

Fiscal And Monetary Policies In The United States

Posted on September 23, 2019

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/fiscal-and-monetary-policies-in-the-united-states/>

Introduction

Fiscal and monetary policy are the two principal macroeconomic tools used in the United States to influence aggregate demand, employment, inflation, financial conditions, and long-run economic capacity. Fiscal policy operates mainly through federal taxing, spending, transfers, and borrowing decisions enacted by Congress and the president, while monetary policy is conducted by the Federal Reserve through interest-rate policy, balance-sheet operations, and the management of reserve conditions. The two systems affect both the “real” economy and financial markets; neither can be confined to only one side of that divide. Tax changes and federal spending alter household income, business demand, public investment, and government borrowing, while Federal Reserve decisions influence mortgages, business credit, housing, exchange rates, asset values, and employment. Their effectiveness depends on the source of the disturbance, the timing of intervention, existing inflation, available productive capacity, distributional effects, and institutional constraints. In 2026, these trade-offs are especially visible because inflation remains elevated, economic activity is still expanding, the federal budget deficit is large, and monetary policy has recently become more restrictive.

Fiscal Policy: Automatic Stabilizers and Discretionary Action

Fiscal policy works through both automatic stabilizers and deliberate legislative changes. Progressive income taxes automatically collect less when household and business incomes fall, while unemployment insurance and other means-tested programs generally provide more support when hardship rises. These mechanisms can soften a downturn without waiting for Congress to identify a recession and pass a new law. Discretionary fiscal policy requires legislation and may include temporary tax reductions, infrastructure spending, aid to state and local governments, enhanced unemployment benefits, direct transfers, or targeted business assistance. The size of the resulting effect on output depends on the fiscal multiplier, which varies with economic conditions. Support tends to have a larger near-term effect when unemployment is high, households are liquidity constrained, resources are idle, and monetary policy is not offsetting the expansion. The effect can be smaller when the economy is near capacity, imports absorb additional demand, or borrowing costs

rise. Fiscal policy can also pursue long-term objectives such as transportation, research, public health, education, energy systems, and digital infrastructure, whose value cannot be judged only by their immediate effect on consumption.

The Federal Budget and Fiscal Space in 2026

Federal fiscal policy also has to be evaluated against the government's existing budget position. The Congressional Budget Office's February 2026 baseline projected a federal deficit of approximately \$1.9 trillion for fiscal year 2026, equal to 5.8 percent of gross domestic product, with debt held by the public at about 101 percent of GDP. Under laws in place for the baseline, CBO projected debt rising to 120 percent of GDP by 2036, while emphasizing that long-range budget outcomes are uncertain and can change with legislation, economic conditions, administrative decisions, or court rulings. A large deficit does not establish that every federal program is ineffective or that borrowing is inappropriate in every circumstance. Its significance depends on the economic environment, the purpose and duration of spending, the tax structure, interest costs, and expected future growth. Persistent deficits do, however, increase net interest expense and can reduce the budgetary flexibility available for future recessions, emergencies, or public investment. Fiscal analysis should therefore distinguish short-term stabilization from the longer-term question of whether recurring revenues and spending commitments are financially sustainable.

How Monetary Policy Reaches Households and Businesses

The Federal Open Market Committee implements monetary policy primarily by setting a target range for the federal funds rate and using administered rates and market operations to keep short-term rates consistent with that target. Changes in the policy rate influence other borrowing costs, including Treasury yields, mortgages, auto loans, business credit, and some credit-card rates, although transmission is neither immediate nor one-for-one. Lower rates generally support interest-sensitive spending and investment, while higher rates restrain demand and can reduce inflation pressure over time. The Federal Reserve can also adjust the size and composition of its balance sheet, affecting longer-term financial conditions and market liquidity. Traditional textbook descriptions often emphasize reserve requirements, but the Federal Reserve reduced reserve requirement ratios to zero in 2020 and now operates an ample-reserves framework in which administered interest rates play a central role. Banks do not mechanically lend a fixed multiple of reserves; lending also depends on capital, funding, risk, regulation, borrower demand, and profitability. Monetary policy is broad rather than targeted, so its effects differ across borrowers, sectors, regions, and asset markets.

The September 2026 Federal Reserve Stance

On September 16, 2026, the Federal Open Market Committee voted 12–0 to raise the target range for the federal funds rate by one-quarter percentage point to 3.75–4.00 percent. The Federal Reserve stated that economic activity was expanding at a solid pace, domestic spending had remained resilient, productivity growth was strong, capital investment was robust, job gains had kept pace with workforce growth, and the unemployment rate had changed little. At the same time, the Committee said inflation remained elevated and described the rate increase as supporting a more timely return to its 2 percent inflation goal. This decision replaced the earlier 3.50–3.75 percent range that had been maintained through much of 2026. The move illustrates why monetary policy cannot be summarized as either “stimulating” or “hurting” the economy in isolation. Higher rates are intended to moderate inflationary pressure by restraining demand, but they can also raise financing costs for households, firms, housing, and government debt. The policy judgment therefore involves balancing inflation risks against the possibility of slowing economic activity more than necessary.

Supply Shocks and Interaction Between the Two Policies

Fiscal and monetary policy are most straightforward when an economy suffers from insufficient aggregate demand, but supply shocks create more difficult trade-offs. An oil disruption, pandemic, production bottleneck, crop failure, war, or [tariff](#) increase can raise prices while simultaneously weakening output. Stimulating demand may protect employment but add to inflation, while monetary tightening may restrain price growth but deepen the loss in output. Policymakers must therefore assess whether a shock is temporary, whether inflation expectations are changing, and whether targeted fiscal relief can protect vulnerable households without producing excessive aggregate demand. Fiscal and monetary policy can also reinforce or offset one another. During a severe recession, transfers and public spending may support demand while the Federal Reserve lowers rates. If fiscal policy remains highly expansionary when inflation is elevated, the Federal Reserve may keep rates higher than otherwise, reducing part of the demand effect. Higher interest rates can also raise federal interest costs as debt is refinanced, creating an important connection between central-bank decisions and the budget.

Distribution, Financial Stability, and Long-Run Capacity

Macroeconomic policy has distributional effects even when its official objective is aggregate stabilization. Fiscal policy can target particular households, industries, or public investments, so its effect depends on who receives support, how quickly funds are spent, and whether programs change

productive capacity. Monetary policy works through financial conditions and therefore affects borrowers, savers, homeowners, renters, workers, and asset owners differently. Low rates can support employment and increase asset values, while higher rates can benefit some savers yet make mortgages, business investment, and consumer credit more expensive. Financial-stability tools add another layer because central-bank liquidity facilities may be used during market stress without implying that ordinary monetary policy has become expansionary. These distributional consequences do not mean that the Federal Reserve should replace tax, housing, labor, or social policy; they mean that **macroeconomic policy** should be evaluated alongside the institutions that determine who bears adjustment costs. Long-run fiscal policy likewise affects productivity through education, research, infrastructure, health, and public investment, making stabilization only one dimension of economic management.

Conclusion

Fiscal and monetary policy influence the U.S. economy through different legal institutions, tools, and transmission channels. Fiscal policy changes taxes, transfers, federal spending, public investment, and borrowing; monetary policy changes short-term interest rates, reserve conditions, and the Federal Reserve's balance sheet. Both policies affect output, employment, inflation, investment, credit, and financial markets, but their effectiveness varies according to the type of economic shock and the timing of the response. In 2026, the policy environment illustrates those trade-offs clearly. CBO projects a federal deficit of about \$1.9 trillion, or 5.8 percent of GDP, and debt held by the public near 101 percent of GDP, while the Federal Reserve raised its policy target range to 3.75–4.00 percent on September 16 as inflation remained elevated. No single instrument is universally superior: fiscal measures can be targeted and can finance public investment, while monetary policy can be adjusted rapidly and operates broadly. Effective analysis therefore requires separating short-term stabilization, distributional consequences, financial stability, and long-term economic capacity rather than treating either policy as a simple automatic remedy.

References

- Congressional Budget Office. (2026). *The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2026 to 2036*.
- Congressional Research Service. (2019). *Fiscal Policy Considerations for the Next Recession* (R45780).
- Federal Reserve Board. (2026). *Federal Reserve Issues FOMC Statement, September 16, 2026*.
- Federal Reserve Board. (2026). *Statement on Longer-Run Goals and Monetary Policy Strategy*.
- Mishkin, F. S. (2022). *The Economics of Money, Banking, and Financial Markets* (13th ed.). Pearson.