Effects of Internet Addiction on Students

Posted on March 20, 2019

Brief Overview of the Matter

Educational institutions increasingly face the question of how to respond when students' internet use interferes with sleep, coursework, relationships, and mental health. The original essay describes an administration considering restrictions because students spend long hours surfing, gaming, blogging, and using social media. That concern remains legitimate, but the problem must be defined carefully. Heavy internet use is not automatically an addiction, and the internet is not inherently harmful. Students depend on digital access for research, learning platforms, employment, communication, banking, healthcare, entertainment, and social support. The relevant issue is problematic or compulsive use that becomes difficult to control and produces meaningful impairment. A responsible institutional response should therefore reduce harm without treating every student as disordered, suppressing political viewpoints, or blocking lawful information merely because administrators dislike it.

Rationale

Students may remain online late into the night, switch repeatedly between coursework and entertainment, or feel unable to stop gaming or scrolling. These habits can displace sleep, exercise, face-to-face interaction, and focused study. Institutions are justified in addressing the consequences because learning environments depend on attention, wellbeing, and community. However, restrictions should be based on evidence and educational purpose rather than moral panic. The original essay moves into a proposal to block conservative or centrist political material while allowing only one ideological perspective. Such censorship is unrelated to addiction prevention and would undermine intellectual freedom. Universities should teach students to evaluate competing claims, not prevent them from encountering lawful disagreement.

Thesis Statement

Problematic internet use can reduce academic performance, disrupt sleep, weaken self-regulation, and contribute to social and emotional difficulties, but institutions should address it through targeted support, digital-literacy education, reasonable network management, and mental-health services rather than broad censorship or unsupported claims that internet use directly causes suicide.

What “Internet Addiction” Means

The term internet addiction is used broadly in public discussion, but diagnostic systems do not classify all excessive internet use as one uniform disorder. The World Health Organization recognizes gaming disorder in ICD-11 when gaming behavior shows impaired control, increasing priority over other activities, continuation despite harm, and significant impairment, generally over an extended period. WHO also emphasizes that gaming disorder affects only a small proportion of people who play games. Social media, online shopping, pornography, streaming, and general browsing may also become problematic, but they can involve different motivations and risks. A student who spends many hours online for research or employment should not be treated the same as a student who repeatedly fails courses because they cannot control online behavior. (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017)

Use, Overuse, and Disorder

Frequency alone is not enough to establish a disorder. A computer-science student, remote worker, or disabled student may need extensive daily access. Assessment should focus on control and consequences. Warning signs include repeated unsuccessful attempts to reduce use, neglect of essential responsibilities, severe sleep reversal, withdrawal from valued activities, concealment, continued use despite known harm, and intense distress when access is interrupted. Even these signs require context. Depression, anxiety, loneliness, attention difficulties, family conflict, and academic pressure may contribute to online overuse. The internet can be both a coping tool and a source of additional impairment.

Academic Effects

Problematic use can affect study through distraction, task switching, procrastination, and reduced deep reading. Notifications and short-form content encourage rapid shifts of attention. A student may spend several hours “working” while repeatedly interrupting the task, producing less learning than a shorter period of focused effort. Online entertainment can also become a method of avoiding difficult assignments. The immediate reward of a game or feed competes with the delayed reward of completing a paper. This does not mean students lack character. Digital platforms are designed to sustain engagement, and self-regulation is harder when stress and fatigue are already high.

Multitasking and Cognitive Load

Students often believe they can attend a lecture, message friends, watch clips, and complete notes simultaneously. In most cases, the mind switches among tasks rather than processing them in parallel. Each switch carries a cost, especially when the tasks require language, reasoning, or memory. The result may be shallow understanding and more time needed to complete work.

Instructors can help by explaining these limits, creating active lessons, and designing periods in which devices are used for a clear purpose. Punitive rules alone do not teach students how to manage attention outside the classroom.

Sleep Disruption

Late-night gaming, streaming, messaging, and scrolling can delay bedtime and reduce sleep duration. Emotional stimulation, fear of missing out, and the absence of a natural stopping point make disengagement difficult. Screen light can also affect sleep timing, although behavior and content are often as important as the device itself. Sleep loss impairs concentration, mood regulation, memory, and judgment. A student who stays online until early morning may miss class or rely on caffeine, creating a cycle of fatigue and reduced performance. Institutions can promote device-free wind-down routines, consistent sleep schedules, and support for students whose sleep problems persist.

Social Relationships and Campus Community

The original essay argues that online use has destroyed hostel camaraderie and made students antisocial. That conclusion is too absolute. Digital communication can maintain friendships, support international students, connect marginalized people, and help students organize activities. Online relationships are real relationships, although they do not meet every social need. Problems arise when internet use consistently replaces desired in-person contact, increases conflict, or leaves the student isolated. Residence halls can strengthen community through inclusive events, shared spaces, peer programs, and opportunities that are more attractive than compulsory disconnection.

Loneliness and Online Coping

Lonely students may turn to the internet for connection, and online use may provide temporary relief. If the experience becomes hostile, comparative, or compulsive, loneliness may deepen. Direction of causation is difficult to determine: isolation can increase internet use, and some patterns of internet use can increase isolation. Support should therefore address both sides. Simply removing access may take away a coping method without creating an alternative. Counseling, peer groups, mentoring, and welcoming campus activities can expand the student’s choices.

Mental Health

Research often finds associations between problematic digital use and depression, anxiety, attention difficulties, and lower wellbeing. Association does not prove that the internet causes these conditions. A depressed student may spend more time online because ordinary activities feel difficult. Online harassment or harmful content may worsen distress, while supportive communities and telehealth

may improve it. Mental-health assessment should consider the complete situation rather than use screen time as a diagnosis. Treatment may involve cognitive-behavioral strategies, sleep improvement, time management, family support, treatment of co-occurring conditions, and changes to the digital environment. (Odgers & Jensen, 2020; World Health Organization, 2026)

Suicide Claims Require Caution

The original essay refers to student suicides and implies that internet use caused them. Such a conclusion cannot be drawn without careful investigation. Suicide is usually associated with interacting factors that may include mental illness, trauma, substance use, social conflict, discrimination, financial distress, chronic pain, and access to lethal means. Online bullying, harmful communities, or exposure to suicide content can be relevant, but the internet can also provide crisis information and social support. Institutions should never use a cluster of deaths as rhetorical proof of one cause. They should conduct evidence-based postvention, protect privacy, improve access to care, and avoid messages that may increase contagion.

Cyberbullying and Harassment

One specific risk is persistent harassment through messaging, social networks, or anonymous accounts. Harm can continue after a student leaves the classroom or residence hall. Policies should define prohibited conduct, provide accessible reporting, preserve evidence, protect against retaliation, and offer support. Administrators must distinguish harassment and threats from lawful disagreement. A policy that blocks one political viewpoint does not prevent cyberbullying; it creates a different form of institutional abuse.

Gaming and Reward Design

Online games can provide enjoyment, mastery, friendship, competition, and creative participation. Some use variable rewards, daily tasks, purchases, and social obligations that make stopping difficult. Students at risk may lose track of time or prioritize the game over sleep and study. Prevention should teach players to recognize design features, monitor spending, use time limits, and notice impairment. A diagnosis should be made by qualified professionals, not by a teacher who dislikes games. Recreational gaming without impairment should not be pathologized. (World Health Organization, 2020)

Social Media and Comparison

Social media presents selected images of achievement, appearance, relationships, and lifestyle. Students may compare their ordinary lives with other people’s edited highlights. Repeated

comparison can intensify inadequacy or fear of exclusion. At the same time, social media supports activism, professional networking, friendship, creativity, and identity exploration. The effect depends on the user, content, platform design, and pattern of engagement. Active communication with known friends may have different effects from passive, repetitive scrolling. Digital-literacy education should move beyond a single daily-hour limit and help students examine how particular uses affect them.

Should Educational Institutions Restrict Access?

Institutions may reasonably manage networks to protect security, comply with law, preserve bandwidth, and prevent clearly unlawful activity. They may also establish device expectations during specific instructional or assessment periods. Broad nighttime shutdowns or blanket blocks are more difficult to justify because students may need access for coursework, employment, disability accommodation, family contact, or emergencies. Restrictions can also be bypassed through mobile data, making them ineffective while burdening students with fewer resources. The least restrictive effective approach should be preferred.

Academic Freedom and Political Content

The earlier proposal to block conservative sources and permit only radical liberal material is incompatible with education. The same would be true if an institution blocked progressive material and permitted only conservative sources. Students should learn source evaluation, evidence, argument, and ethical discussion. Universities can restrict threats, unlawful harassment, malware, or content that violates defined policies, but viewpoint discrimination damages trust and critical inquiry. Exposure to competing lawful ideas is not a mental-health disorder.

Copyright and Network Rules

Schools may block peer-to-peer services or specific downloads because of copyright, malware, or security concerns. These restrictions should be explained clearly and applied consistently. Students should receive legal alternatives for accessing books, films, software, and data. A network policy should not describe every restricted site as morally dangerous. Transparency helps students understand the operational reason and provides a process for requesting access when a legitimate academic need exists.

Digital-Wellbeing Education

Students need practical skills for managing technology. Useful strategies include disabling nonessential notifications, separating study and entertainment accounts, using website blockers during focused work, placing devices outside the sleeping area, scheduling gaming or social media,

and reviewing weekly usage. The objective is not complete abstinence. It is intentional use consistent with the student's goals. Courses and orientation programs can connect digital habits with sleep, learning, privacy, advertising, misinformation, and mental health.

Course Design and Faculty Responsibility

Faculty sometimes require constant online availability and then criticize students for being connected. Learning platforms, email, group chats, digital textbooks, and remote assignments create legitimate demand. Instructors can reduce overload by setting reasonable communication expectations, organizing materials clearly, avoiding unnecessary platforms, and giving advance notice of deadlines. Assignments should use technology because it supports learning, not because a tool is fashionable. Institutional responsibility includes examining the digital environment it creates.

Support Rather Than Shame

Students who lose control of online behavior may already feel guilt and failure. Public humiliation or moral labels can discourage help-seeking. Counseling services should screen for underlying depression, anxiety, attention problems, trauma, and substance use. Peer support and skills coaching may help students whose difficulties do not require clinical treatment. Severe cases may need specialized behavioral-health care. Support should be confidential and proportionate.

A Balanced Institutional Policy

A balanced policy would protect open academic access while establishing clear rules for illegal downloads, harassment, threats, security risks, and disruption during class or examinations. It would offer digital-wellbeing education, sleep and mental-health resources, and assistance for students experiencing impairment. Network data should not be used for intrusive surveillance without a lawful, necessary purpose. Policies should be reviewed with students, faculty, disability services, information-security staff, and mental-health professionals.

Conclusion

Problematic internet use can harm students when it displaces sleep, study, health, and valued relationships or becomes difficult to control despite consequences. The danger should neither be exaggerated nor ignored. Heavy use alone is not addiction, and internet access provides essential academic and social benefits. Claims that it directly makes students suicidal are unsupported without careful evidence, while censorship of political viewpoints has no legitimate connection to wellbeing. Educational institutions should respond through digital literacy, targeted network management, supportive course design, community-building, and accessible mental-health care. The goal is not to

force students offline but to help them use digital tools deliberately and safely.

References

Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Social networking sites and addiction: Ten lessons learned. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14*(3), 311.

Odgers, C. L., & Jensen, M. R. (2020). Annual research review: Adolescent mental health in the digital age. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 61*(3), 336–348.

World Health Organization. (2020). *Addictive behaviours: Gaming disorder*.

World Health Organization. (2026). *Digital environments and mental health*.