Gender Power and Modernism in Comparative Photography

Posted on October 22, 2019

The original comparison of photographs focuses on four pairings and uses them to discuss feminism, gender power, modernism, landscape, and the contrast between male and female representation. Those pairings remain the foundation of the expanded analysis. The photographs should not be treated as transparent records of beauty, seduction, intelligence, or power; each image is constructed through pose, lighting, setting, framing, the photographer's position, and the expectations of the viewer. Black-and-white photography appears repeatedly in the selected works, but the shared absence of color does not give every image the same meaning. In one photograph it creates the suspended atmosphere of a Victorian interior, in another it turns a body into a modernist arrangement of light and shadow, and in another it connects a contemporary landscape with an archival visual tradition.

The original essay associates women with beauty and power and men with thought and authority. This central concern can be preserved while the language is made more critical. Photographs do not prove that women are naturally manipulative or that men are naturally rational. They show how visual culture teaches viewers to read femininity and masculinity through familiar gestures. A woman posed beside a window may be understood as private, elegant, restricted, or self-aware depending on the historical context. A man seated at a desk may appear intellectual because western portrait traditions have repeatedly used books, offices, tools, and serious expressions to symbolize masculine authority. Comparative photography allows these conventions to be examined rather than accepted as natural.

Lady Clementina Hawarden and the Contemporary Gallery Image

Copyright © 2026 Academic Master.

Lady Clementina Hawarden

The first comparison in the original essay places the photography of Lady Clementina Hawarden beside a contemporary gallery image. Hawarden used black-and-white photography to photograph young women, often her daughters, in domestic interiors. The original interpretation connects the color white with simplicity, elegance, and feminine beauty. Tonal contrast certainly contributes to the work's elegance, but the photograph becomes more meaningful when the room, pose, window, clothing, and family relationship are considered together. Hawarden's interiors contain mirrors, doorways, curtains, and windows that make the domestic space appear both private and theatrical. Her subjects are not anonymous women passively captured by a male observer. They participate in a photographic practice created within the family, and their poses can appear self-conscious, experimental, and uncertain.

The original essay notes that Hawarden printed unplanned negatives to see what the photographic process had revealed. This interest in accident and discovery is important because early photography involved long exposure, variable light, and material uncertainty. The resulting image may look carefully arranged, yet the medium could disclose gestures, shadows, or relationships that were not

completely controlled. Hawarden therefore connects realism with performance. The camera records an actual person and room, but the subject has also dressed, posed, and entered an imagined role. Femininity appears not as a fixed natural quality but as something rehearsed through clothing, posture, reflection, and the viewer's expectations.

Lanoue Gallery Image

The contemporary image paired with Hawarden also emphasizes a female figure and an arranged visual setting. The original essay interprets the young woman as representing confusion and an incomplete awareness of her beauty and power. That reading can be developed by asking how the pose makes uncertainty visible. A turned face, lowered eyes, partially hidden body, or placement near a threshold can suggest hesitation, but it can also indicate resistance to the viewer. The subject may refuse to provide a clear emotional answer. Modern and contemporary photography often uses ambiguity deliberately, allowing the viewer to recognize that identity cannot be fully known from appearance.

Both images connect femininity with visual power, but they do so in different historical conditions.

Hawarden worked during a period when middle- and upper-class women's lives were strongly associated with the domestic sphere. A contemporary gallery photograph is produced after the development of mass advertising, fashion photography, feminism, and widespread public debate about the representation of women. The later artist may quote the elegant pose of nineteenth-century portraiture while also exposing its restrictions. Comparison therefore reveals continuity and change: women remain presented through beauty and posture, but the contemporary image can make the act of looking itself part of the subject.

Francis Joseph Bruguière and Lalla Essaydi

Woman on Phone by Francis Joseph Bruguière

The second comparison begins with Francis Joseph Bruguière's *Woman on Phone*. The original essay focuses on feminine beauty, gestures, and the relationship between women and male desire. Bruguière's photograph can also be interpreted through modern technology. The telephone links the woman to communication beyond the room. It may symbolize social independence, professional

activity, intimacy, waiting, or the pressure of being available to others. The black-and-white medium isolates the geometry of the telephone, the body, and the surrounding shadows, turning an ordinary action into a modernist composition.

The original description calls the woman's gestures manipulative and tactful. That language places responsibility for the viewer's desire on the photographed subject. A stronger analysis asks how the photographer and composition direct attention. Lighting may emphasize the face or hands, and the pose may make the viewer feel invited to observe a private moment. This effect is constructed by the image rather than caused by an essential female nature. The woman can be both an object of visual fascination and a person using a new communication technology. The contradiction reflects the modern period, in which women gained new forms of mobility and public participation while visual culture continued to organize them as spectacles.

Lalla Essaydi at Howard Yezerski Gallery

The paired image by Lalla Essaydi also presents a woman in an elegant and highly controlled setting, but its cultural purpose differs. Essaydi's staged photographs often place women within patterned interiors and cover bodies, clothing, or walls with Arabic calligraphy. The writing recalls a form historically connected with male authority, while the female subjects occupy spaces associated with seclusion and western Orientalist fantasy. The original essay sees a seductive posture that undermines male power. The photograph may indeed possess visual beauty, but its power lies less in

seduction than in its refusal to allow the viewer an uncomplicated gaze.

The woman often looks directly or calmly toward the camera instead of performing for an imagined male spectator. The written surface makes her body difficult to separate from language and architecture. A viewer unfamiliar with the script may see decorative pattern without being able to possess its meaning. Essaydi therefore responds to western artworks that presented North African and Middle Eastern women as silent, available, and exotic. Her image preserves elegance while turning that elegance into a critique of who has historically been allowed to speak, write, and control representation.

When Bruguière and Essaydi are compared, both use staging, tonal control, and a carefully positioned woman. However, the telephone in Bruguière's image represents modern communication, while Essaydi's calligraphy turns the female body and room into a field of contested speech. The comparison demonstrates that similar formal beauty can carry different political histories.

Castle Rock and the Sowa Artwork

Castle Rock by Mark Klett and Gordon Bushaw

The third comparison moves away from gendered portraiture and considers landscape and modernism. The original essay states that Klett and Bushaw use black-and-white photography to represent American life, infrastructure, and nineteenth-century modernism. Mark Klett's rephotographic practice is especially concerned with returning to sites photographed during earlier western surveys. By taking a new photograph from or near a historical viewpoint, he makes time and environmental change visible. Roads, buildings, erosion, vegetation, tourism, or altered land use may appear between the two moments.

The American West was often photographed as empty and available, even though Indigenous communities had long histories within these landscapes. Survey photography contributed to scientific knowledge but also supported national expansion, settlement, and the idea that land could be measured and possessed. A contemporary image of Castle Rock therefore carries more than natural beauty. It belongs to a history of representation in which photography helped transform territory into a national symbol. The black-and-white medium connects the contemporary image with archival photographs, encouraging viewers to compare the present landscape with earlier visual claims.

Sowa Art and Industrial Material

The Sowa artwork is described in the original essay as a natural scene contrasted with man-made change, although the work itself includes industrial material. The pairing is useful because it places photographed land beside a constructed object made from surplus polyolefin. One image appears to represent nature, while the other makes industrial production physically visible. Yet the landscape is not outside human history. Surveying, roads, extraction, tourism, and settlement have already shaped the meaning of Castle Rock. Similarly, an artwork made from industrial surplus can turn waste into a critical or aesthetic object.

The comparison therefore asks how modern society changes both land and material culture. The photograph records a location through light and viewpoint, while the sculpture occupies space and requires the viewer to move around it. One presents apparent permanence, while the other may point toward the persistence of synthetic materials. Both works can be interpreted as documents of modernism: the landscape photograph through surveying, technology, and historical repetition; the industrial artwork through manufactured material, scale, and abstraction.

Jerry Uelsmann and Peter Combe

The final comparison in the original essay links Jerry Uelsmann's work with an image by Peter Combe and interprets both as representations of male power. Uelsmann became known for combining multiple negatives in the darkroom to create photographs of impossible spaces. His work challenges the assumption that photography must record one actual scene. Landscapes, interiors, bodies, and objects merge into dreamlike allegories. The original essay accurately refers to his "complex multi-image allegories" and sophisticated printing techniques. Those techniques are not merely decorative; they allow the image to represent memory, imagination, anxiety, and symbolic identity.

A male figure in such a work may appear thoughtful or satisfied, but that appearance should be connected with cultural conventions. Western portraiture often represents men beside desks, books, machines, or landscapes in order to associate masculinity with intellect, labor, ownership, and public authority. Peter Combe's use of repeated or reconstructed visual fragments similarly reminds the viewer that a portrait is assembled rather than discovered. The man at the desk may symbolize thought, but the image also produces that interpretation through setting and pose.

The original essay appreciates male power while describing women through beauty and seduction. The comparison of all four pairings reveals the inequality within those categories. Women have historically been asked to appear, while men have been shown acting, thinking, owning, or creating. Contemporary photography can repeat these conventions, but it can also expose them. A thoughtful male expression is no more natural than an elegant female pose; both are learned visual languages.

Gender Power and the Viewer's Position

Power in photography is distributed among the photographer, subject, institution, and viewer. The photographer selects the frame, exposure, moment, and final image. The subject may cooperate, perform, resist, or remain uncertain. Galleries and museums determine captions, placement, and value. Viewers bring assumptions about gender, race, class, beauty, and authority. A feminist analysis should therefore avoid treating the woman in an image as completely powerless or completely liberated. Agency may exist within limitations, and a beautiful image may still participate in unequal traditions.

The viewer's gaze is especially important. John Berger argued that western art frequently organized men as actors and women as appearances(Berger, 1972). Laura Mulvey later examined how visual culture can align the spectator with masculine desire (Mulvey, 1975). These ideas help explain why the original essay so easily reads female gestures as seductive and male posture as thoughtful. The photographs have been interpreted through a learned social framework. Critical comparison makes that framework visible and allows alternative readings.

Modernism Across the Selected Works

Modernism appears in the selected works through experimental lighting, black-and-white tonal contrast, staged bodies, technological objects, rephotography, montage, industrial materials, and the breaking of ordinary visual perspective. It is not one single style. Hawarden's domestic photography predates canonical twentieth-century modernism but anticipates its interest in doubling, framing, and unstable identity. Bruguière turns the modern woman and telephone into abstract form. Uelsmann rejects the photograph's claim to one reality. Klett uses repeated viewpoints to place history inside landscape. Essaydi and other contemporary artists revisit earlier visual conventions to reveal the gendered and colonial power hidden within them.

Modernism also included exclusion. Art histories often presented European and male photographers as universal innovators while women and nonwestern artists were treated as secondary. The works in this comparison challenge that narrow account. Women appear not only as photographed bodies but as photographers and critics of representation. Contemporary artists use the visual language of modernism while questioning who was allowed to define beauty, progress, and artistic authority.

Conclusion

The original photographic comparisons remain valuable because they connect gender, power, nature, industrial modernism, and artistic technique. Hawarden and the contemporary gallery image show femininity being staged within different historical interiors. Bruguière and Essaydi connect women with communication, language, desire, and the politics of looking. Klett and Bushaw's Castle Rock and

the Sowa artwork contrast photographed landscape with manufactured material while revealing that nature is already shaped by history. Uelsmann and Combe demonstrate how male authority and thought are also constructed through visual conventions.

The main conclusion should not be that women are naturally seductive or manipulative while men are naturally powerful thinkers. Photography helps produce those meanings through pose, light, framing, history, and spectatorship. The selected works can reinforce gendered expectations, but they can also make them visible and open them to criticism. Comparative photography is therefore strongest when it asks not only what an image shows, but who controls the representation, how the viewer is positioned, and which social ideas make a particular interpretation seem natural.

References

Berger, J. (1972). *Ways of seeing*. BBC and Penguin.

Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.

Fineman, M. (2021). *The new woman behind the camera*. National Gallery of Art and Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Mulvey, L. (1975). Visual pleasure and narrative cinema. *Screen, 16*(3), 6–18.

Solomon-Godeau, A. (1991). *Photography at the dock*. University of Minnesota Press.