Fiscal And Monetary Policies In The United States

Posted on September 23, 2019

Fiscal and monetary policy are the two principal macroeconomic tools used in the United States to influence aggregate demand, employment, inflation, financial conditions, and long-term economic capacity. The original essay correctly distinguishes fiscal policy as government taxing and spending decisions and monetary policy as the Federal Reserve's management of interest rates and financial conditions. It incorrectly suggests, however, that one policy is always better for the "real economy" and the other mainly affects finance. Both policies influence production, employment, prices, investment, exchange rates, housing, and household income, but they operate through different institutions and transmission channels. Fiscal policy is determined mainly by Congress and the president through legislation and the federal budget. Monetary policy is conducted independently within government by the Federal Open Market Committee under a congressional mandate. Their effectiveness depends on the economic problem, timing, available policy space, expectations, distributional effects, and whether the disturbance comes from weak demand, supply disruption, financial instability, or structural constraints (Mishkin, 2022; Federal Reserve Board, 2026).

Institutional Responsibility for Fiscal Policy

Fiscal policy begins with the constitutional authority of Congress to tax, spend, and borrow. The president proposes budgets and signs or vetoes legislation, while executive agencies administer programs. Federal taxes include individual and corporate income taxes, payroll taxes, excise taxes, customs duties, and other receipts. Spending includes mandatory programs such as Social Security and Medicare, discretionary appropriations for defense and nondefense activities, transfers to states, investment, and interest on federal debt. A budget deficit occurs when federal outlays exceed revenues during a fiscal year; a surplus occurs when revenues exceed outlays. The accumulated effect of past borrowing contributes to federal debt. These accounting relationships are straightforward, but the economic meaning of a deficit depends on circumstances. Borrowing during a severe recession can support demand and prevent deeper losses, while persistent large deficits in an economy near capacity may increase interest costs and reduce future fiscal flexibility (Congressional Budget Office, 2026).

Automatic Stabilizers

Some fiscal responses occur without new legislation. Progressive income taxes collect less when incomes fall, and programs such as unemployment insurance and nutrition assistance spend more

when economic hardship rises. These automatic stabilizers support household income and demand during downturns and withdraw support as conditions improve. Their speed is a major advantage because Congress does not need to identify the exact start of a recession before they operate. The Congressional Research Service describes automatic stabilizers as countercyclical features that reduce taxes and increase transfers when economic activity weakens. Their limitation is scale: a severe recession may require additional discretionary action, while eligibility rules may exclude people whose losses are real but do not fit existing categories (Congressional Research Service, 2019).

Discretionary Fiscal Policy

Discretionary fiscal policy requires legislative action. Expansionary measures may include infrastructure spending, aid to state and local governments, temporary tax reductions, enhanced unemployment benefits, direct transfers, or targeted business support. Contractionary measures may reduce spending or increase taxes in order to limit demand, deficits, or inflationary pressure. The economic effect depends on the policy's fiscal multiplier—the change in output associated with a change in government spending or taxation. Multipliers are not fixed. They tend to be larger when unemployment is high, monetary policy accommodates the expansion, households are liquidity constrained, and resources are idle. They may be smaller when the economy is near capacity, imports absorb demand, or higher interest rates offset the stimulus (Congressional Research Service, 2019; Mishkin, 2022).

Timing and the Fiscal Policy Lags

Fiscal policy faces recognition, decision, implementation, and impact lags. Policymakers may not know whether weak data indicate a temporary fluctuation or recession. Congress then needs time to negotiate legislation, and agencies require time to distribute funds or begin projects. Tax rebates and existing transfer systems can operate relatively quickly, while major infrastructure takes longer. Slow projects may still be valuable if they raise productive capacity, but they may not arrive at the correct moment for short-term stabilization. Designing temporary policies with clear triggers can reduce some timing problems. Automatic expiration is useful when support is intended only for recession, though abrupt "fiscal cliffs" can create uncertainty (Congressional Research Service, 2019).

Distribution Matters in Fiscal Policy

Fiscal policy does not affect all households and businesses equally. A tax reduction for a low-income household is more likely to be spent quickly than an equivalent benefit to a household with substantial savings, though the latter may invest it. Infrastructure spending benefits workers, suppliers, users, and regions connected to the project. Transfers can reduce hardship, but poorly

designed programs may create administrative burdens or exclude eligible people. Corporate incentives can stimulate investment or reward activity that would have occurred anyway. Evaluating fiscal policy therefore requires asking who receives support, how quickly it is used, what behavior changes, and whether the long-term benefit exceeds the borrowing cost (Congressional Research Service, 2019).

Long-Term Fiscal Policy and Economic Capacity

Not all fiscal policy is countercyclical. Education, research, transportation, public health, energy systems, childcare, and digital infrastructure can influence long-run labor supply, productivity, and resilience. Tax structure affects incentives to work, save, invest, locate profits, and take risk. Long-term growth policy should not be judged only by its immediate effect on consumption. A project may have a modest short-run multiplier but large social returns over decades. Conversely, describing all spending as investment can conceal programs with weak design. Cost-benefit analysis, transparency, maintenance funding, and evaluation are necessary (Congressional Budget Office, 2026).

The Federal Reserve's Mandate

Congress has assigned the Federal Reserve goals commonly summarized as maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates. The Federal Open Market Committee conducts monetary policy, while the Federal Reserve System also supervises banks, supports payment systems, and performs financial-stability functions. The central bank's operational independence is intended to reduce pressure for short-term political manipulation, but the Fed remains accountable to Congress and the public through reports, testimony, published decisions, minutes, projections, and audits of specified activities. Independence does not mean absence of democratic responsibility. Monetary policy decisions distribute risks and affect employment, borrowing costs, asset values, housing, and public finance (Federal Reserve Board, 2026, *Statement on Longer-Run Goals*).

The Federal Funds Rate

The FOMC sets a target range for the federal funds rate, the overnight rate at which depository institutions lend reserve balances. The Federal Reserve implements the target through administered rates and money-market operations. Changes in this short-term rate influence other interest rates, including Treasury yields, mortgages, business loans, credit cards, and deposit returns, although the transmission is neither immediate nor one-for-one. Lower rates generally make borrowing and investment more attractive and can support asset prices and demand. Higher rates restrain credit-sensitive spending and reduce inflation pressure over time. The impact depends on expectations, bank conditions, household balance sheets, and the reason rates are changing (Mishkin, 2022; Federal Reserve Board, 2026).

Open Market Operations and the Balance Sheet

The original essay correctly identifies open market operations but describes them as simply injecting or withdrawing money. The modern framework is more specific. The Federal Reserve buys and sells securities, conducts repurchase operations when needed, sets interest paid on reserve balances, and uses other tools to maintain the policy rate within its target range. During major crises, the Fed expanded its balance sheet through large-scale purchases of Treasury and agency mortgage-backed securities, which lowered longer-term yields and supported market functioning. Reducing holdings or allowing securities to mature can tighten financial conditions. Balance-sheet policy affects the composition and quantity of central-bank assets and liabilities, not merely a mechanical stock of "money" circulating among households (Federal Reserve Board, 2026; Mishkin, 2022).

Reserve Requirements and Current Practice

Traditional textbooks emphasize reserve requirements as a principal monetary tool. In March 2020, the Federal Reserve reduced reserve requirement ratios to zero, and the current ample-reserves framework relies primarily on administered interest rates rather than frequent changes in mandatory reserve ratios. Banks still face liquidity, capital, and supervisory requirements, but it is inaccurate to describe reserve requirements as the main way the Federal Reserve currently controls lending. Banks do not lend a fixed multiple of reserves automatically; lending decisions depend on capital, risk, funding, regulation, borrower demand, and expected profitability (Federal Reserve Board, 2026; Mishkin, 2022).

Expansionary Monetary Policy

When inflation is controlled and employment is weak, the Federal Reserve may lower its target rate or use balance-sheet tools to ease financial conditions. Lower borrowing costs can encourage consumption of durable goods, housing activity, business investment, refinancing, and asset purchases. Easier conditions may weaken the dollar, supporting exports while raising import prices. Monetary expansion can also improve cash flow for indebted households and firms. It cannot ensure that credit reaches every community, and it may be less effective when borrowers are unwilling, banks are impaired, or rates are already near their effective lower bound. Monetary policy stimulates demand broadly rather than selecting specific public projects or households (Mishkin, 2022).

Contractionary Monetary Policy

When inflation remains above the desired rate, the Fed may raise interest rates or otherwise tighten conditions. Higher rates reduce interest-sensitive demand, slow credit growth, and can moderate wage and price pressure by bringing spending closer to the economy's productive capacity. The

process involves costs. Housing, construction, small businesses, leveraged companies, and durable-goods purchases can weaken. Unemployment may rise if tightening is excessive. Monetary policymakers therefore balance the risk of persistent inflation against the risk of unnecessary economic contraction. They cannot target only “bad” inflation without affecting broader demand (Federal Reserve Board, 2026; Mishkin, 2022).

Supply Shocks and the Limits of Demand Management

Fiscal and monetary policy are most straightforward when the main problem is insufficient demand. Supply shocks are harder. An oil disruption, pandemic, crop failure, war, tariff increase, or production bottleneck can raise prices while reducing output. Stimulating demand may support employment but worsen inflation; tightening may restrain prices while deepening the output loss. Policymakers must judge whether the shock is temporary, whether it is spreading into expectations and wages, and whether targeted fiscal relief can protect vulnerable households without adding excessive aggregate demand. No policy can immediately create missing energy, semiconductors, housing, or skilled workers (Federal Reserve Board, 2026; Mishkin, 2022).

The U.S. Policy Setting in July 2026

As of the Federal Reserve’s July 2026 Monetary Policy Report, the FOMC had maintained the federal funds target range at 3.50 to 3.75 percent since the beginning of the year. The Fed reported that economic activity was expanding at a solid pace, the labor market was broadly stable, and inflation remained above the 2 percent objective, partly because supply shocks had raised prices in sectors including energy. The policy stance illustrates a difficult environment: strong investment and relatively stable employment coexist with elevated inflation and geopolitical uncertainty. Holding rates steady is itself a policy decision that maintains existing restraint while policymakers assess incoming data (Federal Reserve Board, 2026, *Monetary Policy Report—July 2026*).

The Federal Budget Setting in 2026

The Congressional Budget Office projected a federal deficit of approximately $1.9 trillion for fiscal year 2026, equal to 5.8 percent of gross domestic product, with debt held by the public around 101 percent of GDP. CBO projected that debt would continue rising under laws in place at the time of the baseline, driven partly by mandatory spending and net interest costs. A large deficit does not prove that every program is wasteful, and debt sustainability cannot be judged by a single threshold. It does mean that future fiscal choices involve increasing trade-offs. High interest costs reduce budget space for other priorities, and sustained deficits during relatively normal employment conditions can make recession response more difficult (Congressional Budget Office, 2026).

How Fiscal and Monetary Policy Interact

The two policies can reinforce or offset each other. During a deep recession, fiscal transfers and spending may support demand while the Fed maintains low rates, producing coordinated expansion. If fiscal policy remains highly expansionary when inflation is elevated, the Fed may keep rates higher than otherwise, offsetting part of the demand effect. Monetary tightening also raises federal interest costs as debt is refinanced, connecting central-bank decisions to the budget. Policy interaction does not require the Fed and Congress to share one political plan. Each institution responds under its legal authority, but the economy experiences the combined stance (Mishkin, 2022; Congressional Budget Office, 2026).

Financial Stability and Emergency Policy

Monetary policy and financial-stability policy overlap but are not identical. During market dysfunction, the Federal Reserve may provide liquidity through lending facilities to support the financial system even while maintaining a policy stance aimed at inflation. Fiscal authorities may guarantee programs, recapitalize institutions with congressional authorization, or provide relief to households. Emergency support creates moral-hazard concerns if investors expect protection from all losses. Effective crisis design should distinguish liquidity problems from insolvency, impose conditions, protect the payment system, and preserve accountability (Mishkin, 2022).

Asset Prices and Inequality

Low interest rates can raise the value of stocks, bonds, and real estate, benefiting households that own more assets. Higher rates can reduce valuations and increase unemployment risk, which disproportionately harms workers with limited savings. Fiscal policy can target support more directly, but tax and spending choices also have unequal effects. These distributional consequences do not mean monetary policy should pursue asset equality instead of price stability and employment. They mean macroeconomic policy should be evaluated alongside labor, housing, tax, competition, and social policies that shape who owns assets and who bears adjustment costs (Mishkin, 2022).

Which Policy Is More Effective?

There is no universal answer. Monetary policy can be changed quickly and applies broadly, making it useful for ordinary demand management. Fiscal policy can target particular households, industries, public investments, or geographic areas and may remain effective when interest rates are constrained. Fiscal action is slower politically and can increase debt; monetary action is less targeted and works through financial channels that may be uneven. In a banking panic, central-bank liquidity may be essential. In a public-health emergency or infrastructure failure, fiscal spending is

indispensable. In persistent inflation driven by excessive aggregate demand, monetary restraint and responsible fiscal policy may need to work together (Congressional Research Service, 2019; Mishkin, 2022).

Conclusion

Fiscal and monetary policy influence the U.S. economy through different institutions, tools, and channels. Fiscal policy changes taxes, transfers, spending, borrowing, and public investment; monetary policy changes interest rates, reserve conditions, and the central bank's balance sheet. Both can expand or contract demand, and both affect the real and financial economy. Their success depends on timing, design, credibility, distribution, and the source of the economic disturbance. In 2026, the United States faces the combination of elevated inflation, significant uncertainty, solid activity, and large federal deficits. That setting illustrates why policy cannot be reduced to the claim that spending always creates growth or interest rates only affect finance. Effective macroeconomic management requires coordination in effect, institutional independence where required, transparent trade-offs, and a distinction between short-term stabilization and long-term economic capacity (Federal Reserve Board, 2026; Congressional Budget Office, 2026; Mishkin, 2022).

References

Congressional Budget Office. (2026). *The budget and economic outlook: 2026 to 2036*.

Congressional Research Service. (2019). *Fiscal policy considerations for the next recession* (R45780).

Federal Reserve Board. (2026). *Monetary Policy Report—July 2026*.

Federal Reserve Board. (2026). *Statement on longer-run goals and monetary policy strategy*.

Mishkin, F. S. (2022). *The economics of money, banking, and financial markets* (13th ed.). Pearson.