Effects Of Active Earth Pressure On The Retaining Walls In Narrow Back Fill

Posted on September 16, 2019

Introduction

Retaining walls are commonly designed with classical earth-pressure theories that assume the backfill extends far enough for a complete failure wedge to develop. In urban construction, bridge abutments, basements, and walls built close to a rock cut or another rigid boundary, the backfill may be too narrow for those assumptions. The original essay correctly identified soil arching, stiffness differences, active and passive pressure, discrete-element analysis, and geotechnical investigation as central topics. However, several statements require refinement. Earth-pressure coefficients describe stress ratios rather than ratios of "soil stiffness," and soil-arching pressure does not simply decrease in a universal inverse relationship with depth. In narrow backfills, interaction between the retaining wall and the nearby rigid boundary can transfer load through shear, change the failure mechanism, and produce lateral pressures that differ substantially from Rankine or Coulomb predictions. Safe design therefore requires geometry-specific analysis, material testing, drainage, construction control, and appropriate numerical or analytical models.

Retaining Walls and Lateral Earth Pressure

A retaining wall resists the lateral pressure of soil, water, surcharge, and sometimes seismic loading. The magnitude and distribution of pressure depend on unit weight, friction angle, cohesion, compaction, groundwater, wall movement, interface friction, backfill slope, surcharge, and boundary geometry. At-rest pressure develops when the wall does not move enough to mobilize shear failure. Active pressure develops when the wall moves away from the backfill sufficiently for the soil to expand and approach failure. Passive pressure develops when the wall moves into the soil and compresses it. These states are not determined solely by soil type; they depend on relative movement between soil and structure.

Earth-Pressure Coefficients

The lateral earth-pressure coefficient is the ratio of horizontal effective stress to vertical effective stress under a specified condition. It is commonly written as K_0 for at-rest conditions, K_a for active pressure, and K_p for passive pressure. For a simple cohesionless soil with a horizontal surface and smooth vertical wall, Rankine theory gives $K_a = \tan^2(45° - \varphi'/2)$ and $K_p = \tan^2(45° + \varphi'/2)$, where φ' is the effective friction angle. These expressions rely on assumptions that may not hold in narrow backfills. Pressure is then calculated from effective stress plus water pressure and surcharge effects. The coefficients are stress relationships, not measurements of horizontal and vertical stiffness. (Terzaghi, 1943)

What Soil Arching Means

Soil arching occurs when differential movement causes shear stresses that transfer load from a yielding region to adjacent stiffer or less-yielding regions. The original essay correctly linked arching to differences between the soil and bordering structure, but stiffness alone does not define the direction. Relative displacement, interface roughness, density, and geometry control whether load is transferred toward or away from a structure. Terzaghi's trapdoor experiments demonstrated that a small movement of one boundary can redistribute vertical stress through shear within the soil. In a narrow retained zone, similar redistribution may occur between the wall, backfill, and rigid rock face. (Handy, 1985)

Geometry of a Narrow Backfill

A backfill is "narrow" when the distance between the retaining wall and a rear boundary is small relative to wall height and the potential active failure wedge. In a conventional unbounded backfill, the theoretical failure plane can extend backward without encountering another structure. In a narrow configuration, the plane may intersect the rock face or rear wall, and a different mechanism develops. The width-to-height ratio, rear-boundary inclination, wall movement, and interface friction become critical. No single width defines narrowness for all soils and walls; it is a mechanical rather than purely dimensional classification.

Why Classical Rankine Theory Can Be Inadequate

Rankine theory assumes a semi-infinite soil mass, a planar surface, and particular wall-interface conditions. It does not explicitly model shear transfer to a nearby rigid boundary. Applying it automatically may overestimate or underestimate pressure depending on the configuration, compaction, and movement. Coulomb theory includes wall friction and failure-wedge geometry but still commonly assumes sufficient space for a wedge. Modified analytical methods, limit analysis, finite-element or finite-difference models, and discrete-element methods can better represent constrained geometry. Designers should understand model assumptions rather than select the lowest predicted load.

Active Pressure in Narrow Backfills

When a retaining wall moves outward, the backfill tends toward an active state. If the rear boundary is close and rough, shear along that boundary can support part of the soil weight and alter the lateral stress on the wall. Some analyses predict reduced pressure compared with classical theory because load is transferred to the rear boundary. Other configurations can create concentration near particular elevations or maintain near-at-rest pressure if wall movement is insufficient. The distribution may be nonlinear rather than triangular. Construction sequence and compaction can also leave residual stresses greater than ideal active values. (Paik & Salgado, 2003)

At-Rest Pressure and Restrained Walls

Basement walls, integral bridge abutments, braced excavations, and rigid walls tied to structural frames may not move enough to develop active pressure. In those cases, designing only for active pressure is unsafe regardless of backfill width. At-rest pressure depends on stress history, overconsolidation, compaction, and soil properties. Jaky's relationship, $K_0 \approx 1 - \sin \varphi'$, is often used for normally consolidated soil under simplified conditions, but laboratory and field values may differ. Narrow geometry and boundary friction require further consideration. A wall's structural restraint must be established before choosing the pressure state.

Passive Pressure

Passive resistance develops when the structure pushes into the soil. It is much larger theoretically than active pressure but requires substantial movement to mobilize fully. Designers often reduce passive resistance because excavation, erosion, disturbance, groundwater, or future utility work can remove or weaken the soil. In narrow zones, the rear boundary may constrain the passive mechanism and increase resistance, but relying on that benefit requires dependable geometry and interface conditions. Passive pressure should not be used as an optimistic balancing force without appropriate factors of safety and serviceability evaluation.

Wall and Soil Interface Friction

Interface friction develops along the retaining-wall face and rear boundary. A rough interface can transfer vertical shear and change the horizontal pressure distribution. Interface friction angles are usually lower than or related to the soil's internal friction angle and depend on material, roughness, density, and normal stress. Assuming full soil friction at a smooth concrete, membrane, or rock interface may be unconservative. Laboratory direct-shear testing or reasonable code-based values should be used. The direction of mobilized friction changes with relative movement and must be consistent with the assumed mechanism.

Backfill Material

Free-draining granular soil is often preferred behind retaining walls because it provides predictable strength and reduces water pressure when properly drained. Fine-grained or expansive soil can develop shrink–swell pressure, soften when wet, and drain slowly. Recycled or locally available material may be suitable if gradation, plasticity, strength, durability, and compaction are controlled. The original essay correctly emphasized testing mechanical and chemical properties. Chemical compatibility matters for geosynthetics, concrete, steel reinforcement, and drainage, while particle size and angularity affect compaction and friction.

Compaction-Induced Pressure

Compaction equipment applies temporary vertical stress that can generate permanent lateral pressure, especially near a rigid wall that cannot yield. Heavy rollers used close to the wall may create loads much greater than those predicted from self-weight. Narrow space can limit equipment options and lead to uneven density. Specifications should define acceptable equipment, lift thickness, moisture, target density, and minimum distance from the wall. Lightweight compactors near the structure and staged construction can reduce damage. Compaction pressure is a construction load that must be included rather than assumed to disappear.

Groundwater and Drainage

Water pressure can exceed soil pressure and is a frequent cause of retaining-wall distress. Effective drainage may include free-draining aggregate, geocomposite drains, perforated pipes, outlets, filters, and surface grading. Filters must prevent migration of fine particles that could clog drains or create internal erosion. Weep holes alone may be inadequate if water cannot reach them or if outlets become blocked. A design should consider a conservative groundwater level and provide redundancy. In narrow backfills, limited space makes drainage detailing especially important.

Surcharge Loads

Vehicles, buildings, stored materials, construction equipment, and sloping ground add vertical stress that increases lateral pressure. Uniform surcharge is often represented as an added horizontal pressure Kq, but nearby strip or point loads create nonuniform distributions. A foundation close behind the wall may interact directly with the constrained backfill and rear boundary. The original reference to full-scale mechanically stabilized earth walls under strip footing load illustrates why geometry-specific analysis matters. Temporary construction surcharge can be as critical as permanent use.

Seismic Earth Pressure

Earthquake loading adds inertia, cyclic shear, potential pore-pressure increase, and wall movement. The Mononobe–Okabe method extends Coulomb theory for simplified seismic active pressure but assumes a failure wedge that may not fit a narrow backfill. Modified methods and numerical analysis may be needed. Geosynthetic reinforcement can change the mechanism by tying soil into a composite mass, but facing connection, pullout, and reinforcement length must be checked. Drainage and liquefaction susceptibility are also crucial. Seismic design should consider displacement as well as force. (Yang et al., 2018; Dehghani et al., 2018)

Discrete Element Method Analysis

The discrete element method (DEM) represents soil as interacting particles and can reveal force chains, local movement, and arching that continuum methods may smooth. The original essay correctly noted comparison between DEM and theoretical or laboratory results. DEM requires calibration of particle stiffness, friction, shape, contact laws, and density. Model particles are often larger and simpler than actual grains, so results should be validated. The method is powerful for understanding mechanisms but should not be treated as inherently more accurate than well-calibrated continuum analysis.

Finite-Element and Finite-Difference Analysis

Continuum numerical methods can model staged construction, nonlinear soil behavior, structural stiffness, interface elements, groundwater, and complex boundaries. Results depend heavily on the constitutive model and parameters. A simple Mohr–Coulomb model may estimate ultimate behavior but not small-strain stiffness or stress history accurately. More advanced models require more data. Mesh sensitivity, boundary distance, initial stress, drainage condition, and interface parameters should be checked. Numerical output is not evidence unless the model reproduces known behavior and equilibrium.

Empirical and Field Measurement Methods

Analytical and empirical methods are economical for preliminary design, but field instrumentation can verify performance. Earth-pressure cells, strain gauges, inclinometers, survey points, settlement plates, piezometers, and load cells can measure stress, deformation, and water. Instruments must be installed carefully because pressure cells can themselves disturb the soil or attract load. Monitoring is particularly valuable for unusual geometry, adjacent structures, deep walls, or staged excavation. Observational data can trigger changes during construction and improve future designs.

Geotechnical Investigation

An investigation should characterize soil and rock stratigraphy, groundwater, strength, density, compressibility, chemical environment, and variability. Boreholes or test pits must be located to represent the retained zone and foundation. Laboratory tests may include grain-size distribution, Atterberg limits, compaction, shear strength, consolidation, and chemical tests. The rock face should be mapped for weathering, joints, seepage, and stability. The nearby rock cannot automatically be treated as an immovable boundary if blocks or discontinuities may fail.

Construction Sequence

Pressure depends on how the wall and backfill are built. A wall poured against temporary bracing and later backfilled behaves differently from a segmental wall erected with compacted lifts. Drainage and reinforcement must be installed before they become inaccessible. Backfill should rise uniformly to avoid unbalanced load, and wall movement should be monitored. Changes from the design sequence require geotechnical review because arching develops through relative displacement history. Poor workmanship can invalidate a sophisticated calculation.

Failure Modes

External stability checks include sliding, overturning, bearing capacity, settlement, and global stability. Structural checks include bending, shear, connection, and facing capacity. Drainage failure, piping, erosion, and deterioration must be considered. Mechanically stabilized earth walls require reinforcement rupture, pullout, connection, and compound stability evaluation. In narrow backfill, failure may involve the wall, rear boundary, foundation, and connecting soil as one system. Checking only lateral pressure is incomplete.

Design Procedure

A rational procedure begins by defining wall movement and geometry; characterizing backfill, interfaces, groundwater, surcharge, and rear boundary; determining whether a conventional failure wedge fits; and selecting an analytical or numerical model consistent with those conditions. The designer then calculates soil and water pressures, includes compaction and seismic loads, checks structural and geotechnical limit states, details drainage, and plans construction monitoring. Sensitivity analysis should vary uncertain friction, water level, and interface conditions. Codes provide minimum requirements, but unusual geometry requires engineering judgment and independent review.

Conclusion

Narrow backfills alter retaining-wall behavior because the nearby boundary interferes with conventional failure mechanisms and allows shear transfer and soil arching. The original essay correctly identified the importance of soil–structure stiffness, active and passive states, geotechnical testing, and numerical methods, but earth-pressure coefficients are stress ratios and pressure does not universally vary inversely with depth. Wall movement, interface friction, width-to-height ratio, compaction, surcharge, groundwater, and construction sequence determine the actual load. Rankine or Coulomb theory may remain useful as a reference, but it should not be applied automatically when the theoretical wedge cannot develop. Safe design combines appropriate analysis with drainage, conservative assumptions, quality construction, and field monitoring.

References

Handy, R. L. (1985). The arch in soil arching. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 111*(3), 302–318.

Paik, K. H., & Salgado, R. (2003). Estimation of active earth pressure against rigid retaining walls considering arching effects. *Géotechnique, 53*(7), 643–653.

Terzaghi, K. (1943). *Theoretical soil mechanics*. Wiley.

Yang, M., et al. (2018). Research on time–frequency analysis method of active earth pressure of rigid retaining wall subjected to earthquake. *Environmental Earth Sciences, 77*, 232.

Dehghani, F., Shahir, H., & Ghanbari, A. (2018). Seismic active earth pressure of narrow geosynthetic-reinforced backfill on rigid facing. *Journal of Engineering Geology, 11*(3), 53–80.