Ethical Dimensions of Contemporary Social Problems Analysis

Posted on July 10, 2018

Introduction

Andrew Morgan's documentary *The True Cost* uses the global clothing supply chain to show that economic, social, political, and environmental problems have ethical dimensions. The original reflection correctly connects low retail prices with garment labor, pesticides, waste, corporate power, and the 2013 Rana Plaza disaster. It treats all problems as products of one unequal distribution and ends too quickly with voluntary corporate social responsibility as the solution. Ethical analysis requires identifying who receives benefits, who bears risk, what information consumers possess, and which institutions can change incentives. Fast fashion is not only a question of individual shopping morality. It is a system involving brands, suppliers, workers, governments, investors, advertisers, and consumers with unequal power (The True Cost, 2015).

The Documentary's Central Argument

The True Cost contrasts fashion imagery and low prices with factories, cotton fields, labor protests, and discarded clothing. The film argues that the price on a garment excludes many costs imposed on workers, communities, and ecosystems. Economists call these externalities when production or consumption affects people who are not fully compensated through the transaction. Ethical reasoning asks whether those hidden burdens are accepted voluntarily and whether the people exposed have meaningful alternatives. A fifteen-dollar shirt is not inherently unethical, and a high price does not guarantee fair production. The moral question concerns the conditions, contracts, pollution, wages, and business model that make the price possible.

Rana Plaza and Preventable Risk

Rana Plaza collapsed in Dhaka on April 24, 2013, killing more than 1,100 people and injuring more than 2,000. Visible cracks had created warnings, yet garment workers were required or pressured to enter while some other occupants avoided the building. The disaster was not an unavoidable accident produced by distant consumer demand alone. It reflected unsafe construction, weak enforcement, supplier pressure, and the ability to shift risk downward. Brands may not have owned the building, but sourcing relationships affected price and deadline expectations. Ethical responsibility follows control, benefit, knowledge, and capacity to prevent harm. It cannot be avoided simply because production is performed by a legally separate contractor.

Workers and the Ethics of Choice

Garment employment can provide income and greater autonomy, particularly for women, and the industry is economically important in countries such as Bangladesh and Pakistan. This reality complicates claims that the ethical solution is to stop purchasing from those countries. A worker's acceptance of a job does not prove the conditions are fair when alternatives are limited and collective bargaining is restricted. Ethical improvement should protect employment while raising safety, wages, voice, and social protection. Brands, suppliers, governments, and trade unions need mechanisms that allow workers to refuse dangerous work without losing livelihood. The relevant comparison is not between a poor job and no job; it is among feasible ways of organizing the same valuable production.

Purchasing Practices and Supplier Pressure

Brands influence factory conditions through the prices they pay, order volume, lead time, forecasting accuracy, late changes, and penalties. A code of conduct demanding safety can conflict with a commercial department demanding lower cost and faster delivery. Suppliers then face incentives to use excessive overtime, subcontract secretly, delay maintenance, or suppress complaints. Ethical sourcing requires alignment between public standards and purchasing behavior. Long-term relationships, realistic timelines, shared remediation cost, and predictable orders can support compliance better than repeated audits alone. Buyers should also examine whether cancellation during a crisis transfers all loss to workers and factories. Responsibility exists within everyday contracting, not only after a disaster becomes visible.

Corporate Social Responsibility and Its Limits

Voluntary corporate social responsibility can produce training, inspections, disclosure, and environmental targets. It can also become reputation management when companies write standards without changing prices, contracts, or accountability. Audits may be scheduled, documents can be falsified, and workers may fear speaking honestly. A credible program needs independent verification, worker participation, transparent remediation, grievance mechanisms, and consequences for repeated failure. The International Accord that followed Rana Plaza demonstrated the value of legally binding commitments among brands and unions for fire and building safety. Voluntary innovation remains useful, but high-risk rights should not depend entirely on whether a company finds responsibility convenient in a particular year (United Nations Environment Programme, 2026).

Consumer Responsibility

Consumers influence demand through how much they buy, how long they use garments, and which claims they reward. Their responsibility is real but limited. Labels may not reveal subcontracting, wages, chemical use, or ownership, and ethical alternatives can cost more or be unavailable. Marketing encourages rapid replacement and converts identity into continuous consumption. Consumers can purchase fewer durable items, repair, reuse, share, buy secondhand, and ask brands for evidence. They should not assume that donation solves overconsumption because receiving countries can face waste and disruption of local markets. Ethical consumption is most effective when joined with policy and collective pressure rather than presented as personal purity achieved through one perfect brand.

Textile Waste and Exported Burden

UNEP reported in 2025 that approximately ninety-two million tonnes of textile waste are produced globally each year and that garment use duration declined as production expanded. Discarded clothing is landfilled, burned, recycled, or exported through complex secondhand markets. Used clothing can provide affordable goods and livelihoods, but unsellable material can become waste in countries without adequate infrastructure. Haiti is one setting discussed in the documentary, yet the global pattern should not be reduced to a claim that every donation destroys local industry. Outcomes depend on quality, trade rules, local production, demand, and waste management. Exporting unwanted material does not erase the environmental responsibility of the original market.

Water, Chemicals, and Climate

Textile production uses water, energy, dyes, finishing chemicals, and raw materials across agriculture and manufacturing. Cotton can involve irrigation and pesticide exposure, while synthetic fibers are connected with fossil resources and microfiber pollution. UNEP estimates that the textile industry contributes between two and eight percent of global greenhouse-gas emissions. The range reflects differences in boundaries and data, which should be acknowledged rather than converted into a false single number. Environmental ethics requires life-cycle analysis. A natural fiber is not automatically sustainable, and recycled content does not excuse overproduction. Design, durability, energy source, chemical management, use, washing, repair, and end-of-life systems all affect the burden.

Gender and Unequal Exposure

Women form a large majority of workers in many garment-export sectors while management, ownership, and purchasing power often remain more male dominated. This structure can expose workers to low pay, harassment, pregnancy discrimination, unsafe transport, and retaliation for organizing. Ethical analysis must therefore include gender rather than treat labor as one uniform category. Workplace mechanisms should allow confidential complaints, representation, maternity protection, and remedies that do not depend on a supervisor’s approval. Buyers should examine whether production targets and wage systems increase vulnerability. Empowerment cannot be measured only by the number of women employed; it also concerns control over income, bodily safety, advancement, and the ability to influence conditions.

Political Responsibility and Regulation

Governments in producing countries are responsible for building codes, labor inspection, freedom of association, environmental permits, and courts capable of enforcing rights. Governments in consumer markets influence due diligence, product standards, waste, customs, and corporate disclosure. Regulation can fail when agencies lack resources, corruption protects owners, or countries fear losing orders to competitors. This creates a collective-action problem: each jurisdiction may hesitate to raise standards alone. Trade agreements, international labor standards, binding buyer commitments, and coordinated due-diligence laws can reduce the race to the bottom. Policy should support factories through transition while ensuring that repeated violations do not remain profitable. Enforcement is part of ethical market design, not an enemy of commerce (International Labour Organization, n.d.).

Justice beyond Charity

After disasters, charitable compensation and relief are necessary, but justice requires more than voluntary assistance. Workers and families need prompt compensation, medical care, income support, and access to legal remedy. Preventive justice requires that those benefiting from production contribute to safe buildings, functioning inspections, and social insurance before harm occurs. Charity preserves the giver’s discretion, while rights create claims that institutions must honor. The distinction matters because publicity can reward a company for donating after a crisis even when its purchasing model contributed to risk. Ethical systems make prevention and remedy normal costs of business rather than exceptional acts of generosity performed after public pressure (Clean Clothes Campaign, n.d.; United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, 2011).

Ethical Frameworks

Utilitarian analysis asks whether low-cost clothing and employment benefits outweigh injuries, pollution, and waste, but aggregate benefit cannot excuse preventable concentration of harm. Rights-based ethics emphasizes bodily safety, association, fair treatment, and informed choice. Justice theory asks whether burdens fall on people with the least power and whether institutions would be acceptable from an impartial position. Care ethics highlights relationships, dependence, and the responsibility of brands toward workers whose labor sustains them. Virtue ethics asks whether corporate and consumer practices express honesty, restraint, and courage. These frameworks lead to overlapping reforms while revealing why one cost-benefit calculation cannot capture dignity, voice, and irreversible harm.

A Just Transition for Fashion

A more ethical fashion system would reduce overproduction, design for durability and repair, eliminate hazardous chemicals, improve forecasting, protect worker organization, and finance safe production. Extended producer responsibility can make brands contribute to collection and waste management, while traceability can identify factories and materials. Circularity should not become a reason to ignore labor or sell more products under a recycled label. Workers and small suppliers need investment, training, and income protection during technological and environmental transition. Success should be measured through wages, injuries, grievance outcomes, emissions, water, waste, product life, and purchasing practices. A just transition changes the business model rather than placing every obligation on consumers at checkout.

Conclusion

The True Cost demonstrates that contemporary economic and environmental problems contain ethical choices about risk, price, power, and responsibility. Rana Plaza exposed a supply chain in which workers bore life-threatening danger while brands and consumers received low prices and rapid fashion. Subsequent safety initiatives show that institutions can change when responsibility becomes binding and transparent. Consumers should buy and discard more thoughtfully, but individual choice cannot replace labor rights, inspection, fair purchasing, environmental regulation, and legal remedy. Corporate social responsibility is credible only when commercial decisions support its promises. The true cost of clothing should be reduced through safer and more circular production, not hidden from the people who enjoy the final product.

References

1. *The True Cost*. Directed by Andrew Morgan, Life Is My Movie Entertainment, 2015.
2. International Labour Organization. “Bangladesh: Improving Safety in the Garment Industry.”
3. International Labour Organization. “International Accord for Health and Safety in the Textile and Garment Industry.”
4. United Nations Environment Programme. “Unsustainable Fashion and Textiles in Focus for International Day of Zero Waste 2025.”
5. United Nations Environment Programme. “Sustainable and Circular Textiles.” Updated 2026.
6. Clean Clothes Campaign. Resources on Rana Plaza, compensation, and garment-worker rights.
7. United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. 2011.