Does Abstinence-Only Education Work?

Posted on February 20, 2025

View this essay online:
https://academic-master.com/does-abstinence-only-education-work/

Sex Education and the Abstinence-Only Debate

Sex education is an important part of adolescent development because it provides young people with knowledge about relationships, responsibility, health, decision-making, and personal values. During adolescence, individuals experience physical, emotional, and social changes that make sexual health education highly important. However, there is ongoing debate about the best way to teach adolescents about sex. Some people argue that abstinence-only education is the best approach because it encourages young people to avoid sexual activity until marriage. Others argue that abstinence-only education is not enough because it often withholds important information about contraception, sexually transmitted infections, consent, and safer sex practices. Therefore, the question of whether abstinence-only education works requires careful discussion.

The article selected to support the argument that abstinence-only education is the best way to teach adolescents about sex is titled “Modeling Abstinence Education Effectiveness,” written by Smith et al. (2014). This article emphasizes abstaining from sexual activity outside marital relationships. It argues that abstinence programs teach adolescents about the social, cultural, psychological, moral, and health benefits of delaying sexual activity. According to this perspective, abstinence until marriage is presented as the expected standard for adolescents. Supporters of abstinence-only education believe that this approach can protect young people from unintended pregnancy, sexually transmitted infections, emotional distress, and unhealthy relationships.

Abstinence-only education is often supported by those who believe that sexual activity should be delayed until a committed marital relationship. These programs usually promote the idea that avoiding sex is the only completely effective way to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections. From this viewpoint, abstinence is not only a health decision but also a moral and social choice. The purpose of such programs is to guide adolescents toward self-control, responsibility, and future family stability. Smith et al. (2014) argue that abstinence education can provide adolescents with decision-making abilities and valuable life skills that may help them build healthy and responsible relationships later in life.

Arguments Supporting Abstinence Education

One of the strengths of abstinence education is that it encourages adolescents to think carefully before becoming sexually active. Many teenagers may not fully understand the emotional and physical consequences of sexual relationships. Abstinence programs can help them reflect on personal values, peer pressure, relationship expectations, and long-term goals. These programs may also encourage adolescents to develop self-respect, patience, and the ability to say no when they are not ready. In this sense, abstinence education can promote responsibility and emotional maturity.

Another argument in favor of abstinence-only education is that it provides a simple and clear message. Adolescents are often exposed to confusing messages about relationships and sexuality through media, peers, entertainment, and social media. Abstinence-only education offers a direct standard: avoiding sexual activity until marriage is the safest and most responsible choice. For families and communities with strong religious or cultural values, this message may align with their beliefs. Therefore, abstinence-only education may be seen as a way to protect adolescents from early sexual activity and encourage them to make decisions based on moral principles.

Supporters also argue that abstinence-only education can reduce the risk of unintended pregnancies. Since abstinence means not engaging in sexual activity, it removes the immediate possibility of pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections. This is why many advocates consider abstinence the most reliable form of prevention. They believe that if adolescents are taught to delay sexual activity, they may avoid many of the risks associated with early sexual relationships. From this perspective, abstinence education is viewed as a preventive strategy that supports adolescent health and future stability.

Critiques of Abstinence-Only Programs

However, the article “Abstinence-Only Education Doesn’t Work. We’re Still Funding It,” written by Santelli (2017), refutes this argument. Santelli argues that abstinence-only education programs can threaten adolescents’ rights to accurate health information and overall well-being when they present abstinence as the only acceptable option. The controversy arises when abstinence is taught as the sole choice while necessary information about contraception, safer sex, and sexually transmitted infection prevention is restricted. According to this view, adolescents may fail to protect themselves from pregnancy or disease if they eventually become sexually active but have not received complete information.

This critique does not mean that abstinence is unhealthy or wrong. Abstinence can be a responsible and healthy personal choice. The problem is with abstinence-only education when it refuses to provide adolescents with broader sexual health knowledge. Adolescents need accurate information because not all of them will remain abstinent until marriage. If young people are taught only to avoid sex but are not taught how to protect themselves if they become sexually active, they may face

greater risks. Therefore, critics argue that abstinence-only education can be incomplete and unrealistic.

Santelli (2017) emphasizes that sexual education should not be based on withholding information. Adolescents need knowledge about contraception, consent, sexually transmitted infections, healthy relationships, and communication. Without this information, they may rely on myths, peers, or unreliable internet sources. This can lead to poor decision-making. Comprehensive sex education, on the other hand, can include abstinence as a valid choice while also teaching practical information about protection and health. This broader approach prepares adolescents for real-life situations more effectively.

Adolescent Behavior and the Limits of Moral Instruction

One major weakness of abstinence-only education is that it may not address the complexity of adolescent behavior. Teenagers are influenced by peer pressure, emotions, relationships, media, family values, and personal curiosity. Simply telling them not to engage in sexual activity may not be enough. Some adolescents may choose abstinence, while others may not. Education should therefore prepare all adolescents, not only those who follow one expected path. If the goal is to reduce harm, then young people must have the knowledge and skills necessary to make informed decisions.

Another concern is that abstinence-only education may create shame or fear around sexuality. When sex is discussed only as something dangerous or morally wrong outside marriage, adolescents may feel embarrassed to ask questions or seek help. This can be harmful because young people need safe spaces to discuss concerns about relationships, boundaries, consent, and health. A fear-based approach may prevent them from communicating with parents, teachers, or healthcare providers. Effective education should encourage responsibility without creating silence or shame.

Comprehensive Sex Education and Responsible Choice

Comprehensive sex education does not reject abstinence. Instead, it presents abstinence as one option among several responsible choices. It can teach that abstinence is the only certain way to avoid pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections while also explaining contraception and safer sex practices. This type of education gives adolescents the ability to make informed choices according to their values, circumstances, and health needs. It also respects the fact that adolescents come from different cultural, religious, and family backgrounds.

In my opinion, abstinence is a healthy option, but relying solely on abstinence-only education is

ethically problematic for adolescents. Young people deserve a more effective and well-rounded approach to sexual health. They should be taught about abstinence, but they should also receive accurate information about contraception, sexually transmitted infections, consent, healthy relationships, and safer sex practices. This does not encourage irresponsible behavior. Instead, it gives adolescents the knowledge they need to protect themselves and others.

I also believe that the best approach depends on individual needs, cultural context, family values, and societal expectations. Some communities may strongly support abstinence until marriage, and this belief should be respected. However, respect for cultural values should not require removing medical and health information from education. Adolescents can be taught abstinence while also being given truthful information about sexual health. A balanced approach can support both moral development and practical health protection.

Rights, Health, and Evidence-Based Education

Santelli's perspective is valuable because it highlights the importance of human rights, health, and well-being in sex education. Adolescents have a right to receive accurate information that affects their bodies and futures. When education limits information, young people may be left unprepared for real-life situations. A gender- and human-rights-oriented approach to sex education can help adolescents understand respect, consent, equality, and responsibility. This is important not only for preventing pregnancy and disease but also for promoting healthy relationships.

The debate about abstinence-only education also shows the difference between ideals and practical realities. Ideally, some parents and communities may want all adolescents to wait until marriage before becoming sexually active. However, in reality, not all adolescents will make that choice. Education must therefore prepare young people for the world as it is, not only as adults wish it to be. A program that teaches only abstinence may be morally clear, but it may not be fully effective if it leaves adolescents without necessary health knowledge.

In conclusion, abstinence-only education has some value because it encourages adolescents to delay sexual activity, develop self-control, and consider the emotional and health consequences of sexual relationships. Smith et al. (2014) support this approach by emphasizing the benefits of abstinence and responsible decision-making. However, Santelli (2017) challenges abstinence-only education by arguing that it can restrict necessary health information and fail to protect adolescents who become sexually active. In my view, abstinence should be included in sex education, but it should not be the only message. A comprehensive approach that includes abstinence, contraception, STI prevention, consent, and healthy relationship skills is more ethical, realistic, and beneficial for adolescents.

References

Santelli, J. (2017). Abstinence-only education doesn’t work. We’re still funding it. *The Washington Post*.

Smith, T. E., Atar, B., Ferreira, T., Valentine, P., & Pereira, M. G. (2014). Modeling abstinence education effectiveness. *International Journal of Psychology Research & Therapeutics*, 1–4.