

Ethical Issues That Have Adversely Affected Our Society For Many Decades

Posted on November 1, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/ethical-issues-that-have-adversely-affected-our-society-for-many-decades/>

Healthcare Ethics

Healthcare ethics is not a list of controversial topics with one correct answer for each. Ethical conflict usually appears when legitimate values pull in different directions: respect for autonomy can conflict with a clinician's concern about harm; the desire to save one patient may compete with fair allocation of scarce resources; scientific progress may create benefits while exposing participants or future generations to uncertain risks. Contemporary medicine has made these conflicts more complex because clinicians can sustain life longer, transplant organs, edit genes, analyze enormous datasets, and increasingly use artificial intelligence in diagnosis and communication. The World Health Organization describes health ethics as covering issues from reproduction and public health to emerging technologies and the distribution of healthcare obligations (WHO, 2026a). A useful framework therefore begins with recurring ethical principles—autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, justice, respect for dignity, transparency, and accountability—and then asks how they apply to specific cases. Ethical disagreement does not automatically mean one side is irrational or immoral. It often reflects different judgments about whose interests deserve priority, what level of risk is acceptable, and which responsibilities individuals, professionals, and governments owe to one another.

Informed consent protects a patient's right to make decisions about their own body, but valid consent requires more than obtaining a signature. The person needs relevant information, decision-making capacity, freedom from coercion, and a genuine opportunity to ask questions or refuse. This principle applies to surgery, research participation, genetic testing, organ donation, reproductive treatment, and many other areas. It becomes more difficult when patients are children, unconscious, cognitively impaired, under severe distress, or dependent on the people requesting consent. Clinicians may then need surrogates, advance directives, or carefully defined best-interest standards.

Autonomy also has limits because one person's choice can affect others. Public-health interventions, antimicrobial stewardship, vaccination policy, and disclosure of certain communicable risks illustrate situations in which individual preference may conflict with protection of the community. Ethical practice therefore requires proportionality: restrictions on autonomy should be justified by important interests, use the least restrictive effective approach, and remain open to review. The mistake is to treat autonomy as either absolute or irrelevant. Respecting autonomy means taking people seriously

as decision-makers while recognizing that healthcare occurs within families, institutions, and societies where actions can produce wider consequences.

End-of-Life Ethics

End-of-life ethics is especially difficult because medical technology can sometimes prolong biological life without restoring health or reducing suffering. Patients with decision-making capacity generally have the right to refuse unwanted treatment, including interventions that may prolong life. Respecting such a refusal is ethically different from intentionally causing death. The World Medical Association's 2022 end-of-life policy emphasizes shared decision-making, palliative care, respect for patient values, and the right to decline medical treatment, while the WMA continues to oppose euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide as defined in its policies (WMA, 2022). Other jurisdictions and professional groups may take different legal or ethical positions, so clinicians must understand both local law and professional obligations.

The most defensible ethical approach is to clarify goals of care early rather than waiting for crisis. Patients should be able to discuss prognosis, symptom control, resuscitation, ventilation, artificial nutrition, hospice, and the role of family or surrogates. Palliative care should not be confused with "giving up." It aims to relieve physical, psychological, social, and spiritual suffering even when cure is no longer possible. Ethical care at the end of life is strongest when decisions are voluntary, well informed, documented, revisited as circumstances change, and supported by adequate symptom management.

Organ Donation

Organ transplantation can save or transform lives, but scarcity creates ethical pressure at every stage. Donation must be voluntary, living donors must be protected from coercion and avoidable harm, and allocation systems need transparent criteria that do not simply favor wealth, status, or social influence. The World Health Assembly's 2024 resolution on transplantation called for stronger oversight, increased deceased donation, protection of living donors, and action against trafficking and exploitation (WHO, 2024a). WHO also notes that global transplantation remains far below need and that unequal access can encourage transplant tourism and illicit markets (WHO, 2026b).

The related Academic Master discussion of [organ donation](#) highlights why trust is essential. Families and potential donors need confidence that death is determined according to accepted medical criteria and that decisions about treatment are separate from decisions about organ recovery. Payment for organs raises further concerns because financial incentives may exploit people in poverty even when the transaction appears voluntary. Ethical transplantation systems therefore need transparent consent procedures, independent assessment of living donors, fair waiting-list rules, protection against trafficking, and public accountability about outcomes and allocation.

Genetics and Genome Editing

Genetic information differs from many other medical data because it can reveal information about biological relatives as well as the person tested. A patient may learn about inherited cancer risk, carrier status, or a condition that could affect children and siblings. This raises questions about privacy, family communication, discrimination, reproductive choice, and the right not to know. WHO's 2024 guidance on genomic data emphasizes transparency, equity, privacy, responsible access, and protection of individual and collective rights when genomic information is collected and shared (WHO, 2024b). The ethical problem is not simply whether genetic testing is scientifically accurate; it is also whether people understand what the result can and cannot predict and who may gain access to it.

Genome editing creates a further distinction between somatic treatment and heritable modification. Somatic editing affects the treated person, while heritable editing could affect future generations who cannot consent. WHO continues to call for strong national and international governance of human genome editing because safety, equity, oversight, and cross-border "ethics dumping" remain concerns (WHO, 2026c). The related Academic Master article on [human cloning](#) illustrates how biotechnology can move ethical debate beyond treatment toward questions about reproduction, identity, and social control. Ethical governance should therefore distinguish therapeutic uses aimed at serious disease from speculative enhancement and should demand stronger evidence and oversight as potential consequences extend beyond the individual patient.

Medical research depends on people accepting uncertainty for the possibility of generating knowledge that may benefit themselves or others. This creates an obligation to protect participants from exploitation. The World Medical Association's 2024 revision of the Declaration of Helsinki strengthened requirements concerning informed consent, vulnerable populations, scientific integrity, research ethics committees, transparency, participant and community engagement, and fair distribution of research benefits (WMA, 2024). The language of "participants" rather than "subjects" reflects a broader ethical shift toward recognizing people as partners with rights and agency rather than passive sources of data.

Ethical research design also requires genuine scientific value. Exposing people to risk in a poorly designed study is unethical because the knowledge produced may be unreliable. Placebo use, post-trial access, compensation, data sharing, biobanks, and international research all require attention to local context and power differences. Low-resource communities should not be selected merely because regulation is weak or participation is inexpensive. Ethical oversight is therefore not an obstacle added after the science is complete. It is part of determining whether the research deserves to be conducted at all.

Artificial Intelligence Ethics

Artificial intelligence can assist with diagnosis, documentation, imaging, triage, research, and patient communication, but it can also reproduce bias, generate false information, expose confidential data, and encourage clinicians to defer too readily to automated outputs. WHO's 2025 guidance on large multimodal models identifies risks including inaccurate or fabricated responses, biased training data, privacy loss, automation bias, reduced professional skills, and unclear informed consent (WHO, 2025). These concerns are especially important when AI tools are developed using health data from populations that do not reflect the patients in whom the system is later used.

Accountability cannot disappear because an algorithm was involved. Organizations need to know who is responsible for validation, monitoring, human review, error reporting, and decisions made with AI assistance. Patients should not be placed in a position where a consequential medical decision cannot be explained because a vendor's model is opaque. Ethical AI therefore requires evidence of benefit, appropriate oversight, cybersecurity, transparency about intended use, and safeguards against widening existing inequalities. Technology should support clinical judgment, not provide a way to avoid responsibility for it.

Many ethical controversies ultimately become questions of distribution. Who receives an organ? Who can afford genetic testing or expensive gene therapy? Whose data are used to train an AI system? Who bears the risks of research, and who receives the benefits? Who has access to palliative care, fertility treatment, or specialist medicine? Justice requires more than treating identical cases identically. It also requires attention to structural barriers that make formally available care inaccessible because of cost, geography, disability, discrimination, language, or insurance.

This is why ethical analysis must include health-system design rather than focus only on dramatic bedside dilemmas. A perfectly respectful consent conversation does not solve the injustice of a treatment being unavailable to most patients. Similarly, innovation can widen inequality when advanced services reach wealthy populations first and remain unaffordable elsewhere. WHO's recent work on research priority setting emphasizes that decisions about scarce research resources are ethical because choosing one priority necessarily means delaying or excluding others (WHO, 2025b). The same principle applies to healthcare budgets, workforce allocation, and technology investment.

Conclusion

Longstanding ethical issues in healthcare persist because scientific progress does not remove conflicts among autonomy, safety, dignity, fairness, and social responsibility. Instead, new capabilities create new versions of familiar problems. End-of-life care raises questions about refusal, suffering, and the meaning of professional responsibility. Organ transplantation forces societies to balance scarcity, consent, and protection from exploitation. Genetics and genome editing extend medical decisions into families and future generations. Human-participant research requires scientific integrity

and meaningful consent, while artificial intelligence creates new challenges involving bias, privacy, explanation, and accountability. These issues should not be handled through slogans or by assuming that legality automatically settles morality. Ethical decision-making requires clear facts, transparent reasoning, attention to affected people, proportionate safeguards, and willingness to revise policy as evidence and technology change. The most durable ethical principle is that medical progress is valuable only when it improves human wellbeing without treating people as instruments, data points, markets, or obstacles to innovation.

References

- World Health Organization. (2024a). *WHA77.4: Increasing Availability, Ethical Access and Oversight of Transplantation of Human Cells, Tissues and Organs*.
- World Health Organization. (2024b). *Guidance for Human Genome Data Collection, Access, Use and Sharing*.
- World Health Organization. (2025). *Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health: Guidance on Large Multi-Modal Models*.
- World Health Organization. (2025b). *WHO Guidance on the Ethics of Health Research Priority Setting*.
- World Health Organization. (2026a). *Global Health Ethics*.
- World Health Organization. (2026b). *Transplantation*.
- World Health Organization. (2026c). *Human Genome Editing*.
- World Medical Association. (2022). *WMA Declaration on End-of-Life Medical Care*.
- World Medical Association. (2024). *Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Participants*.