Ethics And Social Responsibility

Posted on October 23, 2019

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/ethics-and-social-responsibility/

Introduction

Ethics and social responsibility shape how organizations create value, exercise power, and respond to the people affected by their decisions. Ethics concerns standards of right conduct, including honesty, fairness, respect, responsibility, and the protection of rights, while social responsibility extends those standards to the organization's relationships with employees, customers, suppliers, communities, the environment, and future generations. Legal compliance is necessary, but it does not exhaust these obligations because laws cannot anticipate every conflict created by new technologies, global supply chains, market pressure, or unequal bargaining power. A decision may satisfy a narrow legal requirement while still withholding material information, shifting environmental costs to the public, exploiting vulnerable workers, or collecting more personal data than users reasonably expect. Responsible management therefore requires structured ethical judgment rather than reliance on minimum rules alone. The central challenge is to pursue legitimate organizational goals while ensuring that profitability, innovation, and efficiency are not achieved through preventable harm, deception, or unfair transfer of risk to less powerful stakeholders.

Ethical Judgment Beyond Compliance

Compliance systems translate law and regulation into policies for areas such as bribery, privacy, financial reporting, workplace safety, harassment, and conflicts of interest. They are indispensable because employees need clear boundaries and organizations need evidence that duties are being implemented consistently. Yet a compliance-only culture can become mechanical if employees learn to ask merely whether conduct is prohibited rather than whether it is truthful, fair, or foreseeable in its effects. Ethical reasoning adds questions that rules alone cannot answer: What purpose does the decision serve? Who receives the benefits and who carries the burdens? Are people being treated as autonomous participants rather than convenient means? Could the same decision be defended publicly to those most affected by it? Rights-based reasoning emphasizes privacy, dignity, and freedom from discrimination; consequential reasoning evaluates likely benefits and harms; justice examines distribution; and an ethic of care draws attention to dependency and vulnerability. Considering these perspectives together helps managers address unfamiliar dilemmas without reducing ethics to intuition or public relations.

The Facebook and Cambridge Analytica episode illustrates why responsibility must include the design

and governance of data ecosystems. The Federal Trade Commission concluded that deceptive practices enabled an application to obtain information from users and many of their Facebook friends for voter profiling and targeting, while a separate 2019 settlement imposed a $5 billion penalty and extensive privacy restrictions on Facebook. The ethical problem was therefore broader than a simple claim that Facebook directly sold a single file of user information. Platform architecture, permissions, developer access, oversight, disclosure, and foreseeable secondary uses all shaped the harm (Federal Trade Commission, 2019). This case shows that meaningful consent cannot be treated as a one-time box checked by a user who cannot realistically understand every downstream data flow. Organizations that profit from information-sharing systems remain responsible for limiting collection, controlling third-party access, communicating purposes honestly, securing information, and creating enforceable remedies when misuse occurs. Ethical responsibility is strongest when privacy and accountability are built into system design before a scandal exposes weaknesses.

Stakeholders, Value Creation, and Social Responsibility

A socially responsible organization recognizes that shareholders provide capital but are not the only stakeholders exposed to corporate decisions. Employees depend on safe working conditions, fair compensation, predictable standards, and channels for raising concerns without retaliation. Customers depend on products and services that are safe, understandable, accessible, and marketed without deception. Suppliers need expectations that are demanding but achievable; impossible prices or deadlines can encourage subcontracting abuses even when a buyer’s written code appears strong. Communities are affected by employment, pollution, infrastructure pressure, tax practices, and decisions to open or close facilities. Future generations have interests in climate stability and natural resources that ordinary transactions may not capture. ISO 26000 and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises both reflect this broader view of responsible conduct. Stakeholder responsibility does not require satisfying every demand equally, but it does require identifying material impacts, explaining trade-offs, preventing serious harm, and providing meaningful remedies when organizational activity injures people or communities.

Profit remains necessary because financially weak organizations cannot reliably pay employees, invest in safety, develop products, or survive long enough to meet commitments. The ethical issue is therefore not whether organizations should earn returns but how those returns are generated and whether the business model creates durable value. A firm may increase short-term earnings by delaying maintenance, hiding defects, underpaying suppliers, manipulating customers, or externalizing pollution, yet these practices create risks that eventually appear through injury, litigation, regulation, turnover, distrust, or environmental damage. Conversely, responsible practices can strengthen recruitment, product quality, resilience, reputation, and relationships with regulators and communities, although ethics should not be justified only by the promise of immediate financial gain. Some responsible choices genuinely cost money because avoiding harm has value even when it

does not maximize quarterly earnings. Social responsibility becomes credible when managers accept that profitability is constrained by duties to people and institutions rather than treating any action that increases revenue as legitimate until law or public pressure forbids it.

Governance, Incentives, and Responsible Innovation

Ethical conduct depends on organizational systems as much as individual character. Leaders communicate priorities through the questions they ask, the information they demand, the incentives they approve, and the misconduct they tolerate. A company cannot plausibly promote integrity while rewarding employees only for sales volume, speed, or cost reduction and ignoring how those results are achieved. Performance systems should therefore incorporate quality, safety, customer outcomes, compliance, and long-term risk where those factors are material. Boards and senior managers also need information that has not been filtered by departments afraid to report bad news. Internal audit, independent risk functions, employee surveys, protected reporting channels, and consistent investigations can reveal weaknesses before they become crises. Codes of ethics are useful when they are connected to realistic training, accessible advice, and fair enforcement. Whistleblowing mechanisms matter, but employees will not trust them if influential colleagues escape consequences. Governance converts ethical values from aspirational language into routines that shape everyday decisions and accountability.

Technology intensifies the need for this governance because automated systems can scale both benefits and mistakes. Before deploying an algorithm or data-intensive service, an organization should define a legitimate purpose, minimize unnecessary information, evaluate data quality, test performance across relevant groups, consider less intrusive alternatives, provide appropriate human review, and establish ways for affected people to challenge errors. Similar impact assessment is useful for major restructurings, new supply chains, environmental projects, and products that influence health or safety. Ethical communication is equally important when evidence is uncertain. Managers need not predict every outcome perfectly, but they should disclose material limitations, correct misleading claims, and document assumptions so later learning is possible. Global operations add another difficulty because legal standards vary among jurisdictions. Respect for local culture does not justify using weaker regulation to impose harms that the organization would consider unacceptable elsewhere. A defensible minimum based on human rights, safety, honesty, and meaningful accountability should travel with the organization across markets and suppliers.

Conclusion

Ethics and social responsibility are integral to organizational performance because they determine whether value is created through practices that people can reasonably trust. Compliance provides essential rules, but ethical judgment asks deeper questions about rights, consequences, fairness,

care, and the distribution of risk. Social responsibility expands those questions across employees, customers, suppliers, communities, the environment, and future generations. The Cambridge Analytica case demonstrates how responsibility can arise from the architecture and governance of a system even when a harmful outcome cannot be reduced to a simple sale of data. More broadly, organizations build legitimacy when incentives, oversight, product design, procurement, reporting, and responses to misconduct reflect their stated principles. Profit and responsibility are not automatically opposed, yet responsible conduct should not depend on proving that every ethical choice immediately increases earnings. The durable standard is whether an organization can explain how it pursues its goals, prevents foreseeable harm, listens to affected stakeholders, and accepts accountability when its decisions produce consequences beyond its own balance sheet.

References

Federal Trade Commission. “FTC Imposes $5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook.” 2019.

Federal Trade Commission. “FTC Issues Opinion and Order Against Cambridge Analytica for Deceiving Consumers About the Collection of Facebook Data.” 2019.

International Organization for Standardization. *ISO 26000: Guidance on Social Responsibility*.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct*.