conversion differs among countries and regions. Poor land-use planning can separate farmers from markets, increase transport distances, reduce green space, and place pressure on water systems. At the same time, agriculture itself can degrade soil when farmers depend on unsustainable cultivation, excessive chemical use, overgrazing, deforestation, or inappropriate irrigation. Local governments can address these problems through zoning, farmland protection, soil conservation, water management, and support for urban and peri-urban agriculture. National governments must also regulate land ownership, agricultural investment, environmental protection, and infrastructure development. A balanced food policy should protect productive land without preventing necessary housing, transport, and economic development.

Global conflicts and natural disasters are correctly identified in the original proposal as major causes of food crises. Armed conflict can destroy farms, storage facilities, roads, ports, irrigation systems, livestock, and local markets. It may force farmers to leave their land, prevent planting and harvesting, restrict humanitarian assistance, and expose food workers to violence. Conflict can also disrupt international trade when ports are closed, transport routes become unsafe, sanctions affect transactions, or governments introduce export restrictions to protect domestic supplies. These disruptions may force importing countries to purchase goods from more distant or expensive suppliers, contributing to inflation and shortages. The FAO-WFP Hunger Hotspots outlook for June to November 2026 identified conflict and violence as primary drivers in 12 of the 13 countries and territories included in its warning. Climate shocks, economic instability, funding shortages, displacement, and disease outbreaks can intensify these conflict-related pressures. Governments must therefore combine food policy with diplomacy, peacebuilding, disaster preparedness, humanitarian access, and the protection of agricultural livelihoods.

Natural disasters and climate change create additional instability throughout food systems. Drought can reduce crop yields and water supplies, while floods may destroy harvests, contaminate stored food, erode soil, and damage roads. Extreme heat can affect crop growth, livestock health, agricultural workers, fisheries, and the safety of perishable food. Storms may interrupt electricity,

refrigeration, transport, communication, and access to markets. Climate change can also alter growing seasons and increase the geographical range of pests and plant diseases. Small-scale farmers are often particularly vulnerable because they may have limited savings, insurance, irrigation, machinery, or access to improved seeds. Governments should invest in early-warning systems, water-efficient farming, diversified crops, climate information, agricultural insurance, emergency seed supplies, and resilient infrastructure. These measures cannot prevent every weather event, but they can reduce the likelihood that a temporary shock develops into a prolonged food crisis.

Food prices are another central part of the problem because adequate national food supplies do not guarantee that households can afford them. Lagi et al. (2011) examined the relationship between major food-price peaks and periods of political instability in North Africa and the Middle East. Their research did not prove that food prices were the only cause of unrest, but it showed that rapidly rising prices can intensify the hardship created by unemployment, poverty, inequality, and political dissatisfaction. More recent evidence continues to show that high food inflation reduces purchasing power and can force households to buy less food or replace nutritious items with cheaper alternatives. The World Bank reported in June 2026 that domestic food-price inflation remained above 5 percent in 45 percent of low-income countries with available data. Even moderate price increases can have a serious effect on poor households because food already represents a large share of their total spending. Policies must therefore examine wages, market competition, transport costs, exchange rates, trade rules, social protection, and emergency price monitoring rather than focusing only on production.

Food loss and waste create a further contradiction within the global food system. Large quantities of food are lost during harvesting, storage, processing, and transportation before they ever reach a consumer. Additional food is wasted by retailers, restaurants, institutions, and households after it becomes available for sale or consumption. The United Nations Environment Programme estimated that 1.05 billion tonnes of food were wasted at retail, food-service, and household levels in 2022. This amount represented approximately one-fifth of the food available to consumers, while households alone discarded more than one billion meals per day. Food waste does not mean that every discarded item could easily have been transferred to a hungry person, but it demonstrates substantial inefficiency in production, purchasing, storage, and consumption. Governments can reduce loss by improving rural roads, storage buildings, cold chains, packaging, processing capacity, market information, and food-donation rules. Local authorities can also measure household and commercial waste, support redistribution, educate consumers, and introduce separate collection or composting for food that can no longer be safely eaten.

# Research Significance

This research will make food security a priority by examining the connection between agricultural production, household access, nutrition, government policy, environmental protection, and

emergency response. The original proposal states that global starvation will be solved through this research, but no single study can reasonably make such a promise. A more realistic contribution is to identify policies and practices that can reduce food insecurity within particular communities and provide evidence for wider reforms. The research can help governments distinguish between food shortages caused by insufficient supply and food insecurity caused by poverty, poor distribution, or unaffordable prices. It can also reveal whether existing agricultural programs actually increase access to nutritious diets or primarily benefit larger producers. By comparing survey data with interviews and community experiences, the study can identify differences between official policy objectives and actual outcomes. Its findings may support better targeting of agricultural assistance, social protection, public food procurement, food-waste reduction, and emergency planning. The significance of the research therefore lies in producing practical and context-sensitive recommendations rather than claiming to solve hunger independently.

Local governments can use the findings to develop regenerative and resilient food systems that provide food while reducing environmental degradation. Regenerative approaches generally seek to improve soil health, protect biodiversity, reduce erosion, manage water responsibly, and strengthen the ability of agricultural land to remain productive. These practices may include crop rotation, cover crops, reduced soil disturbance, agroforestry, integrated crop and livestock systems, composting, and careful nutrient management. However, no farming method should be promoted as a universal solution without considering local climate, land conditions, labor, costs, markets, and farmer knowledge. Government programs must also ensure that environmental goals do not unintentionally exclude small farmers who lack money to adopt new equipment or certification systems. Extension services, training, affordable finance, demonstration farms, cooperatives, and farmer-led research can support gradual and realistic change. Food-system reform should therefore improve environmental conditions while protecting the livelihoods and decision-making power of the people who produce food.

# Research Objectives

The first objective of this research is to identify agricultural techniques and improvements that can produce a greater quantity and variety of nutritious food. This objective retains the original emphasis on improved agricultural production but expands it beyond yield alone. The study will evaluate techniques such as crop diversification, drought-tolerant varieties, precision irrigation, soil testing, integrated pest management, agroforestry, protected cultivation, improved livestock care, aquaculture, and post-harvest storage. It will examine whether these techniques are affordable and practical for small-scale farmers as well as large commercial producers. Attention will also be given to nutrition-sensitive agriculture, which encourages the production and availability of fruits, vegetables, pulses, animal-source foods, and biofortified crops rather than focusing only on staple grains. The research will assess whether improved production is connected with functioning markets, transportation, storage, and household purchasing power. Its goal is to recommend methods that increase resilience and dietary quality without degrading soil, water, forests, or biodiversity.

The second objective is to identify government policy reforms that can improve food production and accessibility. The original proposal correctly recognizes that agricultural progress depends on public policy as well as individual farming decisions. This research will examine land-use planning, water allocation, agricultural extension, farmer credit, crop insurance, input support, market regulation, trade policy, social protection, school feeding, and public procurement. It will also evaluate whether governments collect sufficiently detailed information about food prices, household insecurity, malnutrition, crop conditions, and climate risks. Local policies will be considered alongside national responsibilities because municipal authorities do not usually control international trade, national subsidies, currency policy, or large humanitarian budgets. At the same time, local governments can influence markets, zoning, transportation, waste management, emergency distribution, school meals, and public health. The objective is therefore to identify a coordinated policy framework rather than assigning the entire responsibility for food security to one level of government.

# Research Questions

The original research questions will remain central to the expanded study:

1. What agricultural techniques and improvements are required to produce more nutritious food around the world?
2. What policy reforms do governments need to improve food production and accessibility?

These questions should be investigated through supporting questions that reflect the complexity of food security. The study should ask which farming practices are suitable for different climates and whether small-scale farmers can afford to adopt them. It should examine how food prices, income, transport, land ownership, gender, conflict, disability, and location affect household access. The research should also determine which services can be delivered effectively by local governments and which require national or international coordination. Another issue is whether current programs increase the availability of healthy food or merely expand the production of a small number of commodity crops. The study should investigate how policies affect environmental sustainability, farmer livelihoods, and nutrition at the same time. These supporting questions will make the final conclusions more useful than a narrow assessment of crop yields.

# Agricultural Techniques for Nutritious Food Production

Climate-smart and nutrition-sensitive agricultural techniques can support the original objective of producing more nutritious food around the world. Climate-smart agriculture aims to increase productivity and incomes, strengthen adaptation and resilience, and reduce or remove greenhouse gas emissions where possible. The exact combination of practices must be chosen locally because an irrigation strategy suitable for a dry region may be unnecessary or harmful in an area with heavy

rainfall. Crop diversification can reduce reliance on one harvest and increase the availability of fruits, vegetables, pulses, grains, and animal feed. Improved seeds may help crops tolerate drought, heat, flooding, pests, or disease, but farmers should retain access to locally adapted varieties and should not become dependent on unaffordable inputs. Soil-health practices can improve water retention and long-term productivity when they are supported by sound evidence and farmer experience. Government extension workers and local research institutions should test practices with farmers rather than assuming that a technique successful in one region can be transferred unchanged to another.

Post-harvest improvements are as important as increasing farm production because food has little value if it spoils before reaching consumers. Farmers may lose grain to moisture, insects, rodents, mold, or poor storage containers. Fruits, vegetables, milk, fish, and meat can deteriorate quickly when electricity, refrigeration, clean water, packaging, and transport are unreliable. Governments can support community storage, warehouses, drying equipment, cold rooms, processing facilities, feeder roads, and market information systems. Cooperatives may help small farmers combine their produce, negotiate better prices, access machinery, and supply schools or hospitals. Food-safety training is also essential because poor handling can increase illness even when sufficient food is available. These improvements connect production with accessibility by allowing nutritious food to reach consumers in safe condition and reducing losses that weaken farmer income.

# Government Policies for Food Production and Access

Governments need policies that protect consumers during food-price shocks without destroying the incentives farmers need to continue producing food. Cash transfers, food vouchers, school meals, nutrition programs, and emergency assistance can help vulnerable households maintain access during unemployment, conflict, disasters, or inflation. Well-designed social protection should be targeted using current evidence and should be easy to access without excessive documentation or discrimination. Governments may also use strategic food reserves, temporary tax adjustments, or carefully designed import measures during emergencies. However, abrupt export bans or poorly planned price controls can create shortages, reduce farmer income, encourage informal markets, and transfer instability to neighboring countries. Policy decisions should therefore be transparent, temporary where appropriate, and coordinated with market monitoring. Protecting purchasing power is especially important because the presence of food in a market does not benefit a household that cannot afford to buy it.

Agricultural policy should also improve farmers' access to land, water, finance, insurance, information, and fair markets. Small producers may be unable to invest in improved seeds or irrigation because banks consider agriculture risky or require collateral they do not possess. Women farmers may face additional barriers involving land titles, inheritance, credit, equipment, extension services, and

decision-making. Public investment should improve rural roads, communication, electricity, storage, research, veterinary services, and weather information. Crop insurance and disaster-finance programs can help farmers recover after drought, flooding, pests, or disease, although these systems must be affordable and understandable. Governments should also prevent powerful buyers from using unfair contracts or delayed payments to place excessive risk on producers. Improving production therefore requires institutions that make farming economically viable rather than simply instructing farmers to grow more.

# The Role of Local Governments

Local governments are well placed to understand how national food problems are experienced in particular neighborhoods, towns, and rural districts. They can identify communities with limited access to markets, high food prices, poor transport, unsafe water, or inadequate waste collection. Municipal authorities can use zoning and planning powers to protect food markets, farmland, water sources, storage areas, and transport corridors. They may also support community gardens, urban agriculture, farmers' markets, food hubs, school meals, and procurement from local or regional producers. Local public-health departments can inspect food businesses, monitor foodborne disease, provide nutrition education, and coordinate emergency feeding during disasters. Waste-management authorities can measure food waste and develop systems for prevention, redistribution, animal feed, composting, or other responsible uses. The FAO Framework for the Urban Food Agenda emphasizes that subnational and local governments can strengthen food systems through integrated planning, shorter supply chains, public procurement, green spaces, and improved governance.

Local governments cannot perform these responsibilities effectively when national authorities exclude them from policy design or fail to provide adequate funding. Food systems cross municipal boundaries because cities depend on rural producers, regional infrastructure, national markets, ports, and international trade. A local market may be well managed but still face shortages when national transport networks or import systems fail. Municipal authorities therefore need channels through which they can share local information with ministries and participate in national food-security planning. They also need partnerships with farmers, retailers, transport companies, universities, health providers, charities, and community organizations. Food-policy councils or similar forums can bring these groups together, although safeguards are needed to prevent powerful commercial interests from controlling decisions. Effective governance should combine local knowledge with national resources and international cooperation. The goal is not to replace national policy with local action but to connect each level of government to the responsibilities it can perform best.

# Methodology

The original methodology proposes using national and state surveys to produce data on food security and weight status. This quantitative component should be retained but described more precisely. The

study can use validated household food-security questions to measure anxiety about food, reduced dietary quality, smaller portions, skipped meals, or periods without eating. Anthropometric information such as body mass index may be included when ethically appropriate, but weight status alone cannot provide a complete measure of nutrition or food security. Researchers should also collect information on income, household size, food prices, location, employment, agricultural activity, market access, and participation in government programs. Sampling should include urban, peri-urban, and rural communities because the causes of food insecurity may differ across these areas. Data should be analyzed by age, gender, income, disability, location, and other relevant characteristics without using small groups in ways that compromise confidentiality. This approach will help identify which populations experience the greatest barriers and which government interventions are associated with better outcomes.

Focus groups, interviews, and photographic projects will provide the qualitative evidence proposed in the original article. Focus groups can explore how households understand food quality, affordability, cultural acceptability, government assistance, and changes in local markets. Individual interviews with farmers, traders, transporters, health workers, officials, teachers, and community organizations can reveal problems that may not appear in national statistics. Photographic or participatory visual projects can document market conditions, food environments, irrigation systems, transport barriers, storage facilities, environmental damage, and community-led solutions. Participants should control whether their images and personal experiences may be published, particularly when photographs include children, private homes, poverty, or politically sensitive conditions. Researchers should avoid using images merely to create emotional impact because this can remove dignity and context from participants' lives. Qualitative data should be coded systematically to identify common themes as well as disagreements among different groups. Combining these methods with surveys will provide a fuller understanding of how food policy functions in daily life.

The research should also include policy and document analysis. National food-security strategies, agricultural budgets, land-use plans, social-protection rules, school-meal policies, climate plans, food-waste programs, and emergency procedures should be reviewed. Researchers can compare the stated objectives of these policies with their funding, coverage, implementation, and measured outcomes. Market-price data, crop reports, weather records, food-waste measurements, nutrition indicators, and program participation rates can provide further context. Case studies from several local governments may show why similar policies produce different outcomes in different places. The study should distinguish correlation from causation because a community with better food security may also possess higher incomes, stronger infrastructure, or more favorable environmental conditions. Limitations such as missing data, recall bias, seasonal change, inaccessible conflict areas, and underrepresentation of informal workers should be reported honestly. A transparent methodology will make the findings more credible and easier for other researchers or governments to evaluate.

# Expected Outcomes

The research is expected to show that food insecurity cannot be reduced through higher production alone. Improved agricultural techniques are likely to be most effective when they are supported by storage, transport, market access, affordable finance, social protection, and nutrition policy. The findings may demonstrate that households experience food insecurity during periods of high prices even when national food supplies appear adequate. They may also show that local governments have valuable knowledge but lack the authority, funding, data, or coordination needed to respond effectively. Farmers may report that recommended technologies are useful but financially inaccessible or unsuitable for local conditions. Consumers may identify barriers involving income, distance, disability, cultural preferences, food safety, or the absence of affordable nutritious products. These expected outcomes will help connect the original research objectives with practical reforms rather than treating agriculture and accessibility as separate problems.

The study may also identify opportunities to develop regenerative food systems that protect nature while improving livelihoods. Soil restoration, water conservation, crop diversity, agroforestry, waste reduction, and circular use of organic materials may create environmental benefits. However, these practices will succeed only when farmers receive training, secure land access, affordable inputs, functioning markets, and a fair share of the resulting value. Public procurement can help by allowing schools, hospitals, and other institutions to purchase nutritious food from suitable small and medium producers. Local food-waste partnerships may redirect safe surplus food while collecting better data about where waste occurs. Emergency planning can protect critical markets, transport routes, storage sites, water systems, and vulnerable populations during crises. The expected result is therefore not one universal model but a set of coordinated interventions that governments can adapt to local needs. Such evidence can support gradual and measurable improvement in food security.

# Conclusion

In summary, local governments can help improve food security and reduce global food problems, but they cannot achieve this goal independently. The original article correctly emphasizes agricultural improvement, government policy, qualitative research, surveys, and regenerative food systems. These ideas become stronger when food security is understood through availability, access, utilization, and stability rather than production alone. Conflict, climate extremes, displacement, land degradation, food-price inflation, poverty, food loss, and weak infrastructure interact in ways that differ among regions. Governments must therefore improve farming while also protecting purchasing power, strengthening markets, reducing loss and waste, planning land use, providing social protection, and preparing for emergencies. Local authorities should coordinate markets, zoning, public procurement, waste systems, and community responses, while national and international institutions manage broader responsibilities involving trade, finance, humanitarian assistance, and peace. The most important goal is not simply to produce enough food for a growing population but to

build systems that make nutritious food reliably accessible without destroying the natural resources on which future production depends.

## References


Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). *FAO framework for the Urban Food Agenda Leveraging subnational and local government action to ensure sustainable food systems and improved nutrition*. https://openknowledge.fao.org/handle/20.500.14283/ca3151en

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (n.d.). *Climate-smart agriculture policy series*. https://www.fao.org/policy-support/policy-themes/climate-smart-agriculture/

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, International Fund for Agricultural Development, United Nations Children's Fund, World Food Programme, & World Health Organization. (2025). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2025 Addressing high food price inflation for food security and nutrition*. https://openknowledge.fao.org/handle/20.500.14283/cd6008en

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, & World Food Programme. (2026). *Hunger hotspots FAO-WFP early warnings on acute food insecurity June to November 2026 outlook*. World Food Programme.

Food Security Information Network, & Global Network Against Food Crises. (2025). *Global report on food crises 2025*. https://doi.org/10.71958/wfp130793

Lagi, M., Bertrand, K. Z., & Bar-Yam, Y. (2011). *The food crises and political instability in North Africa and the Middle East*. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1910031

United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). *Food waste index report 2024*. https://www.unep.org/resources/publication/food-waste-index-report-2024

World Bank. (2026). *Food security update*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture/brief/food-security-update

World Bank. (n.d.). *What is food security?* https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture/brief/food-security-update/what-is-food-security