EasyGroup Diversification Strategy Case Study

Posted on September 18, 2018

Introduction

The easyGroup case asks whether a low-cost business model developed in aviation can be extended successfully into cinemas and other industries. The original answer assumed that low prices, internet booking, and reduced staffing would be sufficient to guarantee success. Those features can create an advantage, but diversification is more difficult than copying a familiar orange brand and removing traditional service elements. A strategy succeeds only when the capabilities that produced value in one sector remain useful in the new sector and when customers accept the resulting trade-offs.

easyGroup is the private investment vehicle of Sir Stelios Haji-Ioannou and the owner of the “easy” family of brands. Its modern model is built heavily on brand ownership and licensing. The company retains the easy name and licenses it to businesses, including easyJet, in exchange for royalties linked to turnover rather than profit. The brand has been extended into travel, hotels, storage, financial services, telecommunications, energy, fitness, and other activities. This history shows that diversification has been possible, but it also shows that not every extension needs to be owned and operated directly. This essay evaluates the logic of the strategy and specifically considers whether entering the cinema business would be justified.

The Core of the easy Business Model

The easy model is usually associated with “more value for less.” Its most recognizable elements include transparent entry prices, demand-sensitive pricing, direct digital sales, high asset utilization, limited unnecessary service, a strong visual identity, and operational standardization. The model does not simply mean being cheap. A sustainable low-cost strategy requires the business to redesign activities so that a lower price can be offered while still earning an adequate return. (easyGroup, 2026a)

In aviation, early booking can help forecast demand and fill perishable capacity: an empty aircraft seat cannot be stored and sold after departure. Dynamic pricing raises or lowers fares according to booking time and remaining capacity. Direct online sales reduce distribution commissions. Standardization and high utilization spread fixed cost across more customers. These principles are transferable to other businesses with fixed capacity and time-sensitive inventory, including hotels, buses, car rental, and potentially cinema seats.

However, the transfer is never complete. Each industry has its own cost structure, regulation, customer expectations, supplier power, and sources of differentiation. A cinema depends on film distributors, local footfall, screen quality, sound, seating, licensing, and the broader entertainment market. It cannot be evaluated only as an aircraft with stationary seats.

Reasons Diversification Can Succeed

Brand Awareness

The easy name reduces the cost of introducing a new service because many consumers already associate it with low prices and straightforward purchasing. A new cinema bearing an unknown name would need to build awareness from the beginning. The familiar identity can generate trial, particularly among price-sensitive customers.

Brand awareness, however, creates expectations. Customers may tolerate a basic service when the savings are obvious, but they will react negatively if the price is not genuinely lower or if essential quality is removed. The brand promise must remain consistent across licensees, which makes quality monitoring and brand protection central strategic capabilities.

Digital Distribution and Variable Pricing

Online booking, electronic tickets, and automated entry can lower transaction costs and generate useful demand data. Variable pricing can encourage customers to attend less popular sessions and book early. Cinemas already use elements of this model, so easyGroup would not be introducing an entirely unfamiliar behavior. The advantage would come from executing it more simply and visibly than competitors.

Dynamic pricing must nevertheless remain understandable. If customers perceive it as arbitrary or exploitative, the system can damage trust. A clear calendar showing lower off-peak prices, standard prices, and limited premium sessions is more likely to support the value proposition than constant opaque changes.

Asset-Light Licensing

easyGroup’s present licensing orientation offers a way to diversify without assuming all operating risk. An experienced cinema operator could manage leases, staffing, programming, technology, and local compliance while licensing the easy brand. easyGroup would contribute brand standards, marketing reach, and business-model guidance. This structure limits capital exposure, although it also reduces direct control.

Testing Through Pilots

A pilot allows the company to observe attendance, price sensitivity, customer satisfaction, concession behavior, and operational problems before a national rollout. The original case correctly recognized the value of incubation. The pilot should be designed as a real experiment with stated success measures rather than a promotional launch that management is unwilling to stop.

Reasons the Cinema Extension Could Fail

Weak Strategic Fit

The low-cost airline model benefits from large differences between traditional full-service operations and stripped-down alternatives. In cinemas, many competitors already sell tickets online, use automated kiosks, offer discount days, and vary prices by time or seat. The opportunity for a radically lower operating model may therefore be smaller than assumed.

Content Supplier Power

A cinema does not create most of the films it shows. Distributors determine availability and revenue-sharing terms, especially for major releases. Limiting the number of films to simplify operations may reduce customer choice and increase dependence on a small number of titles. If those films perform poorly, the cinema cannot recover the lost capacity.

Customer Experience

Customers do not visit cinemas only to occupy a seat. Screen quality, sound, cleanliness, comfort, safety, staff assistance, concessions, and social atmosphere affect satisfaction. Eliminating ushers or reducing staff may lower cost, but understaffing can create queues, disorder, cleaning problems, and poor service recovery. Technology can automate transactions, but it cannot solve every human problem.

Competition from Home Entertainment

Streaming, large home televisions, gaming, and shorter theatrical windows have changed how people consume entertainment. A low ticket price may attract some customers, yet cinemas increasingly compete by offering an experience that cannot be duplicated at home. A model focused only on removing service may weaken the very reason customers leave home.

Brand Dilution

Every new extension changes what the easy name means. A failed or low-quality licensee can damage trust across the wider family. The more sectors a brand enters, the greater the risk that it becomes a vague label rather than a meaningful promise. easyGroup must therefore assess whether each extension reinforces “value for less” or merely exploits recognition.

Should easyGroup Enter the Cinema Business?

easyGroup should consider cinema only through a disciplined pilot or licensing partnership, not through a rapid capital-intensive rollout. The case has enough strategic logic to justify testing: cinema seats are perishable capacity, booking can be digitized, off-peak demand can be stimulated, and a recognized low-cost brand may attract younger and budget-conscious audiences. Yet the model should not assume that removing staff, advertising, and concessions automatically creates value. (Haji-Ioannou, 2014)

The pilot should preserve the essential cinema experience while simplifying nonessential complexity. The proposition might include clearly lower prices for early and off-peak bookings, straightforward online purchasing, automated entry with visible staff support, clean auditoriums, reliable projection and sound, and permission to bring limited outside food subject to safety rules. It could also experiment with local films, family screenings, student pricing, and community events rather than relying entirely on blockbuster releases.

Evaluation Criteria for the Pilot

The decision to expand should be based on evidence. Key measures include:

- Seat occupancy by session and day;
- Average ticket revenue and contribution margin;
- Customer acquisition cost and repeat attendance;
- Customer satisfaction, complaints, and refund rates;
- Labor cost without deterioration in cleanliness or safety;
- Digital-booking completion and entry-system reliability;
- Film-rental cost and distributor relationships;
- Concession or ancillary revenue, if offered;
- Brand perception before and after the pilot;
- Cash return relative to the capital or licensing support invested.

A successful pilot must demonstrate more than high opening-week attendance. It should show repeat demand, positive unit economics, operational reliability, and no material damage to the easy brand.

Alternative Diversification Strategy

The cinema proposal should also be compared with other opportunities. easyGroup's licensing model works best where an operating partner already possesses sector expertise and the easy brand can make the offer clearer, more affordable, or easier to access. Management should evaluate markets using several criteria: size, customer dissatisfaction with current prices, ability to standardize service, digital distribution potential, regulatory burden, capital intensity, partner quality, and brand fit.

Diversification should not be pursued merely because an industry has high customer spending. The relevant question is whether easyGroup has a transferable advantage. In some sectors, brand licensing may create value; in others, the easy name adds little and the operational complexity is too great.

Current Evidence from the easy Portfolio

The contemporary easy portfolio shows both the reach and the discipline of the licensing approach. easyGroup's official portal lists brands in airlines, hotels, buses, car services, storage, finance, telecommunications, energy, fitness, and other sectors. The company states that it receives royalties based on licensees' turnover and retains ownership of the brand. This model creates relatively predictable brand income while shifting most operating responsibility to sector-specific businesses. (easyGroup, 2026b)

The portfolio also demonstrates the importance of brand protection. easyGroup actively distinguishes authorized businesses from organizations that use similar names without permission. That effort is not merely legal housekeeping; it preserves the information value of the brand. Consumers must know that an easy-branded service belongs to a controlled family and follows minimum standards.

Conclusion

easyGroup's diversification strategy can succeed when it transfers a genuine capability rather than a superficial image. The transferable capabilities are brand recognition, value positioning, direct digital distribution, demand-sensitive pricing, operational simplicity, licensing, and pilot-based experimentation. These advantages are meaningful, but they do not remove the need to understand each industry.

Entering cinema could be justified as a limited test with an experienced operating partner. The proposal becomes weak when it assumes that fewer employees, no advertising, and cheap tickets are sufficient. Customers still require a reliable and enjoyable experience, while the operator must manage content suppliers, facilities, safety, and competition from home entertainment. A cautious licensing pilot, measured through repeat demand and unit economics, is therefore more defensible than a broad direct investment. The easy brand should be extended only where it can deliver a credible version of "more value for less" without sacrificing the essential value customers came to buy.

References

easyGroup. (2026). About us.

easyGroup. (2026). Our brands.

Haji-Ioannou, S. (2014). *Extending the "easy" Business Model: What Should easyGroup Do Next?* INSEAD case study.