

Ethical and Social Issues of Information Systems

Posted on March 26, 2018

View this essay online:

<https://academic-master.com/ethical-and-social-issues-of-information-systems/>

Introduction

Information systems now mediate banking, employment, education, healthcare, commerce, government services, communication, and personal relationships, so their ethical significance extends far beyond technical performance. A system may be fast, accurate, and profitable while still creating harms through excessive surveillance, weak security, unfair exclusion, misleading data, or unauthorized reuse of personal information. The classic information-ethics framework developed by Richard Mason remains useful because it organizes recurring problems around privacy, accuracy, property, and accessibility, often summarized as PAPA. These concerns have become more urgent as organizations combine cloud platforms, mobile devices, artificial intelligence, biometric data, location records, and large commercial databases. Ethical analysis therefore asks not only whether information can be collected or processed, but whether the purpose is legitimate, the amount of data is proportionate, affected people understand the practice, and errors can be corrected. Information systems serve society best when technical capability is constrained by rights, accountability, fairness, and transparent governance rather than treated as a justification for collecting everything that can be captured.

Privacy and Accuracy as Continuing Duties

Privacy concerns begin with control over information about identifiable people. Banks, employers, schools, hospitals, retailers, and governments may need personal data for legitimate functions, yet necessity does not justify unlimited collection or indefinite retention. Ethical practice requires purpose limitation, security, clear access rules, and meaningful procedures for correction or complaint. Accuracy is equally important because automated decisions can magnify a small error across many systems. An incorrect identity record, credit entry, health code, or employment status may deny a person money, treatment, travel, or work even when no human deliberately intended harm. Responsibility therefore cannot end with the claim that “the computer decided.” Organizations that create, purchase, merge, or rely on data remain accountable for validation, provenance, and consequences. Managers should know which source is authoritative, how often records are updated, who can override a decision, and how affected users can challenge it. Ethical information management treats data quality and privacy as continuing obligations rather than one-time

compliance exercises completed when a system is launched.

Ownership, Licensing, and Intellectual Property

Property questions concern who may control, copy, modify, sell, or benefit from information and digital creations. Copyright, patents, contracts, trade secrets, licensing, and confidentiality protect different interests, while open-source and open-access models deliberately permit wider reuse under defined conditions. The ethical issue is not solved by assuming that all information should be free or that every proprietary restriction is justified. Creators and organizations may deserve protection for investment and authorship, but excessively restrictive control can obstruct learning, competition, research, and public access. Unauthorized software and pirated materials also create security and legal risks because altered files may contain malware and users may lack reliable updates. Organizations should therefore use licensed systems and clear rules for [intellectual property](#), while distinguishing ownership from secrecy. Data about a customer, employee, or citizen may be stored by an institution without becoming ethically available for every commercial purpose. Property rights must be balanced with privacy, consent, public interest, and legitimate rights of access.

Accessibility and the Social Distribution of Technology

Accessibility raises a different question: who can obtain information, services, and digital opportunities, and under what conditions? Information systems can widen access to education, markets, government services, telehealth, and communication, but they can also deepen inequality when participation requires expensive devices, reliable broadband, advanced literacy, inaccessible interfaces, or identification documents that some users lack. Older adults, people with disabilities, rural communities, low-income households, and language minorities may experience a system very differently from its designers. Accessibility therefore includes affordability, usability, disability access, language, connectivity, and alternatives when digital channels fail. Social effects extend further into relationships and culture. Remote work and online communities connect people across distance, while constant connectivity can also increase harassment, fraud, misinformation, intrusive monitoring, and pressure to remain continuously available. Ethical system design should examine who benefits, who is excluded, and whether convenience for administrators transfers hidden costs to users. A technically successful platform can still be socially poor if it concentrates power while making participation harder for people with fewer resources.

Governance Must Connect Ethics to System Design

Good governance links ethical principles to operational controls. Utilitarian reasoning asks whether a system creates more benefit than harm across all affected groups; rights-based reasoning protects

privacy, expression, due process, and human dignity even when violating them would be efficient; and theories of justice ask whether benefits and burdens are distributed fairly. These perspectives become practical through data inventories, privacy impact assessments, access controls, encryption, audit logs, security testing, retention schedules, conflict-of-interest rules, and independent review. Whistleblowing and protected reporting channels are also important because employees often see misuse before senior leaders do. A code of conduct is useful only when incentives, promotion, discipline, procurement, and product design reinforce it. Organizations should measure not merely system uptime or revenue but false denials, complaints, security incidents, accessibility failures, and the time required to correct inaccurate records. Ethical information governance is strongest when responsibility is assigned before harm occurs and when users have realistic ways to understand, question, and challenge decisions made with their data.

Conclusion

Information systems have created extraordinary gains in speed, coordination, storage, analysis, and communication, yet those gains also concentrate the ability to observe, classify, and influence people. Privacy, accuracy, property, and accessibility remain useful organizing concepts because they expose different ways a system can fail ethically even when it works technically. Modern practice must also address cybersecurity, algorithmic decision-making, digital inequality, surveillance, and the secondary use of data collected for another purpose. The central ethical requirement is accountability: organizations should be able to explain what they collect, why they need it, who can use it, how long it is kept, how accuracy is checked, and what remedy exists when a person is harmed. Laws establish important boundaries, but ethical responsibility goes further by asking whether design choices respect rights and distribute risk fairly. Information technology should support human purposes rather than make people subordinate to databases and automated processes. Sustainable systems are therefore those that combine innovation with transparency, proportionate collection, secure stewardship, accessible design, and meaningful human oversight.

References

- Mason, R. O. (1986). Four ethical issues of the information age. *MIS Quarterly*, 10(1), 5-12.
- Kizza, J. M. (2007). *Ethical and Social Issues in the Information Age*. Springer.
- Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. P. (2015). *Management Information Systems*. Pearson.
- Rawls, J. (2009). *A Theory of Justice* (rev. ed.). Harvard University Press.